Dose Accuracy and Injection Force of Disposable Pens Delivering Pramlintide for the Treatment of Diabetes

Douglas Merritt, B.S.M.E.,[1] Barb Schreiner, Ph.D., R.N., C.D.E., B.C.-A.D.M.,[1] Scott Harris, M.S.M.E.,[1] Mary Beth DeYoung, Ph.D.,[1] Susan Strobel, Ph.D.,[2] and Joseph Lauinger, M.T.[1]

Abstract

Background:

The pen injection format, typically used for insulin administration, has been adapted for the injectable, noninsulin diabetes therapy pramlintide. Administered before major meals, pramlintide therapy requires two to four injections/day in addition to the patients' usual insulin injections. The dose accuracy and injection force was determined for the 60 and 120 μg pramlintide pens.

Methods:

Dose accuracy testing was conducted at two sites on multiple 60 μg (15, 30, and 60 μg doses) and 120 μg pens (60 and 120 μg doses) at prespecified temperatures (5–40 °C) and humidities (0–75%) using 29 G half-inch needles. All pens were stabilized under testing conditions for 4 h prior to testing. One site used a compression load cell (Zwick device) to test pens; one site performed tests manually.

Injection-force testing was conducted at one site on multiple 60 and 120 μg pens at multiple temperatures (18–28 °C) and humidities (25–75%) using 29 and 31 G half-inch needles and an injection speed of 150 m/min. Injection-force testing was performed using a Zwick device.

Results:

Dose accuracy for all pens tested, regardless of location, reproducibly met/exceeded acceptance criteria. Mean percentage of dose accuracy was 96.04 to 102.45% [standard deviations (SDs) 0.3 to 1.4 μg] for the 60 μg pen and 98.16 to 101.83% (SDs 0.4 to 2.5 μg) for the 120 μg pen. The average injection force across both pens did not exceed 7 N regardless of needle size.

Conclusions:

High dose accuracy and low injection force were observed for the 60 and 120 μg pens under a variety of conditions.

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2010;4(6):1438-1446

Author Affiliations: [1]Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Diego, California; and [2]SciComm LLC, San Diego, California

Corresponding Author: Douglas Merritt, B.S.M.E., Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 9360 Towne Centre Dr., San Diego, CA 92121; email address *douglas.merritt@amylin.com*

The first pen injection device was launched in 1985[1] for the administration of insulin to patients with type 1 or advanced type 2 diabetes. Since then, pens have continued to gain in popularity, primarily outside the United States; however, pen use in the United States now appears to be increasing.[1]

Over the years, pens have been refined to improve accuracy and ease of use for self-injection. Pen properties associated with improved ease of use include portability and ease of reading, ease of adjustment, ergonomic design, sturdiness, and differentiation between multiple pens for patients using more than one injectable medication.[1] Some pens have additional safety features such as insufficient remaining dose stops that prevent users from receiving incomplete doses[2] and audible or tactile feedback to ensure complete dose delivery.[1] Ease of use is an important device parameter for the patient who must inject medication multiple times per day indefinitely and may improve medication adherence.[1] One aspect of "ease of use" is how much force is required by the patient to inject medication. Low injection force pens allow patients to inject the full dose by applying a low and steady pressure without experiencing hand or grip fatigue.[1] By increasing dosing accuracy, the pen injectors developed for insulin have helped reduce the incidence of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia.[1]

Continuing refinement of the pen has contributed to growing acceptance by patients. A survey of studies between 1980 and 2008 assessing patient-reported outcomes of pen versus vial and syringe showed substantial preferences for the pen.[3] Of 29 studies assessing patient preference, >66% of patients in 28 studies preferred the pen; in 8 of 9 studies assessing pain, >50% of patients said the pen caused less pain; and in 10 of 12 studies assessing acceptability, >75% of patients rated the pen as more acceptable than vial and syringe.[3] The pen apparatus may be disposable or refillable.[4] Disposable pens are used until the drug cartridge is empty, and then the entire unit is disposed. Durable (refillable) pens are used repeatedly, and spent drug cartridges are replaced with new ones as needed. Luijf and DeVries surveyed the literature (1998 to 2009) relative to pen accuracy and preference and found that pen devices had greater accuracy than a vial and syringe device, especially at low doses (<5 IU).[5]

Pramlintide is an injectable therapy for patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes who use mealtime insulin and who are not achieving desired glycemic goals despite optimized insulin therapy.[6] The usual dose for patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes is 60 and 120 µg, respectively, although smaller doses of 45, 30, or 15 µg (type 1 patients) and 60 µg (type 2 patients) may be used. Pramlintide is an analog of the naturally occurring hormone amylin, which is cosecreted from the pancreatic β cells with insulin in response to a meal. Patients with diabetes are deficient in both insulin and amylin. Pramlintide, like amylin, slows gastric emptying, suppresses inappropriate postprandial glucagon secretion, and increases satiety. These effects complement those of insulin to help circulating glucose concentrations stay within the normal range, thus avoiding hyperglycemia and, over the long-term, lowering hemoglobin A1c.

In patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes, pramlintide is injected just before a meal, which is defined as food intake containing ≥250 kcal or ≥30 g of carbohydrate.[6] Unlike insulin, pramlintide dosing does not vary based on body weight or the caloric/carbohydrate content of a meal beyond the ≥250 kcal/≥30 g carbohydrate threshold. Patients using pramlintide require two to four injections per day (based on eating habits) in addition to their injections of rapid-acting insulin. Thus, a drug delivery system (pen) that increases ease of use and accuracy may be beneficial to patients.

This article describes the design features, dose accuracy, and dose injection force of the pramlintide pen delivery system.

Methods

Design Features

Two sets of dosing options are available for the pramlintide pen. The 60 µg pen delivers fixed doses of 15, 30, 45, and 60 µg; the 120 µg pen delivers fixed doses of 60 and 120 µg. Adjustable dosing allows patients to use less pramlintide if they better tolerate lower than higher doses or require gradual titration to improve tolerability. The fixed dose design differs from that used in insulin pens, which are designed to deliver a continuous drug dose across a wide range. Both pramlintide pens allow use of 29, 30, or 31 G type A needles, and the pens may be used

frequency. The filled pen is stable between 2 and 42 °C, and patients are instructed to store the pens at 2–8 °C before first use, after which the pens may be stored from 2–8 °C up to 30 °C. Pens have a maximum diameter of 18.9 mm (~0.75 in.) and, when capped, a length of 158 mm (6.2 in.; **Figure 1**; data on file, Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.). Three key design features help ensure a high level of dosing accuracy. A stroke sleeve moves the toothed rod forward by a precise distance when the dosage knob is depressed, and a guiding sleeve with interlocking teeth prevents the toothed rod from traveling backward (data on file, Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.).

Dose Accuracy Protocol

Dose accuracy studies were performed at two different sites [Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc, San Diego, CA (site A) and Ypsomed AG, Burgdorf, Switzerland (site B)]. Both sites used International Organization for Standardization (ISO) guideline 11608-1, developed to test the "performance requirements regarding essential aspects" of pen injection devices.[7] The ISO testing criteria provides guidance on preconditioning and testing conditions, including temperature and humidity as well as dose accuracy requirements and statistical considerations. The preconditioning/testing conditions used in these experiments were within the specifications noted in ISO 11608-1. Pens were prepared for testing by attaching a new needle and performing the pen setup described in patient instructions (needle attachment and depression of pen dose knob until the expulsion of fluid was seen from the needle). At site A, each pen was placed in a Zwick device (Zwick/Roell Z2.5 tester with compression load cell; Ulm, Germany), and doses were expelled until the pen was completely emptied. A Zwick device measures the compression or tension force on a sample. The mass of expelled samples was determined using a Mettler SAG285 scale (0.01 mg resolution;

a relative humidity (RH) of 56% to 59%, using 29 G half-inch needles of a single lot. Five 60 μg pens were tested using the 30 and 60 μg dose, with 42 and 21 doses expelled/pen, respectively; five 120 μg pens were tested using the 60 and 120 μg dose, with 42 and 21 doses expelled/pen, respectively. Site B conducted dose accuracy testing manually under three different conditions: cold (5 ± 3 °C, no RH), standard (18 to 25 °C, 25–75% RH), and hot (40 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10% RH) using 29 G half-inch needles of a single lot. Fifteen 60 μg pens were tested using the 15, 30, and 60 μg doses, with four doses expelled/pen; fifteen 120 μg pens were tested using the 60 and 120 μg doses, with four doses expelled/pen. At both sites, pens were stabilized under testing conditions for 4 h prior to testing. Data from the first (priming) dose of each pen were discarded from calculations. The conversion from mass to volume was $V_{measured} = G_{measured}/\rho$, where $V_{measured}$ is the volumetric measurement value for a given dose; $G_{measured}$ is the gravimetric measurements expressed in grams for a given dose; and ρ is density, expressed in grams per milliliter, 1.015 g/ml for pramlintide injection in cartridge. Acceptable dosing accuracy was defined as meeting or exceeding acceptance criteria described in section 9.2 of ISO 11608-1:2000(E).[7]

Injection Force Protocol

Injection force tests were conducted at site A only. Pens were prepared for testing by attaching a new needle and performing the pen setup described in patient instructions (needle attachment and depression of pen dose knob until the expulsion of fluid was seen from the needle). Testing was performed using 10 pens of both pen sizes using a Zwick device and was conducted at 18 to 28 °C and at 25 to 75% RH. Both 60 and 120 μg pens were tested using a 29 and 31 G half-inch needle. A standard injection speed of 150 m/min was used


Figure 1. Pramlintide pen injection device.

force easier. Data from the first (priming) dose of each pen were discarded from calculations. Data for each pen/needle configuration consisted of three sets of two force tests. Each set of two force tests was preceded by one unmeasured force test and separated from the other force tests by six manual expulsions of drug so that data were collected from the beginning, middle, and end of the pen capacity. There are no established criteria for force testing.

Results

Dose Accuracy Results

Both 60 and 120 µg dose pens delivered accurate and reproducible doses at temperatures ranging from 5 to 40 °C and RH ranging from 0 to 75%. Different sites, testing protocols, operators, and dose sizes produced consistent dose accuracy results. All pens met or exceeded the ISO 11608-1:2000(E) criteria.[7]

At site A, the 60 µg pen delivered a 30.0 to 32.0 µg dose [overall mean percentage of dose accuracy 102.45%; standard deviation (SD) range 0.3 to 0.4] when the 30 µg dose was injected and delivered a 60.3 to 60.8 µg dose (overall mean percentage of dose accuracy 101.19%; SD range 0.4 to 0.8) when the 60 µg dose was injected. The 120 µg pen delivered a 59.5 to 61.3 µg dose (overall mean percentage of dose accuracy 100.41%; SD range 0.4 to 2.4) when the 60 µg dose was injected and delivered a 118.9 to 120.7 µg dose (overall percentage of dose accuracy 99.84%; SD range 0.4 to 1.5) when the 120 µg dose was injected (**Figure 2**). The variability of pen 4 (120 µg pen delivering a 60 µg dose) was greater than any other pen tested; however, it still met all pen release criteria (data not shown) as well as the ISO-11608-1 acceptance criteria.[7]

At site B, the 60 µg pen delivered a 14.4 to 14.9 µg dose (overall percentage of dose accuracy across all temperatures 98.34%; SD range 0.4 to 0.64) when the 15 µg dose was injected, a 28.9 to 29.6 µg dose (overall percentage of dose accuracy across all temperatures 97.96%; SD range 0.8 to 1.4) when the 30 µg dose was injected, and a 58.9 to 59.6 µg dose (overall percentage of dose accuracy across all temperatures 98.81%; SD range 0.6 to 0.7) when the 60 µg dose was injected. The 120 µg pen delivered a 58.9 to 61.1 µg dose (overall percentage of dose accuracy 100.26% across all temperatures; SD range 0.8 to 2.5) when the 60 µg dose was injected and delivered a 118.3 to 120.6 µg dose (percentage of

1.1 to 2.5) when the 120 µg dose was injected (**Figure 3**).

Injection Force Results

The injection force values of all pens were similar regardless of the dose/needle combination used (**Figure 4**). The average injection force for the 60 µg pen (60 µg dose, 29 G needle) ranged from 4.1 to 6.6 N (SD range 0.2 to 0.3), with a maximum force ranging from 6.3 to 10.1 N; the average injection force for the 60 µg pen (60 µg dose, 31 G needle) ranged from 4.5 to 6.4 N (SD range 0.1 to 0.7), with a maximum force ranging from 6.8 to 9.5 N. The average injection force for the 120 µg pen (120 µg dose, 29 G needle) ranged from 3.2 to 6.5 N (SD range 0.2 to 0.6), with a maximum force ranging from 5.0 to 10.0 N; the average injection force for the 120 µg pen (120 µg dose, 31 G needle) ranged from 2.8 to 6.5 N (SD range 0.1 to 0.7), with a maximum force ranging from 4.3 to 9.6 N.

Discussion

The pramlintide pen device delivers a fixed dose of pramlintide for use as indicated by patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes who use mealtime insulin. Its design is based on a general insulin pen template and incorporates several engineering elements to increase accuracy. These studies showed that patients who use the pen as directed will consistently receive the prescribed dose of pramlintide with accuracy.

The dose accuracy of the pramlintide pen across multiple sites, temperatures, RH, and operators, at both the minimum and maximum doses, was highly reproducible. Pen design, specifically the guiding sleeve, stroke sleeve, and the toothed rod, was viewed as key in producing accurate results across a variety of conditions and operators. The stroke sleeve moves the toothed rod forward by a precise distance when the dosage knob is depressed, and a guiding sleeve with interlocking teeth prevents the toothed rod from traveling backward. The dose accuracy was well within the ISO specifications set for pen injectors.

The average injection force for both configurations of the pramlintide pen was low, <7 N, and did not appear to be affected by needle size. The injection forces for the pramlintide pen were substantially lower than those observed for insulin pens that have reported injection forces ranging from approximately 10 to 25 N.[1] In a study comparing usability and patient preference for different pen injectors, patients preferred the pen with the lowest injection force.[8] Given that patients with diabetes will routinely inject medication multiple times per day

accurately delivers medication with a low injection force would be preferred.

The strengths of these testing protocols included testing the indicated doses under multiple conditions for the entire pen volume under highly controlled conditions. The primary weakness for injection-force testing was that only one injection speed was used. Greater dosing variability is expected with patient use based on the range of patient understanding regarding the injection process and the ability to accurately execute an injection.

pens account for just over 50% of all insulin injectables worldwide.[1] The popularity of the pen compared to vial and syringe has grown because of increased dose accuracy, ease of use, and decreased cost. Most, if not all, pen development was done with insulin where the critical issue was dose accuracy so as to avoid hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia.[2] Numerous insulin studies have shown pens to be more accurate than vial and syringe, especially at doses <5 U.[9-12] Ease of use for pens encompasses multiple issues from the practical/ergonomic to the psychological, including ease of dose


Figure 2. Dose accuracy, site A. Dose accuracy (mean dose ± SD, percentage dose accuracy) of the 60 μg pen to dispense (**A, B**) a 30 and 60 μg dose and of the 120 μg pen to dispense (**C, D**) a 60 and 120 μg dose using multiple pens. Temperature (25 to 26 °C), RH (36% to 39%), needle size (29 G), lot number, and operator were identical for all pens.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.