2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD					
3						
4						
5	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. :					
6	Petitioner, :					
7	v. :					
8	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GmbH, :					
9	Patent Owner. :					
10						
11						
12	Case IPR2018-01675					
13	Case IPR2018-01676					
14	Case IPR2018-01678					
15	Case IPR2018-01680					
16						
17	DEPOSITION OF KARL R. LEINSING					
18	Monday, June 3, 2019					
19						
20	Portsmouth, New Hampshire					
21						
22						
23	Reported by: Deanna J. Dean, RDR, CRR					
24	NH License No. 87					
25	Job No. 86100					

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www. Alderson Reporting.com

Sanofi Exhibit 2163.001



3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Deposition of KARL R. LEINSING, held at the offices of Hampton Inn and Suites, 23 Portwalk Place, Portsmouth, New Hampshire, before Deanna J. Dean, a Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Licensed Court Reporter of the State of New Hampshire.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FISH & RICHARDSON PC 601 Lexington Avenue, 52nd Floor New York 5070 10022 BY: JOHN S. GOETZ, ESQ. goetz@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON PC 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, TX-5070 1 BY: MATT COLVIN, ESQ. colvin@fr.com ALSO PRESENT: MATTHEW GREINERT, ESQ. Jugation Counsel Myran Pharmaceutical Company	
	Page 3		_	
1	APPEARANCES		5	
2	On behalf of the Petitioner:	1	INDEX	
3	On behalf of the Petitioner: WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 1700 K Street NW	2		
4	Washington, DC 20006	3	Examination Page	
5	BY: RICHARD TORCZON, ESQ.	4	KARL R. LEINSING	
6	BY: WESTEY DERRYBERRY ESO.	5	By Mr. Ansley 7	
7	wderryberry@wsgr.com	6		
8	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	7		
9	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 12235.El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego 2 CA 92130-3002	8		
10	RV: NATHANIEL P SCHAPN ESO	9	EXHIBITS	
11	BY: NATHANIEL R. SCHARN, ESQ. nscharn@wsgr.com	10		
12		11	Number Description Page	
13	On behalf of the Patent Owner: WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES 2001 M Street NW. Suite 600	12	Exhibit 1001 US Patent No. 8,679,069	8
14	Washington DC 20036	13	Exhibit 1002 US Patent No. 8,603,044	8
15	BY: SUTTON ANSLEY, ESQ.	14	Exhibit 1003 US Patent No. 8,922,486	8
16	BY: MATTHEW D. SIEGER, ESQ. matthew.sieger@weil.com	15	Exhibit 1004 US Patent No. 8,922,486	8
17		16	Exhibit 1005 US Patent No. 9,526,844	8
18	WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES	17	Exhibit 1011 Declaration of Karl Leinsing,	15
19	767 Fifth Avenue New York NOV 10153-0119	18	MSME, PE	22
20	BY ² , SUDÎP KUNDU, ESQ. sudip.kundu@weil.com	19	Exhibit 1012 Curriculum Vitae of Karl	32
21	Sucip.kundu@wen.com	20	Leinsing	20
22		21	Exhibit 1013 US Patent No. 6,221,046	26
23		23	(Burroughs) Exhibit 1014 US Patent No. 6,235,004	26
24		24	(Steenfeldt-Jensen)	20
25		25	(Steement-Johnson)	
1				

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www. Alderson Reporting.com

Sanofi Exhibit 2163.002



2		3	previous answer.
3	Number Description Page	4	In your declaration you discuss the
4	Exhibit 1015 US Patent Application No. US 26	5	patentability of these five patents. Is that
5	2002/0052578 A1 (Moller)	6	correct?
6	Exhibit 1016 US Patent No. 6,932,794 26	7	A. Yes.
7	(Giambattista)	8	Q. All right. I want to go ahead and produce
8	Exhibit 1017 US Patent No. 6,582,404 26	9	exhibits premarked 1001 through 1005.
9	(Klitgaard)	10	(Premarked Exhibits 1001 - 1005 are handed
10	Exhibit 1020 US Patent No. 4,865,591 (Sams) 84	11	to the witness.)
11	Exhibit 1032 European Patent Specification 87	12	BY MR. ANSLEY:
12	No. EP0608343B1	13	Q. Mr. Leinsing, the court reporter has
13	Exhibit 2100 Article Titled "Injection 105	14	handed you documents that have been premarked in
14	Force of SoloSTAR Compared	15	
15	with Other Disposable Insulin	16	-
16	Pen Devices at Constant Volume	١.	And are these exhibits the Sanofi patents
17	Flow Rates" (van der Burg)	17	that you analyzed in your declaration?
18	Exhibit 2101 Article Titled "An Evaluation 122	18	A. Yes.
19	of Prefilled Insulin Pens: A	19	Q. Okay. And for purposes of this
20	Focus on the Next Generation	20	deposition, I'm going to refer to the exhibits by
21	FlexPen" (Davis, et al.)	21	the last three digits of the patent number. So
22	Exhibit 2102 Drawing Created by Attorney 151	22	I'll refer to Exhibit 1001 as the '069 patent,
23	Ansley	23	Exhibit 1002 as the '044 patent, Exhibit 1003 as
24	Exhibit 2103 Page of Exhibit 1013 with 189	24	the '486 patent, Exhibit 1004 as the '844 patent,
25	Witness's Red Marks	25	and Exhibit 1005 as the '008 patent.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	Do you understand that?
2	KARL R. LEINSING	2	A. Yes.
3	a witness called for examination, having been first	3	Q. And I may also refer to the group of these
4	duly sworn according to law, was examined and	4	five patents as either the Sanofi patents, the
5	testified as follows:	5	SoloSTAR patents, or the challenged patents.
6	EXAMINATION	6	Do you understand that?
7	BY MR. ANSLEY:	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Good morning.	8	Q. Okay. You've been deposed before.
9	A. Good morning.	9	
10	Q. Could you please state your name for the	10	A. Yes.
11	record.	11	Q. And how many times, approximately?
12	A. Carl R. Leinsing.	12	A. Approximately 30 times or so.
13	Q. And how do you spell the last name?	13	Q. And of those 30 times, how many of those
14	A. L-e-i-n-s-i-n-g.	14	depositions were you serving as an expert?
15	Q. Thank you.	15	A. Just about all of them.
16	Do you have an understanding of why you're	16	Q. So are there any depositions where you've
17	here to testify today?		testified not as an expert?
18	A. Yes.	18	MR. TORCZON: Objection. Scope.
19	Q. And what is your understanding?	19	A. No, not that I can recall.
20	A. That there's been some IPRs that were	20	
		l	Q. All right. Well, I'm going to go over
21	filed regarding five patents and the declaration I	21	some rules and guidelines. Sounds like you're
22	wrote in support of those IPRs.	22	fairly familiar with the process. However, it
23	Q. And to clarify, you signed a single	23	can't hurt to go over some things.
24	declaration that was submitted with each of these	24	So I'll be asking you a series of
25	IPR petitions. Is that right?	25	questions, and you must provide an answer to each

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www. Alderson Reporting.com



3 Do you understand? when you first saw any one of these patents? 4 A. Yes. A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. And if you do not understand a Q. Was it before 2000 or after 2000? 6 question that I'm asking, please ask for 6 MR. TORCZON: Objection. Form. 7 clarification. Okay? A. I don't know. They look familiar from 8 looking at the embodiment. So whether it was these A. Okay. Q. We'll take breaks throughout the particular patents or then the preceding patents 10 deposition. If a question is pending, you'll need 10 that they continue from, I don't recall. It's 11 to answer my question before we go on a break. Is probably all during the 2010 and onward time frame. 11 12 that clear? 12 Q. And when were you first engaged by counsel 13 A. That's clear. 13 in this matter? 14 Q. All right. And you're represented by 14 A. I don't remember. I think it was sometime counsel today. Is that right? 15 in 2018. 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. And so you believe that you first became 17 aware of at least some of the Sanofi patents before MR. TORCZON: Objection. Form. 18 2018. Is that right? Q. And who is your counsel today? 19 A. Those that are here present. 19 A. Yes. 20 20 Q. Okay. And which patents do you recall Q. All right. And so your counsel may object 21 from time to time during the deposition today. You 21 seeing or reviewing before 2018? ²² are still obligated to answer any of my questions 22 A. I don't recall. They all look the same. ²³ unless your counsel specifically instructs you not 23 Q. Okay. Would you have -- strike that. 24 ²⁴ to on the basis of privilege. Do you understand Do you recall reading any of these patents 25 that? 25 thoroughly before 2018? Page 11 Page 13 A. Yes. A. I probably read at least one of them. Q. All right. And do you understand that Q. And when was the first time you read any 3 you're under oath to tell the truth today? one of these patents in its entirety? A. Yes. MR. TORCZON: Objection. Form. Q. And throughout the course of this A. I don't recall prior to this case how much 6 deposition as well. Is that right? 6 I've looked at it, but I know at least in this case A. Yes. I've read it in its entirety. Q. And you understand that this is the same Q. Okay. And you've read in this case each 9 oath that you would take before a judge in a court of these patents in its entirety, or no? 10 of law. Is that right? 10 A. Yes. 11 11 A. Yes, that's my understanding. Q. Okay. So yes, you have? 12 Q. And is there any reason that you cannot 12 A. Yes, I have. 13 provide truthful and accurate testimony during this 13 Q. All right. And how many times have you 14 deposition? read through the '069 patent in its entirety? 14 15 A. No. 15 A. At least two or three times. 16 Q. All right. So looking at Exhibits 1001 16 Q. And when was the last time you reviewed 17 through 1005, have you read each of those documents 17 it? 18 before? 18 A. Yesterday. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. How about for the '044? How many times 20 Q. And you've read them in their entirety? 20 have you read through the '044 patent in this case? 21 21 A. Yes. A. At least once or twice. 22 Q. And when did you first become aware of any 22 Q. And when was the last time you reviewed 23 one of these patents? 23 the '044 patent? 24 24 A. I don't know the exact date when I first A. Saturday.

Alderson Court Reporting

25

1-800-FOR-DEPO

seen them, but I've seen them in the past in some

www.AldersonReporting.com

Q. Okay. The '486 patent, how many times





3 At least once or twice. 3 the table of contents, and it continues over the 4 Q. And when did you last review it? next four pages. A. I'm not sure. It was probably Saturday of Do you see that? 6 last week. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And would your answer for the '844 Q. So which of these sections did you write patent be the same? the first draft for? 9 A. Yes. 9 MR. TORCZON: Objection. Relevance. 10 Q. And for the '008 patent would your answer 10 A. I know I wrote the level of skill in the 11 be the same or different? 11 art -- a lot of these. I'm not sure which ones I 12 A. That one's a little bit different. I 12 started. I think we started off with tossing 13 reviewed that one yesterday and then also last around a lot of different prior art back and forth. 14 week. I found some prior art. 15 15 Q. And how many times do you think you And then I drafted a lot of the sections, 16 reviewed the '008 patent in its entirety? 16 especially the qualifications, legal standards I've 17 17 had from the past. The attorneys then filled in a A. At least twice. Q. So you testified earlier that you were 18 lot of that, since that's the legal part. 19 engaged in this matter sometime in 2018. Is that 19 Q. How about any of the sections beginning on 20 right? 20 page 91 and continuing through page 469? 21 21 A. I don't recall, you know, who started MR. TORCZON: Objection. Asked and 22 22 what. I think they helped put together the tables answered. 23 A. Yes, sometime in 2018. 23 in the very beginning, and then every single 24 24 section after that, I went through step by step of Q. Okay. When did you begin drafting your 25 declaration? 25 each of the prior arts with the attorneys. They Page 15 Page 17 A. I don't recall. 1 took notes. They drafted everything together. 2 Q. Was it soon after you were engaged? ² There wasn't any single statement that was made in A. No. I was talking to the law firm, 3 here that either I didn't create or I didn't ⁴ actually, for quite a while, because I was actually ⁴ approve. 5 working on a case against Mylan at the time. Q. You stated that you found some of the Q. Okay. What case was that? 6 prior art. 6 7 A. That was on an EpiPen that failed. What prior art specifically did you locate 8 Liability case. and find? 9 Q. Did you write the first draft of your A. Well, Klitgaard, for one. Because I was 10 declaration? working on some other injector pen-type projects, 11 11 and the Klitgaard was part of that project. A. I wrote many different sections. It was a 12 huge declaration, and then we pieced it together as 12 Q. Any others? 13 time went on. 13 A. I think I also had found Moller, but I Q. I'm going to introduce Exhibit 1011 that's 14 believe they had found Moller as well. And I found 14 15 been premarked in this matter. It is premarked. 15 Steenfeldt-Jensen, and I think they found that one 16 It will make carrying the box much easier. 16 as well. Then I found many others. I don't recall 17 (Premarked Exhibit 1011 is handed to the 17 right now. 18 18 witness.) Q. You stated just now that you were working 19 BY MR. ANSLEY: 19 on some other pen -- I'm sorry. Strike that. 20 Q. Mr. Leinsing, you've been handed premarked 20 You stated just now that you were working 21 Exhibit 1011. 21 on other pen injector type products -- projects. 22 This document is a declaration you 22 What were these other projects? prepared for each of the IPR petitions. Correct? 23 23 MR. TORCZON: Objection. Scope. 24 A. Correct, minus the exhibits. 24 Relevance. 25 25 Q. Minus the exhibits. Okay. A. One was another patent litigation case.

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www.AldersonReporting.com



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

