# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioner,

v.

SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2018-01675 Patent No. 8,603,044

# MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE RECOGNITION 37 CFR §42.10(c)

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Case No. IPR2018-01675 Patent No. 8,603,044

# I. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioner (Mylan) moves for Elham F. Steiner to be recognized *pro hac vice* for this proceeding. 37 CFR §42.10(c); Paper 8, citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (2013) (representative). Patent Owner (Sanofi) has indicated that it will not oppose. A Declaration (EX1040) from Ms. Steiner accompanies this Motion.

### **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

1. Ms. Steiner is a member in good standing of the state bars of New York and California, of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and of numerous United States District Courts. EX1040, ¶1.

2. Ms. Steiner has not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. *Id.*, ¶2.

3. No court or administrative body has denied an application for admission from Ms. Steiner. *Id.*, ¶3.

4. No court or administrative body has imposed sanctions or contempt citations imposed on Ms. Steiner. *Id.*, ¶4.

5. Ms. Steiner has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide (and update) and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. *Id.*, ¶5. Ms. Steiner agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional
Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and to disciplinary jurisdiction under
37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.*, ¶6.

7. Within the last three years, Ms. Steiner only appeared *pro hac vice* before the Board in *Mylan Technologies, Inc. v. MonoSol RX, LLC* (IPR2017-00200) and in related proceedings for *Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH* (IPR2017-01526 and IPR2017-01528). *Id.*, ¶7.

8. Ms. Steiner is counsel for Mylan GmbH in the related district court litigation with *Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, et al. v. Mylan GmbH, et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-09105 (D.N.J.), filed October 24, 2017. As a result, Ms. Steiner has particular experience and familiarity with the substantive and technical issues involved in these proceedings from that representation, and from coordination in these proceedings. *Id.*, ¶8.

9. Ms. Steiner is a patent litigation attorney with experience representing clients in multiple jurisdictions, including United States District Courts and the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals. Ms. Steiner has experience in all stages of litigation, from preliminary injunction through trial and appeal, and across a wide range of technologies, including pharmaceutical-related technologies. Her pertinent biographical background appears in an addendum to her declaration. *Id.*, ¶9 (citing EX1041 (Elham F. Steiner, Attorney Biography)).

10. Ms. Steiner has reviewed in detail U.S. Patent No. 8,603,044 and the parties' submissions in the present proceeding. EX1040, ¶10.

### III. REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF

Through Ms. Steiner's representation in these and related matters, Mylan has developed a relationship with Ms. Steiner, which Mylan wants to continue for the purpose of these proceedings. Sanofi has asserted a large number of patents and claims against Mylan, making Ms. Steiner's active involvement in all proceedings for purposes of coordination invaluable. Her educational background, general litigation experience, successful admission before other tribunals, and experience with this proceeding in particular make her well suited for *pro hac vice* admission in these proceedings.

# IV. DECLARATION OF MS. STEINER

A declaration from Ms. Steiner (EX1040) accompanies this motion.

# V. CONCLUSION

Good cause warrants the recognition of Ms. Steiner *pro hac vice*. Mylan respectfully requests that the Board grant this unopposed motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>28 February 2019</u>

/ Wesley E. Derryberry / Wesley E. Derryberry, Back-up Counsel Reg. No. 71,594

# UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 8,679,069, <i>Pen-Type Injector</i> (issued Mar. 25, 2014)
1002	U.S. Patent 8,603,044, Pen-Type Injector (issued Dec. 10, 2013)
1003	U.S. Patent 8,992,486, <i>Pen-Type Injector</i> (issued Mar. 31, 2015)
1004	U.S. Patent 9,526,844, Pen-Type Injector (issued Dec. 27, 2016)
1005	U.S. Patent 9,604,008, Drive Mechanisms Suitable for Use in Drug Delivery Devices (issued Mar. 28, 2017)
1006	File History for U.S. Patent 8,679,069
1007	File History for U.S. Patent 8,603,044
1008	File History for U.S. Patent 8,992,486
1009	File History for U.S. Patent 9,526,844
1010	File History for U.S. Patent. 9,604,008
1011	Expert Declaration of Karl Leinsing MSME, PE in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,679,069; 8,603,044; 8,992,486; 9,526,844 and 9,604,008
1012	Curriculum Vitae of Karl Leinsing MSME,PE
1013	U.S. Patent 6,221,046 - A. Burroughs et al., "Recyclable Medication Dispensing Device" (issued Apr. 24, 2001)
1014	U.S. Patent 6,235,004 – S. Steenfeldt-Jensen & S. Hansen, "Injection Syringe" (issued May 22, 2001)
1015	U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0053578 A1 – C.S. Møller, "Injection Device" (pub'd May 2, 2002)

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.