

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

**MICROSOFT CORPORATION,**

Petitioner,

v.

**SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE,**

Patent Owner.

---

IPR2018-01594 (Patent 6,434,687 B1)

IPR2018-01599 (Patent 6,076,152)

IPR2018-01600 (Patent 6,247,110 B1)

IPR2018-01601 (Patent 7,225,324 B2)

IPR2018-01602 (Patent 7,225,324 B2)

IPR2018-01603 (Patent 7,225,324 B2)

IPR2018-01604 (Patent 7,421,524 B2)

IPR2018-01605 (Patent 7,620,800 B2)

IPR2018-01606 (Patent 7,620,800 B2)

IPR2018-01607 (Patent 7,620,800 B2)

---

**PATENT OWNER SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE'S MOTION TO  
EXTEND ITS PRELIMINARY RESPONSE DEADLINE UNTIL AFTER  
THE RESOLUTION OF ITS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
CONCERNING WHETHER SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY MAY BE  
ASSERTED IN *INTER PARTES* REVIEWS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. SUMMARY OF THE MOTION.....1

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY .....2

    A. The Tribe’s forthcoming petition for writ of certiorari may be dispositive of these proceedings because it will determine whether sovereign immunity may be asserted in *inter partes* review.....2

    B. The District Court litigation has been stayed pending the Board’s decision whether to institute these proceedings. ....4

III. ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITY .....5

    A. The Tribe possesses immunity from suit so this proceeding should be stayed until the Supreme Court has finally determined whether sovereign immunity may be asserted in *inter partes* reviews. ....5

    B. The Federal Circuit’s denial of the Tribe’s motion to stay the mandate in the *Mylan* case does not control here.....8

IV. CONCLUSION.....9

V. LIST OF EXHIBITS.....11

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CASES:**

*Burlington N. & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. Vaughn*,  
509 F.3d 1085 (9th Cir. 2007)..... 5

*Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.*,  
134 S. Ct. 2024, (2014)..... 1, 7

*Osage Tribal Council ex rel. Osage Tribe of Indians v. U.S. Dept. of Labor*,  
187 F.3d 1174 (10th Cir. 1999)..... 5, 6

*P.R. Aqueduct & Sewer Auth. v. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.*,  
506 U.S. 139 (1993)..... 5

*S. Park Indep. Sch. Dist. v. United States*,  
453 U.S. 1301 (1981) ..... 9

*Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe v. Mylan Pharm. Inc.*,  
896 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 1, 8

*Tamiami Partners By & Through Tamiami Dev. Corp. v. Miccosukee Tribe of  
Indians of Fla.*,  
63 F.3d 1030 (11th Cir. 1995)..... 6

**STATUTES:**

35 U.S.C. § 313 ..... 6

35 U.S.C. § 314 ..... 6

35 U.S.C. § 316 ..... 6

**RULES:**

Fed. R. App. P. 41 ..... 9

**REGULATIONS:**

37 C.F.R. § 42.5 ..... 2, 6, 7, 9

## I. SUMMARY OF THE MOTION

Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe (“Tribe”) is a federally recognized, American Indian Tribe and owner of all the patents that are the subject of the proceedings listed in the caption. The Tribe, as a sovereign government, is not amenable to suit unless it expressly consents or Congress abrogates its immunity. *See, e.g., Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.*, 134 S. Ct. 2024, 2030, (2014). In *Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe v. Mylan Pharm. Inc.*, 896 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (“*Mylan*”) the Federal Circuit held that sovereign immunity cannot be asserted in an IPR because an “IPR is more like an agency enforcement action than a civil suit brought by a private party.” *Id.* at 1327.

The Tribe believes that case was wrongly decided and intends to file a petition for writ of certiorari that asks the Supreme Court to decide whether sovereign immunity may be asserted in *inter partes* reviews before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. On average, it takes about six weeks for the Supreme Court to act once a petition has been filed.

As authorized in the Board’s Order on the Conduct of Proceedings entered on November 23, 2018, the Tribe respectfully requests that the Board extend the Tribe’s Preliminary Response deadlines in all of these proceedings until March 1, 2019 to see if the Supreme Court grants certiorari.

The deadline for a Patent Owner’s preliminary response has no effect on any

statutory pendency goal so the Board may grant an extension upon a showing of good cause. 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(2). Good cause exists because the Tribe's sovereign immunity is an immunity from suit rather than a mere defense to liability. So the Tribe would be irreparably harmed if the Board were to deny this motion because its immunity would be lost if these IPRs were to proceed. By contrast, Microsoft would not be harmed in any way because the co-pending District Court litigation was stayed pending the Board's decisions on Microsoft's 10 IPR petitions.

Accordingly, the Board should grant this motion and extend the Tribe's Preliminary Response deadline until March 1, 2019.

## II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

### **A. The Tribe's forthcoming petition for writ of certiorari may be dispositive of these proceedings because it will determine whether sovereign immunity may be asserted in *inter partes* review.**

Last year, the Tribe moved to terminate IPR2016-01127 based on its Tribal Sovereign Immunity. EX. 2001. After copious briefing, the Board denied the Tribe's motion and held that sovereign immunity does not apply to IPR proceedings. EX. 2002 at 11-18 (all EX pin citations refer to the EX pagination).

The Tribe immediately appealed under the collateral order doctrine and sought an emergency stay of the IPR proceeding from the Federal Circuit. EX. 2003; EX. 2004. The Federal Circuit granted the Tribe's motion to stay and held that "the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.