Paper No. 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION.

Petitioner,

v.

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE, Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,620,800

Issued: November 17, 2009

Filed: April 9, 2007

Inventors: Jon M. Huppenthal, David E. Caliga

Title: MULTI-ADAPTIVE PROCESSING SYSTEMS AND

TECHNIQUES FOR ENHANCING PARALLELISM AND PERFORMANCE OF COMPUTATIONAL FUNCTIONS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2018-01607

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,620,800 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1-.80 & 42.100-.123



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,800

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INT	RODUCTION1				
COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW					
A.	Certification the 800 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner	.3			
B.	Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))				
C.	Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))				
D.	Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a))	.5			
IDE	IFICATION OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS5				
RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT					
A.	fective Filing Date				
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill	.6			
C.	Overview of 800 Patent	.7			
D.	Prosecution History of 800 Patent				
E.	Claim Construction	10			
	1. "functional unit"	11			
	2. "data driven"	11			
	3. "transforming an algorithm into a data driven calculation"1	12			
	4. "form"	13			
	5. "clusters of functional units"	15			
	6. "data dimension"	15			
	COM REV A. B. C. IDEN RELI PATI A. B. C. D.	REVIEW			

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,800

		7.	"seamlessly"	16	
		8.	"data mining"	17	
		9.	"genetic pattern matching function"	18	
		10.	"protein folding function"	18	
		11.	"organic structure interaction function"	18	
		12.	"plane"	19	
V.	OVE	ERVIE	W OF SPLASH 2	19	
		A.	General Architecture of Splash 2	19	
	A.	Syste	olic Algorithms For Searching Genetic Databases	22	
VI.	PRECISE REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF				
	A.	Clair	ms 1, 18, 21, and 22 are Anticipated by Splash2	28	
		1.	Claim 1 is Anticipated	28	
		2.	Claim 18 is Anticipated	46	
		3.	Claim 21 is Anticipated	48	
		4.	Claim 22 is Anticipated	48	
	B.	Clair	ms 1, 18, 21, and 22 Are Obvious over Splash2	50	
		1.	Considering the Chapters of Splash2 Together	50	
		2.	Transforming an Algorithm into a Calculation	52	
		3.	Forming	53	
		4.	First Wherein Clause	54	
		5.	Second Wherein Clause	56	

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,800

	C.		ns 1, 18, 21, and 22 Are Obvious over Splash2 in view of diot		
	D.		ms 2-5, 22 and 23 Are Obvious over Splash2 in view of catano, With or Without Gaudiot	60	
		1.	Claim 2 is Obvious	60	
		2.	Claim 3 is Obvious	70	
		3.	Claim 4 is Obvious	71	
		4.	Claim 5 is Obvious	72	
		5.	Claim 22 is Obvious	75	
		6.	Claim 23 is Obvious	77	
VII	CONCLUSION				

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 7,620,800 ("the 800 Patent") describes a multiprocessor computer system for performing systolic, data driven processing on reconfigurable computing elements, such as FPGAs. The application from which it issued was filed in 2007.

Systolic data driven processing on multiprocessor systems employing FPGAs was well known by that time. The principal reference relied on here is a 1996 book describing what is likely the most successful example of such a system, the Splash 2 computer. *See* EX1007. Splash 2 was used by numerous scientists and engineers to carry out various types of processing, including numerous systolic applications. As demonstrated below, the Splash 2 book discloses details of the Splash 2 system and of certain processing carried out on that system for the comparison of genetic sequences that together satisfy each and every element of numerous claims of the 800 Patent.

One would think that such a seminal prior art reference would have been provided to the examiner of the 800 Patent, if the applicants had been aware of it. In fact, they were aware of the book, but provided only a small excerpt to the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

