UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and PFIZER INC., Petitioners,

v.

SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01676 U.S. Patent No. 8,603,044

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENTS SERVED BY PETITIONER



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH hereby makes the following objections to the admissibility of documents submitted with Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s Reply.

Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Litachee	Description	O SJECTIONS
1044	Nat'l. Diabetes Statistic Report 2017, "Estimates of Diabetes and Its	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition. FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court
	Burden in the United States, CDC, p.1-20	statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
		FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
1045	Teresa L. Pearson, "Practical Aspects of Insulin Pen Devices", Journal of Diabetes Science and	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
	Technology, Vol. 4, Issue 3, May 2010, p.522-531	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and wasting resources.
		FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
		FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Lyluelice		Objections
1046	Description Names IV	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
1046	Nancy J.V. Bohannon, "Insulin	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
	Delivery Using Pen	portions of the expert declaration relied upon
	Devices",	by the Petition.
	Postgraduate	by the retition.
	Medicine, Vol. 106,	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
	No.5, Oct. 15, 1999,	any ground upon which trial was instituted is
	p. 57-68, ISSN: 0032-	substantially outweighed by the danger of
	5481 (Print) 1941-	unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and
	9260 – (Online)	wasting resources.
	Journal homepage:	
	https://www.tandfonli	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court
	ne.com/loi/ipgm20	statement offered for its truth, and because it
		does not fall within any exception to the rule
		against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
		FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated
		document and is not self-authenticating
		under FRE 902.
1047	Marilyn R. Graff,	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	RN, CDE et al.,	as it is not cited in the Petition.
	"Assessment by	
	Patients with	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court
	Diabetes Mellitus of	statement offered for its truth, and because it
	Two Insulin Pen	does not fall within any exception to the rule
	Delivery Systems	against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	Versus a Vial and	EDE 001. This authibit is an amouth antit
	Syringe", Clinical	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated
	Therapeutics, Vol.	document and is not self-authenticating
	20, No. 3, 1998, p.486-196	under FRE 902.
	p.400-130	



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Lyluclice	Description	Objections
1048	Expert Declaration of	FRE 701/702/703: Patent Owner objects to
	William Curtis Biggs,	Ex. 1048 as being improper expert testimony
	M.D., FACE, ECNU	because paragraphs 25-58 comprise
	in Support of Mylan-	testimony not based on sufficient facts or
	Pfizer Reply	data, that is irrelevant, that is not based on a
		reliable foundation, and that constitutes
		conclusory opinions without sufficient
		support. It includes opinions that are not
		admissible under FRE 701, 702, or 703 or
		Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509
		U.S. 579 (1993).
1057	Benita Lee, MPH,	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	"How Much Does	as it is not cited in the Petition.
	Insulin Cost? Here's	
	How 23 Brands	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court
	Compare", Good RX,	statement offered for its truth, and because it
	Aug. 23, 2019, pp. 1-	does not fall within any exception to the rule
	11,	against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	https://www.goodrx.co	
	m/glo/how-much-	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated
	does-insuling-	document and is not self-authenticating
1050	cost, compare-brands/	under FRE 902. FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
1058	Certified English Translation of DE	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
	Patent 102 37 258A1, Dr. Roney Graf	portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
	(Issued Mar. 182004)	by the retition.
	(155ucu 1viai. 162004)	37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b): This exhibit does not
		contain an adequate attestation to the
		"accuracy" of the translation.
		are are transferred.
		FRE 801, 802: This exhibit lacks an original
		copy of the allegedly translated document.
		The certification statement contained in the
		exhibit is thus an out of court statement
		offered for its truth, and because it does not
		fall within any exception to the rule against
		hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Liviaciice	Description	Objections
1059	M. Eledrisi, et al., "Twice-Daily Insulin Glargine for Patients	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition.
	with Uncontrolled Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology 15 (2019), p. 35-36	FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay. FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating
	(2017), p. 33-30	under FRE 902.
1060	Declaration and c.v. of DeForrest McDuff, Ph.D.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition. FRE 701/702/703: Patent Owner objects to Ex. 1060 as being improper expert testimony because paragraphs 16-71 comprise testimony not based on sufficient facts or data, that is irrelevant, that is not based on a reliable foundation, and that constitutes conclusory opinions without sufficient support. It includes opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701, 702, or 703 or Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993).
1061	2011 Orange Book (Excerpts)	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
1062	2019 Orange Book (Excerpt – ADA 132 of 263)	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

