

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC., and LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,881,902

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
	A. Real Party-in-Interest	1
	B. Related Matters.....	2
	C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	3
III.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	4
IV.	NOTE REGARDING PAGE CITATIONS AND EMPHASIS	4
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '902 PATENT	5
	A. Summary of the Patent	5
	B. Prosecution History	6
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
	A. “dominant axis”	8
	B. “cadence window”	8
VIII.	RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	9
IX.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	9
	A. Challenged Claims	9
	B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges	9
	C. Challenge #1: Claims 1 and 2 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Mitchnick	10
	1. Summary of Mitchnick	10
	2. Mitchnick’s embodiments are combinable	12

3.	Claim 1	13
4.	Claim 2	17
D.	Challenge #2: Claim 3 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Mitchnick and Sheldon	18
1.	Summary of Sheldon.....	18
2.	Reasons to Combine Mitchnick and Sheldon	19
3.	Claim 3	21
E.	Challenge #3: Claim 4 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Mitchnick, Sheldon, and Tanenhaus	29
1.	Summary of Tanenhaus	29
2.	Reasons to Combine Mitchnick, Sheldon, Tanenhaus	29
3.	Claim 4	32
F.	Challenge #4: Claim 5-6 and 9-10 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C §103 over Fabio in view of Pasolini.....	35
1.	State of the Art at the Time of the '902 Patent	35
2.	Summary of Fabio.....	37
3.	Summary of Pasolini.....	40
4.	Reasons to Combine Fabio and Pasolini.....	43
5.	Claim 5	46
6.	Claim 6	58
7.	Claim 9	61
8.	Claim 10.....	67
X.	CONCLUSION.....	72

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

August 31, 2018

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,881,902
Ex. 1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,881,902
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Joe Paradiso, Ph.D., under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex. 1004	Curriculum Vitae of Joe Paradiso
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,463,997 to Fabio Pasolini et al. ("Pasolini")
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,698,097 to Fabio Pasolini et al. ("Fabio")
Ex. 1007	U.S. Publication No. 2006/0084848 to Mitchnick ("Mitchnick")
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,469,639 to Tanenhaus et al. ("Tanenhaus")
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,957,957 to Sheldon ("Sheldon")

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 7,881,902 (“the ’902 patent,” Ex. 1001) is generally directed to monitoring periodic human motions, such as walking, running, biking, and other activities. To do this, the ’902 patent uses a device that includes an accelerometer, which detects acceleration associated with the periodic human motion. And, when the accelerometer fails to detect acceleration associated with the periodic motion, the monitoring device enters a low power sleep mode.

As this Petition shows, the prior art renders obvious claims 1-6 and 9-10 of the ’902 patent. Accordingly, HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (together “HTC”), as well as LG Electronics, Inc. (“LG”) (collectively “Petitioners”) therefore respectfully request that these claims be held unpatentable and cancelled.

This Petition is substantively the same as IPR2018-00424, which was instituted on August 2, 2018, and this Petition is being filed concurrently with a motion for joinder with respect to that proceeding.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc., as well as LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Electronics MobileComm USA, Inc., are the real parties-in-interest to this *inter partes* review petition.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.