

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioner,

V.

SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01676 U.S. Patent No. 8,603,044

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENTS SERVED WITH THE PETITION



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH hereby makes the following objections to the admissibility of documents submitted with the Petition.

Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
	Description	Ů
Ex. 1003	U.S. Patent	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	8,992,486, <i>Pen-Type</i>	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
	<i>Injector</i> (issued Mar.	portions of the expert declaration relied upon
	31, 2015)	by the Petition.
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	9,526,844, <i>Pen-Type</i>	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
	Injector (issued Dec.	portions of the expert declaration relied upon
	27, 2016)	by the Petition.
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	9,604,008, <i>Drive</i>	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
	Mechanisms Suitable	portions of the expert declaration relied upon
	for Use in Drug	by the Petition.
	Delivery Devices	
	(issued Mar. 28,	
	2017)	
Ex. 1006	File History for U.S.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	Patent 8,679,069	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
		portions of the expert declaration relied upon
		by the Petition.
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
		any ground upon which trial was instituted is
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1008	File History for U.S.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	Patent 8,992,486	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
		portions of the expert declaration relied upon
		by the Petition.
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
		any ground upon which trial was instituted is



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
	Description	· ·
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1009	File History for U.S.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	Patent 9,526,844	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
		portions of the expert declaration relied upon
		by the Petition.
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
		any ground upon which trial was instituted is
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1010	File History for U.S.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	Patent. 9,604,008	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
		portions of the expert declaration relied upon
		by the Petition.
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
		any ground upon which trial was instituted is
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1011	Expert Declaration of	FRE 701/702/703: Patent Owner objects to
	Karl Leinsing	Ex. 1011 as being improper expert testimony
	MSME, PE in	because paragraphs 113-124, 126, 128-129,
	Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i>	131, 133-135, 137, 139-140, 142-144, 146- 147, 150, 152-855 comprise testimony not
	Review of U.S. Patent	based on sufficient facts or data, that is
	Nos. 8,679,069;	irrelevant, that is not based on a reliable
	8,603,044; 8,992,486;	foundation, and that constitutes conclusory
	9,526,844 and	opinions without sufficient support. It
	9,604,008	includes opinions that are not admissible
		under FRE 701, 702, or 703 or <i>Daubert v</i> .
		Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S. 579
Ev 1012	II C Datant 6 221 046	(1993).
Ex. 1013	U.S. Patent 6,221,046 - A. Burroughs et al.,	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition.
	"Recyclable	as it is not cited in the 1 ctition.
	Medication	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
	Dispensing Device"	any ground upon which trial was instituted is



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Evidence	Description	Objections
	(issued Apr. 24,	substantially outweighed by the danger of
	2001)	unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and
		wasting resources.
Ex. 1016	U.S. Patent 6,932,794	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	B2 – L. Giambattista	as it is not cited in the Petition.
	& A. Bendek,	
	"Medication Delivery	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
	Pen" (issued Aug. 23,	any ground upon which trial was instituted is
	2005)	substantially outweighed by the danger of
		unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and
		wasting resources.
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent 6,582,404	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	B1 – P.C. Klitgaard et	as it is not cited in the Petition.
	al., "Dose Setting	
	Limiter" (issued June	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
	24, 2003)	any ground upon which trial was instituted is
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and
E 1010	El III (C II C	wasting resources.
Ex. 1018	File History for U.S.	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	Patent 6,582,404	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the
		portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
		by the retition.
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
		any ground upon which trial was instituted is
		substantially outweighed by the danger of
		unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and
T 4010	D1 : .: cc :	wasting resources.
Ex. 1019	Plaintiffs'	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to
	Preliminary Claim	any ground upon which trial was instituted is
	Constructions and	substantially outweighed by the danger of
	Preliminary Identification of	unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and wasting resources.
	Supporting Intrinsic	washing resources.
	and Extrinsic	
	Evidence, Sanofi-	
	Aventis U.S. LLC v.	
	TIVETHUS U.D. LLC V.	



Evidence	Petitioner's	Objections
Lyluchee	Description	Objections
	Mylan GmbH, No.	
	2:17-cv-09105	
	(D.N.J.)	
Ex. 1020	U.S. Patent 4,865,591 – B. Sams, "Measured Dose Dispensing Device"	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
	(issued Sep. 12, 1989)	
		FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent 6,248,095	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant
	B1 – L. Giambattista et al., "Low-cost Medication Delivery Pen" (issued June 19,	as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
	2001)	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1022	U.S. Patent 6,921,995 B1 – A.A. Bendek et al., "Medication Delivery Pen Having An Improved Clutch	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.
	Assembly" (issued July 13, 1999)	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues and wasting resources.
Ex. 1023	U.S. Patent 5,226,895 – D.C. Harris, "Multiple Dose Injection Pen" (issued July 13, 1993)	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant as it is not cited in the Petition or in the portions of the expert declaration relied upon by the Petition.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

