Case: 18-1638 Document: 156 Page: 1 Filed: 09/06/2018

Nos. 18-1638, -1639, -1640, -1641, -1642, -1643

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE, ALLERGAN, INC.,

Appellants,

v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., AKORN, INC.,

Appellees.

Appeals from Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2016-01127, IPR2016-01128, IPR2016-01129, IPR2016-01130, IPR2016-01131, IPR2016-01132, IPR2017-00576, IPR2017-00578, IPR2017-00579, IPR2017-00583, IPR2017-00585, IPR2017-00586, IPR2017-00594, IPR2017-00596, IPR2017-00598, IPR2017-00599, IPR2017-00600, IPR2017-00601.

BRIEF FOR AMICUS CURIAE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA IN SUPPORT OF EN BANC REHEARING

September 4, 2018

Michael A. Albert Richard F. Giunta Charles T. Steenburg Gerald B. Hrycyszyn Stuart V. C. Duncan Smith WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 646-8000 Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

Regents of the University of Minnesota



CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for *amicus curiae* Regents of the University of Minnesota ("UMN") certifies the following:

- 1. The full name of every party represented by me is:
- Regents of the University of Minnesota
 - 2. The names of the real parties in interest represented by me are:
- Regents of the University of Minnesota
- 3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent of the stock of the parties represented by me are listed below.
 - None, *amicus curiae* is a state entity created by the constitution of the State of Minnesota.
- 4. The names of all law firms and the partners and associates that have appeared for the party in the lower tribunal or are expected to appear for the party in this court and who are not already listed on the docket for the current case are:
 - None, *amicus curiae* did not appear in the lower tribunal, and *amicus curiae* does not expect other counsel to appear on its behalf in this case.
- 5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal:
 - Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corporation, No. 2018-1559, -1560, -1561, -1562, -1563, -1564, -1565 (Fed. Cir.)
 - Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corporation, No. 5:18-cv-00821 (N.D. Cal.)
 - Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., No. 3:17-cv-06056 (N.D. Cal.)
 - Regents of the University of Minnesota v. AT&T Mobility LLC, No. 0:14-cv-04666 (D. Minn.)



- Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Sprint Solutions, Inc., No. 0:14-cv-04669 (D. Minn.)
- Regents of the University of Minnesota v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., No. 0:14-cv-04671 (D. Minn.)
- Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Cellco Partnership, No. 0:14-cv-04672 (D. Minn.)
- Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01753 (P.T.A.B.)
- Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01712 (P.T.A.B.)
- Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-02004 (P.T.A.B.)
- Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-02005 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01186 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01197 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01200 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01213 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01214 (P.T.A.B.)
- Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01219 (P.T.A.B.)
- LSI Corporation v. Regents of the University of Minnesota, No. IPR2017-01068 (P.T.A.B.)

Date: September 4, 2018

/s/ Michael A. Albert

Michael A. Albert



Document: 156 Page: 4 Filed: 09/06/2018 Case: 18-1638

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF INTEREST	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. The Panel Decision Concerns Critically Important Issues	3
II. IPR Is A Suit by the Petitioner, Not the U.S.	4
A. The Exception Applies Only to Suits "Commenced and Prosecuted" by the U.S	4
B. IPR Is Not "Commenced and Prosecuted" by the U.S	5
C. The Director's Purported "Political Responsibility" for Institution Does Not Support the Panel's Decision	8
III. IPR Meets All of FMC's Criteria.	9
CONCLUSION	13
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	14
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND FILING	15

Document: 156 Page: 5 Filed: 09/06/2018 Case: 18-1638

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

A123 Sys. v. Hydro-Quebec, 626 F.3d 1213 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706 (1999)
Applications in Internet Time v. RPX, 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. July 9, 2018)6
Blatchford v. Native Vill. of Noatak & Circle Vill., 501 U.S. 775 (1991)
City of Ont. v. Quon, 560 U.S. 746 (2010)
Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. 264 (1821)
Covidien v. Univ. of Fla. Research Found., 2017 WL 4015009 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 24, 2017)
Eli Lilly & Co. v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Wash., 334 F.3d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2003)11
Fed. Mar. Comm'n v. S.C. State Ports Auth., 535 U.S. 743 (2002)
Hans v. Louisiana, 134 U.S. 1 (1890)
In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
Novartis v. Torrent Pharm., 853 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2017)
<i>Oil States Energy Servs. v. Greene's Energy</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

