Case: 18-1638 Document: 137 Page: 1 Filed: 08/20/2018 Nos. 18-1638, 18-1639, 18-1640, 18-1641, 18-1642, 18-1643

## In the United States Court of Appeals For the Federal Circuit

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE, ALLERGAN, INC., Appellants,

v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., AND AKORN, INC,

Appellees.

Appeals from: Patent and Trademark Office - Patent Trial and Appeal Board in *Inter Partes* Review Nos. IPR2016-01127, IPR2016-01128, IPR2016-01129, IPR2016-01130, IPR2016-01131, IPR2016-01132, IPR2017-00576, IPR2017-00578, IPR2017-00579, IPR2017-00583, IPR2017-00585, IPR2017-00586, IPR2017-00594, IPR2017-00596, IPR2017-00598, IPR2017-00599, IPR2017-00600, IPR2017-00601

## PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC OF APPELLANTS SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE AND ALLERGAN, INC.

Marsha Kostura Schmidt ATTORNEY AT LAW 14928 Perrywood Drive Burtonsville, MD 20866 Tel: (301) 949-5176

Michael W. Shore Alfonso Garcia Chan Christopher L. Evans Joseph F. DePumpo SHORE CHAN DEPUMPO LLP 901 Main Street, Suite 3300 Dallas, TX 75202 Tel: (214) 593-9110

Counsel for Appellant Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Jonathan S. Massey MASSEY & GAIL LLP 1325 G Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 652-4511

Robert A. Long, Jr.
Jeffrey B. Elikan
Thomas R. Brugato
Alaina M. Whitt
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
Tel: (202) 662-5612

Counsel for Appellant Allergan, Inc.



## **CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST**

Counsel for Appellants certify the following:

1. Full Name of Party Represented by me	2. Name of Real Party in interest represented by me is:	3. Parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10% or more of stock in the party
Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe	N/A	N/A
Allergan, Inc.	N/A	Allergan plc

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this Court are:

### Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe

SHORE CHAN DEPUMPO LLP Michael W. Shore Christopher L. Evans Alfonso Garcia Chan Joseph F. DePumpo

Marsha Kostura Schmidt Attorney at Law

## Allergan, Inc.

MASSEY & GAIL LLP Jonathan S. Massey

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. Michael J. Kane Dorothy P. Whelan Susan Morrison Robert Oakes

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP Robert A. Long, Jr. Jeffrey B. Elikan Thomas R. Brugato Alaina M. Whitt



5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will affect or be directly affected by this Court's decision in the pending appeal. *See* Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a) (5) and 47.5(b).

Federal Circuit: Allergan, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.,

No. 2018-1130

Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corp.,

No. 2018-1559

E.D. Texas: Allergan, Inc. v. Deva Holding A.S., No. 2:16-cv-1447

August 20, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Marsha K. Schmidt /s/ Jonathan S. Massey

Marsha Kostura Schmidt Jonathan S. Massey

Counsel for Appellant Counsel for Appellant

Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Allergan, Inc.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CERTIFICA	ATE O	F INTEREST		
TABLE OF	AUTI	HORITIES		
STATEME	NT OF	COUNSEL PURSUANT TO RULE 35(B)(2) vii		
INTRODUC	CTION	「1		
STATEME	NT	2		
ARGUMEN	NT			
I.	This Warra	Case Presents Issues Of Exceptional Importance anting En Banc Review		
II.	The F	The Panel Decision Is Contrary To Precedent Of The Supreme Court And This Court.		
	A.	The Panel Failed To Follow FMC, SAS, Alden, And Vas-Cath.		
		1. The Director's Role In Deciding Whether To Institute An IPR Does Not Eliminate Sovereign Immunity		
		2. The PTAB's Ability To Issue A Decision Absent The Petitioner And To Intervene On Appeal Does Not Eliminate Sovereign Immunity		
		3. The Lack Of Complete Identity Between IPRs And Federal Civil Litigation Does Not Eliminate Sovereign Immunity		
		4. The Contrast Between IPRs And <i>Ex Parte</i> Re- Examinations Supports The Availability Of Sovereign Immunity		
	B.	Oil States and Cuozzo Do Not Support the Panel's Decision.		
CONCLUS	ION	18		
ADDENDU	JМ			
CERTIFICA	ATE O	F COMPLIANCE		



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

