1

1	- VOLUME 1 -
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
4	
5	ANNOTE NUMBER IN A LIFE CONTRACTOR
6	SANOFI AVENTIS U.S. LLC, : CIVIL ACTION SANOFI AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND :
7	and SANOFI-AVENTIS WINTHROP : INDUSTRIES, :
8	: Plaintiffs, :
	:
9	vs. :
10	MERCK SHARP & DOHME :
	CORPORATION, :
11	
12	Defendant. : NO. 16-812 (RGA)
13	
14	Wilmington, Delaware
15	Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:30 o'clock, a.m.
16	
17	BEFORE: HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS, U.S.D.C.J.
18	
19	APPEARANCES:
20	
21	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. BY: MARTINA A. HUFNAL, ESQ.
22	
23	-and-
24	Leonard A. Dibbs Valerie J. Gunning
	Official Court Reporters
25	Deportent Buffe  Date 9/9 Rptr. Lt  WWW.DEPOBOOKPRODUCTS.COM

66	68
11/2	
II.	
67	69
	Moskow - direct  Sanofi, would you like to call a witness?
	Sanofi, would you like to call a witness?  MR. MARSILLO: Angela Moskow.
1	3 ANGELA MOSKOW, having duly
1.0	sworn as a witness, was examined and
	5 testified as follows
	6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
J 12	7 BY MR. MARSILLO:
	8 Q. Good morning, Ms. Moskow. 9 A. Good morning.
1.0	9 A. Good morning. 0 Q. Are you presently employed?
1	
1	
1	
100	4 Q. And what type of business do you have?
1	
1	
1	
1	
2	
	4
2	
2	2 I apologize for my voice.
2 2	



73

### Moskow - direct

- 1 and responsibilities that you had at Sanofi during your
- 2 tenure?
- 3 A. Yes, I started in our sales organization and then
- 4 moved over into our marketing area, which is where the
- 5 majority of my career was spent. And then finished up in
- 6 the corporate affairs area.
- 7 Q. And during your time at Sanofi, did you work with any
- 8 particular product or products?
- 9 A. Yes, predominantly I worked with our Glargine
- 10 portfolio, which included both Lantus and Apidra.
- 11 Q. So what is Lantus?
- 12 A. Lantus is a 24-hour basal insulin also known as
- 13 insulin glargine, which is used to treat diabetes.
- 14 Q. And as far as your roles and responsibilities, did you
- 15 develop a general understanding of Lantus, including its
- 16 active ingredients and properties?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. So what is an insulin glargine?
- 19 A. Insulin glargine is a molecule that was designed to be
- 20 an insulin to have some different properties like to last
- 21 longer over a 24-hour period and to mimic more what the
- 22 pancreas does for a basal or background break.
- 23 Q. So you mentioned that Lantus is 24 hours.
- 24 What do you mean by 24-hour Lantus?
- 25 A. So, if a patient who has diabetes with one injection,

#### Moskow - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And where?
- 3 A. The first country to launch was Germany, and that was
- 4 in June of 2000.
- 5 Q. And in obtaining approval to market Lantus in the
- 6 United States, did Sanofi submit data from clinical trials
- 7 to the FDA?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So prior to launch of Lantus in the United States,
- 10 were you aware of any data in those clinical trials or from
- 11 Sanofi's year-long experience with Lantus in Germany, or
- 12 from any other source, that indicated to you that there were
- 13 any issues concerning cloudiness in the Lantus formulation?
- 14 A. No
- 15 Q. So what, if any, responsibilities did you have with
- 16 respect to the launch of Lantus?
- 17 A. I had a bunch of responsibilities. Initially market
- 18 research to understand what were the unmet needs in the
- 19 marketplace, in the diabetes marketplace. Then
- 20 understanding the product labeling that we were going to
- 21 have for Lantus. And then looking at what were the messages
- 22 or materials that we wanted to build around the launch to
- 23 communicate the benefits of Lantus moving forward.
- 24 Q. So what was the method that Sanofi selected to
- 25 communicate Lantus in the marketplace?

71

Moskow - direct

- 1 the Lantus profile would last for them, and would work to
- 2 lower their glucose for a full 24 hours.
- 3 Q. And you also used the term "basal."
- 4 What do you mean, the "Lantus with basal insulin"?
- ${f 5}$  A. In patients who have do not have diabetes, or people
- 6 who do not have diabetes, the pancreas is always making a
- 7 certain amount of insulin that the body needs, so that's8 your basal or your background rate that is present.
- 9 Q. So going back to your work at Sanofi, specifically
- 10 with respect to Lantus, what positions did you have related
- 11 to Lantus.
- 12 A. I started initially as a promotional manager, which is
- 13 role that supports the Products Manager that were getting
- 14 ready to launch Lantus.
- 15 I then moved into a Product Manager role right before
- 16 the launch of Lantus, and then held multiple roles on the
- 17 Lantus brand up until the last five years that I was the
- 18 head of the Marketing Department for Lantus.
- 19 Q. When was Lantus launched in the United States?
- 20 A. May of 2001.
- 21 Q. And in what format was Lantus marketed when it was
- 22 launched in the United States?
- 23 A. It was launched in the 10 millimeter vials.
- Q. Prior to the launch in the United States, had it beenlaunched elsewhere?
- 19 of 138 sheets

- 1 A. The primary method was 24-hour control.
- 2 So because Lantus was a new insulin, and there wasn't
- 3 one that lasted 24 hours with one injection, that's the area
- 4 that we really wanted to focus on.
- 5 Q. Was Lantus the first long-acting basal insulin
- 6 formulation launched in the U.S. market?
- 7 A. Yes
- 8 Q. Now, after the launch of Lantus in the United States,
- 9 were you made aware of any information that indicated that
- 10 there were issues relating to the cloudiness of the Lantus
- 11 formulation in its vials?
- 12 A. Yes, we were.
- 13 Q. What information were you made aware that that
- 14 indicated that there were issues concerning cloudiness in
- 15 the Lantus vials?
- 16 A. Shortly after the launch of Lantus in the United
- 17 States, there were complaints as far as coming into the
- 18 company, where patients would identify that they had a vial
- 19 of Lantus that they would see white particles or
- 20 participants, or it looks cloudy. And this was certainly a
- 21 concern.
- 22 Q. And did Sanofi track those complaints?
- 23 A. Yes
- 24 Q. And as far as your responsibilities, were you made
- 25 aware of those complaints?

77

### Moskow - direct

- 1 I was. A.
- 2 Approximately how many complaints did Sanofi receive Q.
- 3 within that first year or so?
- 4 A. Over the first year I think it was about 150.
- 5 Q. And why were the complaints of cloudy vials a concern
- 6 for Sanofi?
- 7 Well, the first reason they were a concern is, we A.
- 8 didn't know why it was happening. So we first needed to
- 9 understand why was this happening.
- 10 Q. Were there any concerns about how the complaints of
- 11 cloudiness in the vials would affect the Lantus brand?
- 12 A. Yes, absolutely?
- 13 Now, in your deposition in this matter, you testified Q.
- 14 that the complaints about cloudiness were more of a signal
- 15 than an issue.
- 16 What do you mean by that?
- 17 When the complaints first starting coming in, the
- 18 number was not very high, especially when you look at the
- 19 number of complaints per thousands of vials that were in the
- 20 marketplace.
- 21 So I think of it more as a signal. Something that we
- 22 needed to investigate and to understand. As time went on,
- 23 though, the complaints continued to increase.
- 24 And, so, certainly the company looked at this as an
- 25 issue that needed to be addressed.

### Moskow - direct

- investigating, did any member of the groups that you just
- describe state that they were immediately aware of what the
- 3 cause of the cloudiness in the vials was?
- 4 No, that's why we were trying to understand the A.
- 5 situation.
- 6 And did you continue to receive complaints concerning
- 7 cloudiness in the Lantus vials after that first year or so?
- 8 Yes, we did.
- 9 Would you turn with me to Defendant's Exhibit 194. Q.
- 10 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 194 was admitted into
- 11 evidence.)
- 12 BY MR. MARSILLO:
- 13 O It's also on the screen.
- 14 A.
- 15 O. What is that document?
- 16 A. This is an internal report that was prepared to give
- 17 -- it was an executive overview during the time period, May
- 18 2003. The number of complaints that were coming in
- 19 associated with this issue of turbidity. And then also if
- 20 there were adverse events that were associated with this
- 21 particular type of complaint.
- 22 And if we turn to page 3, there's a chart of the
- 23 complaints at least during the time period shown?
- 24 A.
- 25 Q. Now, did Sanofi communicate with the FDA concerning

75

### Moskow - direct

- 1 So what did Sanofi do in response to receiving the
- complaints concerning cloudiness in the Lantus vial? 2
- 3 There were multiple things that were done.
- 4 The -- of course the first thing we wanted to 5 understand was why, so we had several different parts of the
- 6 organization investigate why was this happening, why were we
- 7 getting the complaint of cloudy vials.
- 8 One of the areas that I was working on specifically
- 9 was understanding our distribution channel. And knowing
- 10 that Lantus is a different insulin, and that it needs to
- 11 stay under refrigeration from Sanofi to our wholesalers,
- 12 retailers, and then ultimately to the patient, we wanted to
- 13 understand was there a breakdown where the product was not
- refrigerated and not kept within the specifications that are 14
- 15 required.
- 16 There were others in the company that were looking at 17 the batches that were coming into the U.S. to see whether
- 18 there was something different about these batches versus
- 19 what was happening in Europe.
- 20 We also had a group that was looking at the needle,
- 21 and was there some type of contaminant that was being put
- 22 into the vial when the needle was going into the vial.
- 23 So certainly a lot of different things that we needed
- 24 to understand what exactly was happening. So when these complaints came in, and Sanofi started

Moskow - direct

- 1 cloudiness in the Lantus vials?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Were you kept apprised of those communications? Q.
- 4 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. 5 Now, did you know what a field alert is?
- 6 I do. A.
- 7 What is a field alert? Q.
- 8 A field alert is when a company has information about A.
- 9 one of theirs products that is currently marketed to the
- 10 public. And if there is something that they are seeing,
- 11 that they feel they need to alert the FDA to get an issue of
- 12 a field alert.
- 13 And when the field alert issued with respect to the
- 14 cloudiness in the Lantus vial?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When?
- 17 A. I'm sorry?
- 18 Q.
- 19 A. June of 2001.
- 20 And did you have concerns about the FDA's response in
- 21 connection with complaints regarding cloudiness in the
  - 22 Lantus vial?
  - 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. What was your understanding as to what the FDA could
- 25 do in response to concern about cloudiness in the Lantus

### Moskow - direct

- 1 vial?
- 2 A. The FDA has a lot of different things they can do. We
- 3 certainly wanted to keep the conversations private in
- 4 sharing the information that we had. The FDA couldn't
- 5 decide that they were going to issue a public communication,
- 6 which we were at the beginning of a launch in trying to
- 7 establish the brand and that certainly could be detrimental.
- 8 The FDA also had the power to potentially do a recall
- $\boldsymbol{9}$   $\,$  which could be detrimental or even pull the product off the
- 10 market if they felt strongly about it which, of course,
- 11 would have been catastrophic for the Lantus brand at that
- 12 time.
- ${\bf 13}\quad {\bf Q}. \qquad {\bf And \ we \ talked \ about \ some \ of \ the \ complaints \ that \ Sanofi}$
- 14 received.
- 15 As far as you know, were any of those complaints
- 16 publicly disclosed?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Now, what did Sanofi ultimately decide to do after
- 19 completing its investigation in the cloudiness in the Lantus
- 20 vial?
- 21 A. After we completed the investigation with the project
- 22 team, we decided that it would be the best solution, and
- 23 what was presented to the organization was to reformulate
- 24 Lantus in a vial.
- 25 Q. And did Sanofi submit a supplemental NDA concerning

#### Moskow - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What format?
- 3 A. There were two.
- 4 One was the reusable pen device which is called Lantus
- 5 OptiClik and the other was a disposable pen device called
- 6 Lantus SoloSTAR.
- 7 Q. And is OptiClik still distributed by Sanofi in the
- 8 United States?
- 9 A. It is not.
- 10 Q. Why did Sanofi discontinue distributing the OptiClik?
- 11 A. It was lack of market demands here in the United
- 12 States.
- 13 Q. Now, did Sanofi receive any complaints of cloudiness
- 14 in either the SoloSTAR pen device or the OptiClik pen
- 15 device?
- 16 A. No
- 17 Q. And the -- if I'm correct, Ms. Moskow, each of those
- 18 pen devices contain a cartridge that has a formulation in
- 19 it?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And what is the formulation that is used in the Lantus
- 22 SoloSTAR?
- 23 A. It is the original formulation that was approved here
- 24 in the United States in 2000.
- 25 Q. You mentioned SoloSTAR.

79

### Moskow - direct

- 1 its reformulated Lantus?
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. And how much time elapsed between the start of the
- 4 investigation into the cloudiness in the Lantus vial and
- 5 submission of the supplemental NDA?
- 6 A. It was about three years.
- 7 Q. What, if any, effect did the change in the formulation
- 8 have on the number of complaints that Sanofi received with
- 9 respect to cloudiness in its vials?
- 10 A. They dramatically decreased.
- 11 Q. As if?
- 12 MR. MARSILLO: If we can take a look at
- 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 722?
- 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 722 was admitted into
- 15 evidence.)
- 16 BY MR. MARSILLO:
- 17 Q. It's also on the screen.
- 18 A. Yes

21 of 138 sheets

- 19 Q. What is Plaintiff's Exhibit 722?
- 20 A. This is a chart which was compared and looked at over
- 21 the years after the reformulation was into the U.S.
- 22 marketplace. The number of complaints and how they
- 23 decreased over time.
- Q. Now, besides marketing Lantus in the vial format, didSanofi market Lantus in any other format?

- Moskow direct
- 1 Is SoloSTAR still distributed by Sanofi?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And who designed SoloSTAR?
- 4 A. We worked with a firm out of the United Kingdom called
- 5 DCA.

7

- 6 Q. I'm sorry.
  - When was SoloSTAR launched?
- 8 A. It was launched until July of 2007.
- 9 Q. And you may have mentioned this, but when was the
- 10 Lantus OptiClik launched?
- 11 A. In January of 2015 -- sorry -- 2005. January of 2005.
- 12 Q. So OptiClik was still on the market at the time that
- 13 SoloSTAR launched?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- 15 Q. Were there any other injections pens on the market
- 16 besides Lantus OptiClik and Lantus SoloSTAR, when SoloSTAR
- 17 Jaunched?
- 18 A. Yes, there were multiple pens on the market from a
- 19 competitive standpoint. Two that we paid close attention to
- 20 were the Flexpen by Nova Nordis and also Lilly's disposable
- 21 pen.

Page 78 to 81 of 353

- 22 Q. And what were your responsibilities with respect to
- 23 the launch of SoloSTAR?
- 24 A. I was leading the marketing team that was responsible
- 25 for the launch of the Lantus SoloSTAR.

81

# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

