

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,
and APPLE INC.,
Petitioners

v.

INVT SPE LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01555¹ and Case IPR2018-01581

United States Patent No. 7,848,439 B2

**PATENT OWNER INVT SPE LLC'S
CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE**

¹ Apple Inc., who filed a petition in IPR2018-01477, has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF PATENT OWNER’S PREVIOUSLY FILED EXHIBITS	vii
EXHIBITS FILED WITH PATENT OWNER’S RESPONSE.....	viii
I. Introduction	1
II. The Challenged Patent	3
A. State of the Art at the Time of the Patented Invention.....	3
B. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 7,848,439	6
C. Challenged Claims	12
D. Relevant Prosecution History.....	14
III. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	15
IV. Claim Construction	15
A. “subband” (Claims 1-6 and 8), “pattern storage section” (Claim 1), and “modulation parameters with a highest classification” (Claim 5).....	16
B. “patterns for selecting subbands” (Claim 1)	17
V. Overview of the Alleged Prior Art References.....	20
A. U.S. Patent No. 6,904,283 to Li <i>et al.</i> (“Li”)	20
B. U.S. Patent No. 7,221,680 to Vijayan <i>et al.</i> (“Vijayan”).....	25
C. U.S. Patent No. 6,721,569 to Hashem <i>et al.</i> (“Hashem”).....	27
D. U.S. Patent No. 5,596,604 to Cioffi <i>et al.</i> (“Cioffi”).....	30
VI. Legal Standards	31
VII. The Petitions Fail to Demonstrate that the Challenged Claims Are Obvious.	32
A. The Proposed Combinations Fail to Teach a “Parameter Deciding Section that Decides Modulation Parameters and Coding Parameters Per Subband Group . . . Based on a Result of the Channel Estimation Per Subband” (Claims 1 and 8)	32
B. The IPR2018-01555 Petition Fails to Disclose a Mobile Device with “a Pattern Storage Section that Stores <i>in Advance</i> Patterns for Selecting Subbands Constituting the Subband Groups.” (Claim 1) ...	40

C.	A POSITA Would Not Combine <i>Li</i> with <i>Vijayan</i> and Neither Reference Teaches a POSITA to Make the Proposed Combinations. (Claims 1-8).....	55
D.	A POSITA Would Not Combine <i>Li</i> and <i>Vijayan</i> with <i>Hashem</i> . (Claims 1-8).....	65
E.	The Alleged Motivation to Combine Relies on Improper Hindsight Bias. (Claims 1-8).....	67
F.	The <i>Cioffi</i> Combination Does Not Disclose “Assigning a Weight <i>Per Subband Group</i> to a Sum of Information Bits that Are Able to Be Assigned to All of the Subbands Within the Subband Group.” (Claim 8)	69
G.	A POSITA Would Not Combine <i>Cioffi</i> with <i>Li</i> , <i>Vijayan</i> , or <i>Hashem</i> . (Claim 8).....	72
VIII.	Conclusion.....	79
	Word Count Certification.....	81
	Certificate of Service	82

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Abiomed, Inc. et al. v. Maquet Cardiovascular, LLC,
IPR2017-01205, Paper No. 8 (PTAB Oct. 23, 2017).....52

ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc’ns, Inc.,
694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....69

Apple Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.,
IPR2017-02041, Paper 10 (PTAB Mar. 8, 2018)78

Apple Inc. v. Valencell, Inc.,
IPR2017-00316, Paper 9 (PTAB Jul. 20, 2017)78

Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.,
567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 32, 56, 57

Gen. Elec. Co. v. TAS Energy Inc.,
IPR2014-00163, Paper 11 (PTAB May 13, 2014).....69

Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.,
815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....31

Heart Failure Technologies, LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.,
IPR2013-00183, Paper 12 (PTAB Jul. 31, 2013)45, 75

In re Dow Chem. Co.,
837 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....31

In re Fulton,
391 F. 3d (Fed. Cir. 2004).....56

In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.,
829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....31, 68

In re NTP, Inc.,
654 F. 3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....32

Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.,
688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....76

<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. (2017)	55, 67, 68, 75
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. Ltd.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	15
<i>Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG</i> , 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	31
<i>Nikon Corp. v. ASML Netherlands B.V.</i> , Case No. IPR2018-00227, Paper 11 (PTAB Sep. 10, 2018).....	68, 79
<i>Nokia of Am. Corp. v. Blackberry Ltd.</i> , IPR2018-00652, Paper 10 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2018)	52, 63
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	15, 16
<i>Plas-Pak Indus. Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 F. App’x 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	32
<i>Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , 643 F. App’x 960 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	67
<i>Schott Gemtron Corp. v. SSW Holding Co., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00367, Paper 62 (PTAB May 26, 2015).....	73
<i>Sony v. Patent of Network – I Security Solutions</i> , IPR2013-00092, Paper 21 (PTAB May 24, 2013).....	45
<i>Star Sci., Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	32
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	77
<i>W.L. Gore & Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983), <i>cert. denied</i> , 469 U.S. 851 (1984).....	31
<i>Yamaha Golf Car Co. v. Club Car, LLC</i> , IPR2017-02141, Paper 17 (PTAB Apr. 3, 2018).....	69

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.