UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2018-01675 Patent No. 8,603,044

PETITIONER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 8,679,069, <i>Pen-Type Injector</i> (issued Mar. 25, 2014)
1002	U.S. Patent 8,603,044, Pen-Type Injector (issued Dec. 10, 2013)
1003	U.S. Patent 8,992,486, <i>Pen-Type Injector</i> (issued Mar. 31, 2015)
1004	U.S. Patent 9,526,844, Pen-Type Injector (issued Dec. 27, 2016)
1005	U.S. Patent 9,604,008, Drive Mechanisms Suitable for Use in Drug Delivery Devices (issued Mar. 28, 2017)
1006	File History for U.S. Patent 8,679,069
1007	File History for U.S. Patent 8,603,044
1008	File History for U.S. Patent 8,992,486
1009	File History for U.S. Patent 9,526,844
1010	File History for U.S. Patent. 9,604,008
	Expert Declaration of Karl Leinsing MSME, PE in Support of Petition
1011	for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,679,069; 8,603,044;
	8,992,486; 9,526,844 and 9,604,008
1012	Curriculum Vitae of Karl Leinsing MSME,PE
1013	U.S. Patent 6,221,046 - A. Burroughs et al., "Recyclable Medication
	Dispensing Device" (issued Apr. 24, 2001)
	U.S. Patent 6,235,004 – S. Steenfeldt-Jensen & S. Hansen, "Injection
1014	Syringe" (issued May 22, 2001)
1015	U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0053578 A1 – C.S. Møller, "Injection
	Device" (pub'd May 2, 2002)
1016	U.S. Patent 6,932,794 B2 – L. Giambattista & A. Bendek, "Medication
	Delivery Pen" (issued Aug. 23, 2005)

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	Description
1017	U.S. Patent 6,582,404 B1 – P.C. Klitgaard et al., "Dose Setting
	Limiter" (issued June 24, 2003)
1018	File History for U.S. Patent 6,582,404
1019	Plaintiffs' Preliminary Claim Constructions and Preliminary
	Identification of Supporting Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence,
	Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC v. Mylan GmbH, No. 2:17-cv-09105
	(D.N.J.) (filed Sep. 5 2018)
1020	U.S. Patent 4,865,591 – B. Sams, "Measured Dose Dispensing
	Device" (issued Sep. 12, 1989)
	U.S. Patent 6,248,095 B1 – L. Giambattista et al., "Low-cost
1021	Medication Delivery Pen" (issued June 19, 2001)
	U.S. Patent 6,921,995 B1 – A.A. Bendek et al., "Medication Delivery
1022	Pen Having An Improved Clutch Assembly" (issued July 13, 1999)
	U.S. Patent 5,226,895 – D.C. Harris, "Multiple Dose Injection Pen"
1023	(issued July 13, 1993)
1024	U.S. Patent 5,851,079 – R.L. Horstman et al., "Simplified
	Unidirectional Twist-Up Dispensing Device With Incremental
	Dosing" (issued Dec. 22, 1998)
1025	Application as filed: U.S. Patent App. 14/946,203 – R.F. Veasey,
	"Relating to a Pen-Type Injector" (filed Nov. 19, 2015)
1026	GB 0304822.0 – "Improvements in and relating to a pen-type injector"
	(filed Mar. 3, 2003) ('844 Priority Doc.)
1027	WO 99/38554 – S.Steenfeldt-Jensen & S.Hansen, "An Injection
	Syringe" (pub'd Aug. 5, 1999) (Steenfeldt-Jensen PCT)

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	Description
1028	Mylan GmbH and Biocon's Preliminary Claim Constructions and
	Supporting Evidence Pursuant to L. Pat. R. 4.2, Sanofi-Aventis
	U.S., LLC v. Mylan N.V., C.A. No. 17-cv-09105 (filed Sep. 5,
	2018)
1029	Memorandum Opinion, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC v. Merck Sharp &
	Dohme Corp., No. 16-cv-812 (filed Jan. 12, 2018)
1030	Memorandum Opinion, Sanofi -Aventis U.S. LLC v. Eli Lilly and Co.,
	No. 14-cv-113 (filed Jan. 20, 2015)
	N. Sclater & N.P. Chironis, Mechanisms & Mechanical Devices
1031	Sourcebook 191-95, "Twenty Screw Devices" (3d ed., July 2,
	2001)
	EP 0 608 343 B1 – L. Petersen & NA. Hansen, "Large Dose Pen"
1032	(pub'd Oct. 18, 1991)
	A.G. Erdman & G.N. Sandor, "Mechanical Advantage", §3.7 in
1033	1 Mechanism Design: Analysis and Synthesis (1984)
	WO 01/83008 – S. Hansen & T.D. Miller., "An Injection Device, A
	Preassembled Dose Setting And Injection Mechanism For An
1034	Injection Device, And A Method Of Assembling An Injection
	Device" (pub'd Nov. 8, 2001)
1035	K.J. Lipska et al., Association of Initiation of Basal Insulin Analogs vs
	Neutral Protamine Hagedorn Insulin With Hypoglycemia-Related
	Emergency Department Visits or Hospital Admissions and With
	Glycemic Control in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes, 320 J. Am.
	Med. Ass'n 53-62 (2018)

Exhibit No.	Description
1036	Transcript, Conference Call (January 15, 2019)
1037	Redlined Petition Page 25
1038	Graph showing PTAB Days to Docketing for Review Proceedings filed between 2016-2018
1039	Transcript, Conference Call (February 13, 2019)
1040	Declaration of Elham F. Steiner in Support of <i>Petitioner's Motion for</i> <i>Pro HacVice Admission</i>
1041	Elham F. Steiner – Attorney Biography
1042	Reserved
1043	Transcript of Conference on July 25, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 29 July 2019

/ Richard Torczon / Richard Torczon Reg. No. 34,448

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.