

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Petitioner

v.

DIRECTSTREAM, LLC,

Patent Owner

---

IPR2018-01605, IPR2018-01606, and IPR2018-01607

Patent No. 7,620,800

---

**PATENT OWNER DIRECTSTREAM, LLC'S  
MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF  
RAJKUMAR VINNAKOTA UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

## I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner, Directstream, LLC, respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Rajkumar Vinnakota in these proceedings.

## II. GOVERNING LAWS, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

Section 42.10(c) states as follows:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

As stated in the "Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission" entered in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT) (Paper 6) ("Motorola Order"), any motion for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) must be filed "no sooner than (21) days after service of the petition.

## III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following facts and supported by the Declaration of Rajkumar Vinnakota ("Vinnakota Decl.") submitted herewith, Directstream, LLC requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Rajkumar Vinnakota in this proceeding.

1. Patent Owner Directstream's lead counsel, Alfonso Chan, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 45,964).

2. Mr. Vinnakota is a Partner at the law firm Janik Vinnakota LLP. Vinnakota Decl. at ¶ 3.

3. Mr. Vinnakota is an experienced litigation attorney who has been litigating patent cases for approximately fifteen years. *Id.* at ¶ 4.

4. In his role as counsel for Patent Owner, Mr. Vinnakota has an established familiarity with all six patents asserted against Microsoft in the field of high-performance computing design (the field of technology to which they all pertain), and the prior art asserted in this proceeding. *Id.* at ¶ 6.

5. Mr. Vinnakota has been practicing since 2003 and has extensive experience litigating patent infringement cases in many different district courts across the United States. *Id.* at ¶ 4, 5, 7. Mr. Vinnakota also has developed specialized skills and experience regarding procedural issues that arise in PTAB trials, including administrative law and constitutional issues. *Id.* at ¶ 14.

6. Mr. Vinnakota has been co-lead counsel in various patent infringement trials and argued multiple *Markman* hearings and many other patent-related hearings. *Id.* at ¶ 5.

7. Mr. Vinnakota is a member in good standing of the State Bar of Texas. *Id.* at ¶ 7.

8. Mr. Vinnakota has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. *Id.* at ¶ 8.

9. No application of Mr. Vinnakota for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. *Id.* at ¶ 9.

10. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against Mr. Vinnakota by any court or administrative body. *Id.* at ¶ 10.

11. Mr. Vinnakota has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42. *Id.* at ¶ 11.

12. Mr. Vinnakota understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.* at ¶ 12.

13. Mr. Vinnakota has appeared before the Board *pro hac vice* in the last several years in: (1) IPR2014-00411 and IPR2015-00065 (consolidated); and has presented oral arguments to the Board in IPR2014-00411. *Id.* at ¶ 13.

14. This motion was filed more than 21 days after service of the Petition in this proceeding.

#### **IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MR. VINNAKOTA IN THIS PROCEEDING**

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R.

§ 42.10(c). Patent Owner's lead counsel, Alfonso Chan, is a registered practitioner.

Based on the facts contained herein, as supported by Mr. Vinnakota's Declaration, good cause exists to admit Mr. Vinnakota *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Mr. Vinnakota is an experienced patent litigator with unique knowledge of PTAB trials and procedure, including administrative and constitutional issues.

Mr. Vinnakota also has an established familiarity with U.S. Patent Nos. 6,076,152, 6,247,110, 6,434,687, 7,225,324, 7,421,524, and 7,762,800 because of his work for Patent Owner.

In view of Mr. Vinnakota's extensive knowledge of this subject matter, Patent Owner, Directstream, LLC, has a substantial need for Mr. Vinnakota's *pro hac vice* admission and his involvement in the briefing and oral arguments in this case.

## V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons as well as the reasons contained in the attached declaration, Patent Owner Directstream, LLC respectfully requests admission of Rajkumar Vinnakota as counsel *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Dated: June 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/Alfonso Chan/

Alfonso Chan, Reg. No. 45,964  
achan@shorechan.com  
SHORE CHAN DEPUMPO LLP  
901 Main Street, Suite 3300  
Dallas, TX 75201  
214-593-9110

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.