

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NETFLIX, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01630
Patent No. 9,769,477

**DECLARATION OF KENNETH A. ZEGER, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	3
A.	Engagement	3
B.	Background And Qualifications	4
II.	Materials Considered.....	7
III.	Relevant Legal Standards	8
A.	Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	8
B.	Burden Of Proof.....	10
C.	Claim Construction	11
D.	Obviousness.....	11
IV.	The Claims Of The ‘477 Patent.....	13
V.	The Requirements Of Limitation 1[B].....	15
VI.	Petitioner’s Obviousness Theory As To the Combination of Imai and Pauls	18
A.	Petitioner’s Obviousness Theories Regarding Imai	18
1.	Obviousness Based On Probable Differences In Compression Rate.....	18
2.	Imai’s Purported References To Compression Rate.....	20
3.	The Petition’s Suggested Modification To Imai	24
B.	Petitioner’s Obviousness Theories As To Pauls.....	32
1.	Pauls’s Purported References To Compression Rate	32
2.	Obviousness Based On Probable Differences In Compression Rate.....	34
C.	Petitioner’s Obviousness Theory As To the Combination Of Imai And Pauls.....	35
VII.	Petitioner’s Obviousness Theory As To The “first” And “second” Encoders Recited In Claims 1 And 20.....	36
VIII.	Petition’s Motivation To Combine Imai And Pauls	39

IX. Petitioner’s Suggestion That A POSITA Would Configure The
Combination Of Imai And Pauls To Use Arithmetic Encoding 42

X. Petitioner’s Obviousness Theory As To The Combination of Imai,
Pauls, and Dawson 45

XI. Conclusion 48

I, Kenneth A. Zeger, Ph.D., a resident of San Diego, California, declare as follows:

I. Introduction

A. Engagement

1. I have been retained by Patent Owner Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC (“Realtime” or “Patent Owner”) through Zunda LLC to provide my opinions with respect to their Response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in IPR2018-01187 (the “Petition”) as to U.S. Patent 9,769,477. Zunda LLC is being compensated at a per hour rate for my time spent on non-deposition tasks and for deposition time. I have no interest in the outcome of this proceeding and the payment of my fees is in no way contingent on my providing any particular opinions.

2. As a part of this engagement, I have also been asked to provide my technical review, analysis, insights, and opinions regarding the Declaration of Dr. James E. Storer (“Storer Declaration,” Ex. 1003 to the Petition) with respect to the challenged claims of the ’477 patent.

3. The statements made herein are based on my own knowledge and opinions.

B. Background And Qualifications

4. I received a Bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1984.

5. I received a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1984.

6. I received a Master of Arts degree in Mathematics from the University of California, Santa Barbara, CA in 1989.

7. I received a Ph.D. degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of California, Santa Barbara, CA in 1990.

8. I am currently a Full Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of California, San Diego (UCSD). I have held this position since 1998, having been promoted from Associated Professor after two years at UCSD. I have been an active member of the UCSD Center for Wireless Communications for 20 years. I teach courses full-time at UCSD in the fields of Electrical and Computer Engineering, and specifically in subfields including communications, information theory, and data compression at the undergraduate and graduate levels. Prior to my employment at UCSD, I taught and conducted research as a faculty member at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign for four years, and at the University of Hawaii for two years.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.