

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and PFIZER, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH,
Patent Owner.

IPR2018-01675, IPR2018-01676 (Patent 8,603,044 B2)
IPR2018-01678, IPR2019-00122 (Patent 8,992,486 B2)
IPR2018-01684 (Patent 9,604,008 B2)¹

Before HYUN J. JUNG and JAMES A. TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Oral Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this joint heading and filing style in their papers. Also, Pfizer Inc., who filed petitions in IPR2019-00977, IPR2019-00978, IPR2019-00980, IPR2019-00982, and IPR2019-00987, has been joined as a petitioner in these proceedings.

IPR2018-01675, IPR2018-01676 (Patent 8,603,044 B2)
IPR2018-01678, IPR2019-00122 (Patent 8,992,486 B2)
IPR2018-01684 (Patent 9,604,008 B2)

We instituted *inter partes* review in the above-referenced proceedings. Paper 28.² The initial Scheduling Order set January 14–15, 2020, as the dates for oral argument, if requested. Paper 29. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, each party filed a request for oral argument. Papers 68, 69. The parties each requested that the above-identified proceedings and the proceeding for IPR2018-01670³ be heard together during a three-hour hearing with 90 total minutes allotted for each side on January 14, 2020. *Id.*

The requests to conduct the proceedings together during a three-hour hearing are *granted*. The hearing, however, will be conducted on January 15, 2020, for scheduling reasons. Also, because the proceedings will be heard together, Judges Browne, Jung, Gerstenblith, and Tartal will be present for the entirety of the hearing.

Specifically, the hearing will commence at **1:00 PM Eastern Time**, on **Wednesday, January 15, 2020**, and will be conducted at the **USPTO Central Headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia** (the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314). At least one judge may participate in the hearing via videoconference from a remote location; counsel for the parties, however, must appear in person. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

Each party will have ninety (90) minutes of total oral argument time. Petitioner will argue first and may present arguments regarding the

² For purposes of expediency, all citations are to IPR2018-01675 unless otherwise noted.

³ A separate hearing order will be issued for IPR2018-01670, which does not include Pfizer, Inc. as a joined party.

IPR2018-01675, IPR2018-01676 (Patent 8,603,044 B2)
IPR2018-01678, IPR2019-00122 (Patent 8,992,486 B2)
IPR2018-01684 (Patent 9,604,008 B2)

challenged claims. Patent Owner will then have the opportunity to respond to Petitioner's arguments. Next, Petitioner may use any time it has reserved for rebuttal to respond to Patent Owner's arguments. Lastly, Patent Owner may use any time it has reserved for sur-rebuttal to respond to Petitioner's rebuttal arguments. No other arguments will be heard.

The Board will provide a court reporter, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing transcript will be entered in the record of this proceeding.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits, if any, must be served at least (7) seven business days before the hearing. Demonstrative exhibits are visual aids to oral argument and not evidence, and should be clearly marked as such. For example, each slide may be marked with the words "DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE" in the footer. Demonstrative exhibits may not be used to advance arguments or introduce evidence not previously presented in the record. *See Dell Inc. v. Accleron, LLC*, 884 F.3d 1364, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (noting that the "Board was obligated to dismiss [the petitioner's] untimely argument . . . raised for the first time during oral argument"). Instead, demonstrative exhibits should cite to the briefs and evidence in the record. Demonstrative exhibits, marked as noted above, should be filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b) at least five (5) business days before the hearing.

The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if any objections cannot be resolved, the unresolved objections must be filed with the Board at least (2) two business days before the hearing. Any objection to

IPR2018-01675, IPR2018-01676 (Patent 8,603,044 B2)
IPR2018-01678, IPR2019-00122 (Patent 8,992,486 B2)
IPR2018-01684 (Patent 9,604,008 B2)

demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives and portions thereof are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if the Board deems necessary. Otherwise, the Board will rule on the objections at the hearing.

To aid in the preparation of an accurate transcript, each party shall provide a paper copy of any demonstratives to the court reporter on the day of the oral argument. Such paper copies shall not become part of the record of this proceeding. The parties are reminded that, during the hearing, the presenter should identify clearly each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and permit any judge participating from a remote location to follow the arguments.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument as long as that counsel is present in person. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

A party may request remote video attendance for one or more of its other attendees to view the hearing from any USPTO location. The available locations include the Texas Regional Office in Dallas, Texas; the Rocky Mountain Regional Office in Denver, Colorado; the Elijah J. McCoy

IPR2018-01675, IPR2018-01676 (Patent 8,603,044 B2)
IPR2018-01678, IPR2019-00122 (Patent 8,992,486 B2)
IPR2018-01684 (Patent 9,604,008 B2)

Midwest Regional Office in Detroit, Michigan; and the Silicon Valley Office in San Jose, California. To request remote video viewing, a party must send an email message to Trials@uspto.gov at least ten business days prior to the hearing, indicating the requested location and the number planning to view the hearing from the remote location. The Board will notify the parties if the request for video viewing is granted. Note that it may not be possible to grant the request due to the availability of resources.

Any special requests for audio-visual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov. A party may also indicate any special requests related to appearing at an in-person oral hearing, such as a request to accommodate physical needs that limit mobility or visual or hearing impairments, and indicate how the PTAB may accommodate the special request. Any special requests must be presented in a separate communication not less than five (5) days before the hearing. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

Any requests for a pre-hearing conference must be made by December 13, 2019. To request such a conference, an email should be sent to Trials@uspto.gov including several dates and times of availability for all parties that are generally no later than three business days prior to the oral hearing and shall include list of issues to be discussed during the conference.

It is

ORDERED that, subject to the procedures set forth above, the parties' requests for oral hearing are *granted*; and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.