

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC Corporation, and
HTC America, Inc.,
Petitioners

v.

INVT SPE, LLC
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2018-01555
Patent No. 7,848,439

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents i

List of Patent Owner’s Exhibits vi

I. Introduction.....1

II. The Challenged Patent.....3

 A. State of the Art at the Time of the Patented Invention.....3

 B. Challenged Claims8

 C. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 7,848,439.....9

 D. Relevant Prosecution History.....16

III. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....17

IV. Claim Construction.....17

 A. “subband” (Claims 1-6), “pattern storage section” (Claim 1), and
 “modulation parameters with a highest classification” (Claim 5)18

 B. “patterns for selecting subbands” (Claims 1).....18

V. Overview of the Alleged Prior Art References22

 A. U.S. Patent No. 6,904,283 to Li *et al.* (“Li”).....22

 B. U.S. Patent No. 7,221,680 to Vijayan *et al.* (“Vijayan”)26

 C. U.S. Patent No. 6,721,569 to Hashem *et al.* (“Hashem”)29

VI. Legal Standards31

VII. The Petition Fails to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood the Challenged
Claims are Obvious.....33

 A. The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that the Proposed Combination
 Discloses (1) “Patterns for Selecting Subbands Constituting the
 Subband Groups,” (2) “Subbands Selected Based on the Patterns
 Stored in the Pattern Storage Section,” or Joint “Modulation and
 Coding Parameters” for an Entire “Subband Group” (Claim 1).....33

 1. Li does not disclose “store[d]” “patterns for selecting
 subbands” or selecting subbands “based on” “patterns for
 selecting subbands.”35

2.	Vijayan does not disclose “patterns for selecting subbands,” selecting subbands “based on” “patterns for selecting subbands,” or joint “modulation and coding parameters” for an entire subband group.....	44
B.	The Petition Fails to Demonstrate that <i>Li</i> or <i>Vijayan</i> Discloses a Mobile Device with “a Pattern Storage Section that Stores <i>in Advance</i> Patterns for Selecting Subbands Constituting the Subband Groups.”	48
1.	Li fails to disclose “a pattern storage section that stores in advance patterns for selecting subbands constituting the subband groups.”	48
2.	Vijayan likewise fails to disclose “a pattern storage section that stores in advance patterns for selecting subbands constituting the subband groups.”	52
C.	The Petition Fails to Establish that a POSITA Would Combine <i>Li</i> with <i>Vijayan</i> to Achieve the Claimed Invention with a Reasonable Expectation of Success.....	53
1.	The Petition relies on unsupported, conclusory expert testimony for the alleged motivation to combine <i>Li</i> and <i>Vijayan</i>	54
2.	A POSITA would not have been motivated to combine the <i>Li</i> and <i>Vijayan</i> references.....	57
3.	The Petition relies on improper hindsight for the alleged motivation to combine.	62
VIII.	The Petition Also Should Be Denied for Efficiency Reasons, Because The Parallel ITC Investigation Will Be Resolved Before Any Trial Instituted on this Petition.	64
IX.	Conclusion	66
	Word Count Certification	68
	Certificate of Service	69

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abiomed, Inc. et al. v. Maquet Cardiovascular, LLC</i> , IPR2017-01205, Paper No. 8.....	49
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	72
<i>Apple Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.</i> , IPR2017-02041, Paper 10 (PTAB Mar. 8, 2018).....	62
<i>Apple Inc. v. Valencell, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00316, Paper 9 (PTAB Jul. 20, 2017).....	62
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int'l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	36
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. TAS Energy Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00163, Paper 11 (PTAB May 13, 2014)	72
<i>General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , Case IPR2016-01357 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....	73
<i>General Plastic</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19.....	75
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	73
<i>Heart Failure</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper 12.....	60, 61
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364	37, 38, 71
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F. 3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	36

IPR2018-01555
Patent 7,848,439

<i>In the Matter of Certain LTE- AND 3G-Compliant Cellular Communications Devices,</i> Inv. No. 337-TA-1138	73
<i>Initiative for Medicines, Access & Knowledge (I-MAK), Inc. v. Gilead Pharmasset LLC,</i> Case No. IPR2018-00390, Paper No. 7 (Jul. 19, 2018).....	37
<i>Inventergy, Inc. v. HTC Corporation et al.,</i> 1-17-cv-00200 (D. Del. Feb. 27, 2017), Dkt. 1	73
<i>INVT SPE LLC v. HTC Corporation et al.,</i> 2-17-cv-03740 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017), Dkt. 1	73
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	36, 37, 60, 71
<i>NHK Spring Co., LTD., v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.,</i> Case IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....	74, 75
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. Ltd.,</i> 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	21
<i>Nikon Corp. v. ASML Netherlands B.V.,</i> Case No. IPR2018-00227, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 10, 2018).....	71
<i>Nokia of Am. Corp. v. Blackberry Ltd.,</i> IPR2018-00652, Paper 10 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2018).....	48, 49, 70
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.,</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>).....	20, 22
<i>Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Depomed, Inc.,</i> 643 F. App’x 960 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	70
<i>Sony,</i> IPR2013-00092, Paper 21	60
<i>Star Sci., Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.,</i> 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	36

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.