

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

PARITY NETWORKS, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No.: To Be Assigned  
Patent No.: 6,831,891  
Filing Date: March 6, 2001  
Issue Date: December 14, 2004  
Title: System for Fabric Packet Control

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,831,891  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

---

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8: MANDATORY NOTICES.....	1
A.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Party-In-Interest.....	1
B.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters .....	1
C.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Identification of Counsel and Service Information.....	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW .....	2
A.	37 C.F.R. § 42.101(a)–(c): Petitioner Is Eligible to File this Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review .....	2
B.	37 C.F.R. § 42.102: This Petition Is Timely .....	3
C.	37 C.F.R. § 42.103 and § 42.15(a): <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Fee .....	3
D.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a): Grounds for Standing.....	3
III.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b): IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE .....	4
A.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested.....	4
B.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Statutory Grounds and Identification of Prior Art .....	4
C.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction .....	4
D.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable .....	5
E.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge.....	5
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '891 PATENT .....	5
A.	Priority Date of the '891 Patent.....	5
B.	Summary of the '891 Patent.....	6
C.	Claims of the '891 Patent.....	12
D.	The Legal Standard for Obviousness .....	15

E.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	16
V.	IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	17
A.	Summary of the Grounds for Rejection .....	17
B.	Summary of the Prior Art.....	17
	<i>Schwartz</i> .....	17
	<i>Muller</i> .....	22
	<i>Firoiu</i> .....	24
C.	Ground 1: Claims 1-6 Are Obvious Under § 103(a) in View of <i>Schwartz</i> and <i>Muller</i> .....	25
	Claim 1 .....	25
	Claim 2 .....	41
	Claim 3 .....	41
	Claim 4 .....	43
	Claim 5 .....	43
	Claim 6 .....	47
D.	Claims 1-6 Are Obvious Under § 103(a) in View of <i>Firoiu</i> and <i>Muller</i> .....	47
	Claim 1 .....	47
	Claim 2 .....	56
	Claim 3 .....	56
	Claim 4 .....	58
	Claim 5 .....	58
	Claim 6 .....	60

VI. CONCLUSION.....61

## EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Title
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,831,891 to Mansharamani (“the ‘891 Patent”)
1002	Declaration of Dr. Nicholas Bambos, (“Bambos Decl.”)
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Nicholas Bambos
1004	PCT International Application No. WO 00/02347 A2 to Schwartz et al. (“ <i>Schwartz</i> ”)
1005	PCT International Application No. WO 00/52882 A2 to Muller et al. (“ <i>Muller</i> ”)
1006	Canadian Patent Application No. CA 2 310 531 A1 to Firoiu et al. (“ <i>Firoiu</i> ”)
1007	June 13, 2002 Applicant’s Response to Office Action from the Prosecution History of the ‘891 Patent
1008	January 6, 2003 Applicant’s Response to Office Action from the Prosecution History of the ‘891 Patent
1009	February 13, 2004 Applicant’s Response to Office Action from the Prosecution History of the ‘891 Patent
1010	“Original Complaint” (Docket Entry #1) filed in <i>Parity Networks, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 6:17-cv-00495-RWS-KNM (E.D. Texas)
1011	Executed Summons (Docket Entry #9) filed in <i>Parity Networks, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 6:17-cv-00495-RWS-KNM (E.D. Texas)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.