

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

RAYNA E. KESSLER, ESQ. (NJ 031782010)
399 Park Ave., Suite 3600
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 980-7431
Facsimile: (212) 980-7499
RKessler@RobinsKaplan.com

CHRISTOPHER A. SEIDL, ESQ. (MN 313439) (*pro hac vice*)
JOHN K. HARTING, ESQ. (MN 392234) (*pro hac vice*)
KRIS TENG, ESQ. (MN 399017) (*pro hac vice*)
MARY PHENG, ESQ. (MN 0398500) (*pro hac vice*)
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 349-8500
Facsimile: (612) 339-4181

CHRISTINE S. YUN SAUER (CA 314307) (*pro hac vice*)
LI ZHU, ESQ. (CA 302210) (*pro hac vice*)
2440 W. El Camino Real, Suite 100
Mountain View, CA 94040
Telephone: (650) 784-4040
Facsimile: (650) 784-4041

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

INVT SPE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC Corporation, and
HTC America, Inc.,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-03740-JMV-JBC

**INVT'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT HTC CORP.
AND HTC AMERICA, INC.'S
MOTION TO TRANSFER**

Oral Argument Requested

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1

RELEVANT FACTS3

I. THIS CASE AND THE RELATED CASES INVOLVE COMMON ISSUES RELATED TO INVT’S STANDARD-ESSENTIAL PATENTS.3

II. THIS MATTER IS INTIMATELY CONNECTED WITH NEW JERSEY.....6

 A. Defendant engages major wireless carriers, such as Verizon, to distribute the Accused Products, and relevant information is located in New Jersey.....6

 B. Defendant extensively collaborated with wireless providers located in New Jersey to develop the Accused Products to comply with the Accused Standards, and relevant information is located in or close to New Jersey.....8

 C. Qualcomm has a significant presence in New Jersey, and relevant information is located in or close to New Jersey.14

 D. Panasonic—the original patent holder—has its domestic headquarters in New Jersey.....15

LEGAL ARGUMENT15

I. THE PRIVATE INTEREST FACTORS WEIGH HEAVILY AGAINST TRANSFER.....16

 A. INVT’s venue choice merits significant deference; Defendant’s preference does not.....16

 B. New Jersey—not California—is more convenient for numerous potential non-party witnesses.18

 1. New Jersey is more convenient for Verizon and AT&T witnesses, weighing against transfer.....19

 2. Potentially relevant current and former Qualcomm employees also reside in New Jersey.....21

 3. New Jersey is more convenient for Panasonic witnesses and

the prosecuting attorney for the Asserted Patents.....25

C. INVT’s claims also arose in New Jersey.26

D. The convenience of the parties does not favor transfer.....28

E. The location of documentary evidence also weighs against transfer.30

II. THE RELEVANT PUBLIC INTEREST FACTORS WEIGH HEAVILY AGAINST TRANSFER.....32

A. Practical considerations and judicial economy weigh heavily against transfer.....32

B. The local interest does not favor transfer.34

C. Court congestion favors this District or is neutral.36

D. Public policy does not favor transfer.....37

E. The remaining public interest factors are neutral.....37

CONCLUSION.....37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>3G Licensing, S.A. v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 17-cv-0083, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 207202 (D. Del. Dec. 18, 2017).....	17
<i>Am. Fin. Res., Inc. v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC</i> , No. 14-7555, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94562 (D.N.J. July 21, 2015)	18
<i>Apple Inc. v. High Tech Computer Corp.</i> , No. 10-166, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4022 (D. Del. Jan. 14, 2011).....	28, 32
<i>Brandywine Comm’cns Techs., LLC v. HTC Corp. et al.</i> , No. 6:12-cv-00276 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 23, 2012).....	19
<i>Brandywine Commc’ns. Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-262, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 198355 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 23, 2012)	19
<i>Clark v. Burger King Corp.</i> , 255 F. Supp. 2d 334 (D.N.J. 2003).....	29
<i>Clopay Corp. v. Newell Cos.</i> , 527 F. Supp. 733 (D. Del. 1981).....	17
<i>Core Wireless Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 6:12-CV-100 LED-JDL, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24922 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 22, 2013)	21
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-912, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107270 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 12, 2016)	22, 23
<i>Corel Software, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-528, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24003 (D. Utah Feb. 26, 2016)	22

Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha v. Lotte Int’l Am. Corp.,
 No. 15-54772, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 157424
 (D.N.J. Sept. 25, 2017)25, 26

Gemalto S.A. v. HTC Corp. et al.,
 No. 6:10-cv-561, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133612
 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2011)6

In re Asus Computer Int’l,
 573 Fed. Appx. 928 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....24, 27

In re Zimmer Holdings, Inc.,
 609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010)33

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Altera Corp.,
 842 F. Supp. 2d 744 (D. Del. 2012).....15

Interlink Prods. Int’l v. Fan Fi Int’l, Inc.,
 No. 16-1142, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53627 (D.N.J. Apr. 7, 2017)25, 26

INVT SPE LLC v. Apple Inc.,
 No. 2:17-cv-01622-JMV-JBC,
 (filed May 25, 2017)4, 9

INVT SPE LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al.,
 No. 2:17-cv-06522-JMV-JBC,
 (filed Aug. 29, 2017).....4, 9

LG Elecs. Inc. v. First Int’l Computer,
 138 F. Supp. 2d 574 (D.N.J. Apr. 11, 2001).....17

Linwood Trading Ltd. v. Am. Metal Recycling Servs.,
 No. 14-5782, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 115395
 (D.N.J. Aug. 28, 2015).....16

MaxLite, Inc. v. ATG Elecs., Inc.,
 193 F. Supp. 3d 371 (D.N.J. 2016).....30, 31

NFC Tech., LLC v. HTC Am.,
 No. 2:13-cv-01058, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 105230
 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 1, 2014)34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.