

No. 18-

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE AND ALLERGAN, INC.,

Petitioners,
v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., AND
AKORN, INC.,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MARSHA KOSTURA SCHMIDT
ATTORNEY AT LAW
14928 Perrywood Drive
Burtonsville, MD 20866
Tel: (301) 949-5176

MICHAEL W. SHORE
ALFONSO GARCIA CHAN
CHRISTOPHER L. EVANS
JOSEPH F. DEPUMPO
SHORE CHAN DEPUMPO LLP
901 Main St., Suite 3300
Dallas, TX 75202
Tel: (214) 593-9110

*Counsel for Saint Regis
Mohawk Tribe*

Dated: December 20, 2018

BATEMAN & SLADE, INC.

JONATHAN S. MASSEY
Counsel of Record
MASSEY & GAIL LLP
1325 G St., N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 652-4511

ROBERT A. LONG, JR.
JEFFREY B. ELIKAN
THOMAS R. BRUGATO
ALAINA M. WHITT
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
850 Tenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Tel: (202) 662-5612

Counsel for Allergan, Inc.

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

QUESTION PRESENTED

This case involves the legal characterization of the *inter partes* review procedure for patents, created by the Leahy–Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011). This Court has described *inter partes* review as a “procedure allow[ing] private parties to challenge previously issued patent claims in an adversarial process before the Patent Office that mimics civil litigation.” *SAS Inst. Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348, 1352 (2018).

In this case, the Federal Circuit held that a federally recognized Indian tribe owning a patent could not assert tribal sovereign immunity in an *inter partes* review proceeding because the proceeding is “more like an agency enforcement action than a civil suit brought by a private party.” Pet. App. 9a.

The Question Presented is:

Whether *inter partes* review before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board is the type of proceeding in which tribal sovereign immunity may be asserted.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

The caption to the case contains the names of all parties.

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

The Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe is a federally recognized Indian tribe.

Allergan plc is the parent company of Allergan, Inc. and owns more than 10% of its stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING.....	ii
RULE 29.6 STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vii
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI	1
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	1
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	1
STATEMENT	2
A. Statutory Background.....	4
B. Procedural History Of This Case.....	7
1. Factual And Procedural Background.....	7
2. The Board’s Decision Rejecting Tribal Immunity In IPRs.....	10
C. The Decision Under Review.....	11
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	13
A. The Federal Circuit’s Judgment Is Inconsistent With This Court’s Decision In <i>SAS</i>	15
B. The Federal Circuit’s Judgment Is Inconsistent With This Court’s Decision In <i>Alden</i>	18

1. The Federal Circuit’s Decision Conflicts With The Decisions Of Other Circuits That Have Followed <i>Alden v. Maine</i>	22
C. The Federal Circuit’s Decision Is Inconsistent With <i>FMC</i>	26
1. The Federal Circuit Misconstrued <i>FMC</i>	26
2. The Court Of Appeals Created A Conflict With Other Circuits That Have Followed <i>FMC</i>	29
D. This Court’s Decisions In <i>Oil States</i> And <i>Cuozzo</i> Do Not Support The Federal Circuit’s Judgment.....	31
E. This Case Presents An Important Question Of Federal Law That Should Be Resolved By This Court.....	32
F. This Case Is A Suitable Vehicle To Review The Question Presented.....	35
CONCLUSION.....	36
APPENDIX	
Appendix A	
Opinion of United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in <i>Saint Regis</i> <i>Mohawk Tribe, Allergan, Inc. v. Mylan</i> <i>Pharmaceuticals Inc., Teva</i> <i>Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Akorn, Inc.</i> dated July 20, 2018	1a-28a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.