

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Petitioner

v.

DIRECTSTREAM, LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2018-01605, IPR2018-01606, and IPR2018-01607

Patent No. 7,620,800

**DECLARATION OF RAJKUMAR VINNAKOTA IN SUPPORT OF PATENT
OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF RAJKUMAR
VINNAKOTA UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

I, Rajkumar Vinnakota, declare as follows:

1. I am more than twenty-one years of age, competent to present this declaration, and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
2. This declaration is given in support of Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Rajkumar Vinnakota.
3. I am a Partner at the law firm Janik Vinnakota LLP.
4. I have been practicing law in the field of intellectual property, and specifically patent litigation, for approximately 15 years.
5. I have extensive experience litigating patent infringement cases in many different courts across the United States. My experience in patent litigation matters includes, but is not limited to: presenting oral arguments to the Board in IPR proceedings; serving as lead trial counsel in district court patent litigation; presenting oral arguments to the court in claim construction hearings and other patent-related hearings; taking depositions of fact and expert witnesses regarding invalidity, infringement, and claim construction; drafting claim construction briefs; drafting briefs associated with motions for summary judgments regarding invalidity and infringement issues; drafting invalidity and infringement contentions; and handling patent appeals before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and briefing before the U.S. Supreme Court.

6. Because of my work for Patent Owner, I have an established familiarity with U.S Patent Nos. 6,076,152, 6,247,110, 6,434,687, 7,225,324, 7,421,524, and 7,762,800, the field of high-performance computing design, and the prior art that Microsoft has asserted in each of the ten IPRs filed against these six patents.

7. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of Texas (admitted 2003), as well as the following Federal Courts: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, U.S District Court for Western District of Texas; U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas; U.S. District Court for the Western District of Arkansas; U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado; U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska; U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fifth and Federal Circuits, and the U.S. Supreme Court.

8. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

9. No court or administrative body has ever denied my application for admission to practice before it.

10. No court or administrative body has imposed sanctions or contempt citations on me.

11. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.


12. I understand that I will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

13. I have appeared before the Board *pro hac vice* in the last several years in: (1) IPR2014-00411 and IPR2015-00065 (consolidated) and I presented oral arguments to the Board. *See* IPR2014-00411.

14. I also developed specialized skills and experience regarding procedural issues that arise in PTAB trials, including administrative law and constitutional issues. For example, I was a briefing attorney in the following appeal from various PTAB cases involving complex procedural issues: *Leak Surveys, Inc. v. FLIR, Inc.*, Case No. 16-1299 (U.S. S.Ct. 2018, *cert. denied*).

15. I hereby declare that all statements made herein are of my own knowledge, are true, and further that all statements made herein that are willful or false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: May 24, 2019

By: 
Rajkumar Vinnakota
Janik Vinnakota LLP
8111 LBJ Freeway, Suite 790
Dallas, TX 75248
214-390-99990
kvinnakota@jvllp.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.