

2. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1001 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 9,320,122 (“the ‘122 patent”). I downloaded this document on Jun. 18, 2018 from Google® Patents at <https://patents.google.com/patent/US9320122B2/en?q=9320122>.

Exhibit 1002 - Complete File History for U.S. Pat. No. 9,320,122, App. Ser. No. 14/944,302 (filed Nov. 18, 2015) (as of Jul. 11, 2018)

3. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1002 is a true and correct copy of the Complete File History for U.S. Pat. No. 9,320,122, App. Ser. No. 14/944,302 (filed Nov. 18, 2015) (as of Jul. 11, 2018). I downloaded this document from the USPTO’s PAIR system on Jul. 11, 2018.

Exhibit 1003 - Bryce A. Johnson, RULE 501 CITATION OF PRIOR ART AND WRITTEN CLAIM SCOPE STATEMENTS IN U.S. PAT. NO. 9,320,122, pages 1-57, Mar. 14, 2018, USPTO Alexandria, VA

4. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1003 is a true and correct copy of a document I wrote entitled “RULE 501 CITATION OF PRIOR ART AND WRITTEN CLAIM SCOPE STATEMENTS IN U.S. PAT. NO. 9,320,122.” I submitted this document for placement into the file of the ‘122 patent on Mar. 14, 2018 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.501 (“Rule 501”) and 35 U.S.C. § 301. I received an email from ThirdPartySubmissionInitialReview@USPTO.gov (dated Mar. 22, 2018) stating that the document was reviewed and determined compliant with Rule 501 and 35 U.S.C. § 301. A true and correct copy of that

email is appended to this declaration. The document was placed in the ‘122 record on Mar. 27, 2018 by the USPTO. Being part of the official record of the ‘122 patent, this document is also included as part of Ex. 1002, pgs. 113-169. The document is attached as a separate Exhibit 1003 for ease of reference and because the copy placed in the record has poorer image quality than the copy provided here.

Exhibit 1004 - Complaint, Document #1, pages 1-17, Jun. 2, 2016, CANTIGNY v. JASCO, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

5. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1004 is a true and correct copy of the complaint of record (Document #1) in *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. I downloaded this complaint from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (“PACER”) database at www.pacer.gov on Mar. 14, 2018. This complaint was attached to my Rule 501 citation [Ex. 1003] and has been made part of the ‘122 patent record. Being part of the official record of the ‘122 patent, this complaint is also included as part of Ex. 1002, pgs. 7-23. The complaint is nevertheless attached as a separate Exhibit 1004 for ease of reference and because the copy placed in the record has poorer image quality than the copy provided here.

Exhibit 1005 - Exhibit A, Complaint, Document #1-1, pages 1-32, Jun. 2, 2016, CANTIGNY v. JASCO, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

6. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1005 is a true and

correct copy of Exhibit A to the complaint of record (Document #1-1) in *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. I downloaded this Exhibit A from the PACER database at www.pacer.gov on Mar. 14, 2018. This Exhibit A was attached to my Rule 501 citation [Ex. 1003] and has been made part of the '122 patent record. Being part of the official record of the '122 patent, this complaint is also included as part of Ex. 1002, pgs. 24-55. Exhibit A to the complaint is nevertheless attached as a separate Exhibit 1005 for ease of reference and because the copy placed in the record has poorer image quality than the copy provided here.

Exhibit 1006 - Exhibit B, Complaint, Document #1-2, pages 1-31, Jun. 2, 2016, *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

7. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1006 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit B to the complaint of record (Document #1-2) in *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. I downloaded this Exhibit B from the PACER database at www.pacer.gov on Mar. 14, 2018. This Exhibit B was attached to my Rule 501 citation [Ex. 1003] and has been made part of the '122 patent record. Being part of the official record of the '122 patent, this complaint is also included as part of Ex. 1002, pgs. 56-86. Exhibit B to the complaint is nevertheless attached as a separate Exhibit 1006 for ease of reference and because the copy placed in the

record has poorer image quality than the copy provided here.

Exhibit 1007 - Exhibit C, Complaint, Document #1-3, pages 1-2, Jun. 2, 2016, CANTIGNY v. JASCO, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

8. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1007 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit C to the complaint of record (Document #1-3) in *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. I downloaded this Exhibit C from the PACER database at www.pacer.gov on Mar. 14, 2018. This Exhibit C was attached to my Rule 501 citation [Ex. 1003] and has been made part of the '122 patent record. Being part of the official record of the '122 patent, this complaint is also included as part of Ex. 1002, pgs. 87-88. Exhibit C to the complaint is nevertheless attached as a separate Exhibit 1007 for ease of reference and because the copy placed in the record has poorer image quality than the copy provided here.

Exhibit 1008 - Exhibit D, Complaint, Document #1-4, pages 1-3, Jun. 2, 2016, CANTIGNY v. JASCO, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division

9. Attached to the above captioned petition as Exhibit 1008 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit D to the complaint of record (Document #1-4) in *CANTIGNY v. JASCO*, case 1:16-cv-005794, District Court For the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. I downloaded this Exhibit D from the PACER database at www.pacer.gov on Mar. 14, 2018. This Exhibit D was attached to my

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.