

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,  
and APPLE INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

INVT SPE LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case Nos. IPR2018-01555 and IPR2018-01581  
U.S. Patent No. 7,848,439

---

**PATENT OWNER INVT SPE LLC'S  
CONSOLIDATED SUR-REPLY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i  
LIST OF PATENT OWNER’S PREVIOUSLY FILED EXHIBITS ..... iv  
EXHIBITS FILED WITH PATENT OWNER’S SUR-REPLY ..... v  
I. Introduction ..... 1  
II. Petitioners Concede that No Single Reference Teaches the ’439 Patented  
Invention..... 2  
III. Petitioners Fail to Establish that Claims 1-7 Are Obvious Over *Li, Vijayan,*  
*and Hashem.* ..... 3  
A. Petitioners’ Reply Misinterprets the Fundamental Purpose of *Li*’s  
Invention—to Select Subcarriers or Subbands Based on Performance  
for Modulation and Coding by the Base Station..... 3  
B. Petitioners’ Purported Motivation to Combine *Li* with *Vijayan* Ignores  
the Teachings of Both References..... 8  
C. Petitioners’ Reply Fails to Establish that a POSITA Would Combine  
*Li* or *Vijayan* with *Hashem.* .....18  
IV. Petitioners Fail to establish that Claim 8 is Obvious Over *Li, Vijayan,*  
*Hashem,* and *Cioffi.* .....22  
A. Petitioners Cannot Remedy *Cioffi*’s Failure to Teach “Assigning a  
Weight Per *Subband Group*” by Invoking Hindsight Bias. ....22  
B. The Reply Presents Irrelevant Arguments Instead of a Motivation to  
Make the *Cioffi* Combination. ....24  
V. Conclusion.....27  
Word Count Certification.....29  
Certificate of Service .....30

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	9, 23
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	26
<i>Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	9
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F. 3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	9
<i>In re Magnum Oil Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	8, 23
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	8
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith &amp; Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	26
<i>Nikon Corp. v. ASML Netherlands B.V.</i> , Case No. IPR2018-00227, Paper 11 (PTAB Sep. 10, 2018) .....	3
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	26
<i>Plas-Pak Indus. Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 F. App’x 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	10
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	26
<i>W.L. Gore &amp; Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983), <i>cert. denied</i> , 469 U.S. 851 (1984) .....	18

IPR2018-01555 and IPR2018-01581  
Patent 7,848,439

*William Wesley Carnes, Sr., Inc. v. Seaboard Int'l Inc.*,  
IPR2019-00133, Paper 10 (May 8, 2019).....25, 26

**Other Authorities**

2019 Trial Practice Guide Update .....11

**LIST OF PATENT OWNER'S PREVIOUSLY FILED EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
2001	Expert Declaration of Dr. Branimir Vojcic
2002	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Branimir Vojcic
2003	Procedural Schedule in the International Trade Commission proceeding captioned <i>In the Matter of Certain LTE- AND 3G-Compliant Cellular Communications Devices</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-1138
2004	Respondents' Notice of Prior Art in the International Trade Commission proceeding captioned <i>In the Matter of Certain LTE- AND 3G-Compliant Cellular Communications Devices</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-1138
2005-2100	Numbers intentionally not used.
2101	Expert Declaration of Dr. Branimir Vojcic in Support of Patent Owner's Response
2102	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Branimir Vojcic

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.