

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC Corporation and
HTC America, Inc.,
Petitioners

v.

INVT SPE LLC,
Patent Owner

IPR Case No. IPR2018-01556
U.S. Patent No. 7,206,587

**DECLARATION OF PAUL S. MIN. PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 *ET SEQ.* AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*
(CLAIMS 1 THROUGH 4 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,206,587)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION & SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	2
II. BACKGROUND/QUALIFICATIONS.....	3
III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED.....	8
IV. UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW.....	8
V. DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS CONSIDERED.....	ERROR!
BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.	
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE '587 PATENT	15
VII. CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '587 PATENT	23
VIII.EFFECTIVE FILING DATE AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '587 PATENT.....	24
IX. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSITA”)	24
X. GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE (§ 42.104 (B)(2))....	ERROR!
BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.	
XI. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND	25
A. OVERVIEW OF THE '587 PATENT	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
1. <i>The Purported Improvements of the '587 Patent</i>	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
XII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION—37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B)(3).....	49
A. “CODE WORD MINIMUM DISTANCE” (CLAIM 1).....	50
B. “IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE DEGREE OF MEASURED DOWNLINK CHANNEL QUALITY” (CLAIM 1).....	51
XIII. BASIS FOR INVALIDITY	53
A. PADOVANI IN VIEW OF GILS INVALIDATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, AND 4.	53
1. <i>Overview of Padovani.....</i>	<i>53</i>
2. <i>Overview of Gils</i>	<i>56</i>
3. <i>Motivation to Combine</i>	<i>61</i>
4. <i>Padovani in View of Gils Renders Claim 4 Obvious.....</i>	<i>68</i>
5. <i>Padovani in View of Gils Renders Claim 1 Obvious.....</i>	<i>77</i>
6. <i>Padovani in View of Gils Renders Claim 2 Obvious.....</i>	<i>92</i>
7. <i>Padovani in View of Gils Renders Claim 3 Obvious.....</i>	<i>103</i>

I. INTRODUCTION & SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

1. My name is Paul S. Min, Ph.D. I have been retained as a technical expert and submit this declaration on behalf of HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners” or “HTC”), which I understand are challenging the validity of claims 1-4 (“the challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,206,587 (“the ’587 patent”) in a petition for *inter partes* review.

2. I have no financial interest in or affiliation with the Petitioners or the Patent Owner, which I understand is INVT SPE LLC. My compensation does not depend upon the outcome of, or my testimony in, this *inter partes* review proceeding or any litigation proceedings.

3. I have been asked to provide an opinion on the validity of the challenged claims. In my opinion, for the reasons in the following sections, the challenged claims are invalid on the following ground:

(1) Claims 1, 2, 3 and 4 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Padovani (PCT Application No. PCT/US98/23428) in view of Gils (W. van Gils, “Design of error-control coding schemes for three problems of noisy information transmission, storage and processing,” Ph.D. dissertation, Eindhoven Univ. of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands, 1988).

4. I have been informed, and agree after reviewing Exhibits 1024-1052, that W. van Gils, “Design of error-control coding schemes for three problems of noisy information transmission, storage and processing,” Ph.D. dissertation, Eindhoven Univ. of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands, 1988 (“Gils”) was available to members of the general public, including interested members of the public, without restriction as of January 6, 1988, was catalogued by no later than March 1998, and widely disseminated to other libraries by at least 1993.

II. BACKGROUND/QUALIFICATIONS

5. Appendix A to this declaration is my curriculum vitae, which sets forth my qualifications.

6. I received a B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1982, an M.S. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1984, and a Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1987 from the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. I received several academic honors, including my B.S. degree with honors, a best graduate student award and a best teaching assistant award during my M.S. study, and a best paper award from a major international conference for reporting results from my Ph.D. thesis.

7. After receiving my Ph.D., I worked at Bellcore in New Jersey from August 1987 until August 1990. At Bellcore, I was responsible for evolving the public switched telephone network (POTS) into a multi-services voice and data

network that incorporated packet switches, optical technologies, and wireless technologies.

8. In September 1990, I joined the faculty at Washington University in St. Louis. In July 1996, I was promoted to an Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering with tenure. I am currently a Senior Professor at Washington University of the Electrical and Systems Engineering. I have also served as the Chair of the Graduate Curriculum (2000-2002) and the Chair of the Undergraduate Curriculum (2011-2014) for the Electrical and Systems Engineering department.

9. At Washington University, I have conducted research in communication, computing, and related electronic hardware and software. My research group has pioneered a new paradigm for designing electronic circuits that can alleviate the speed and performance mismatch against optical technology. I have received several grants from the U.S. Federal Agencies, including the National Science Foundation, the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency, and numerous contracts from companies and organizations around the world. Specifically related to the technology matters in this Investigation, I have researched a variety of wireless communication technologies, including CDMA, WCDMA, OFDM, FDD, SC-FDMA, and TDD. I have an extensive background and experience in each of these technologies.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.