

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01511
Patent 8,902,760 B2

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL W. De VRIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE* ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER CISCO
SYSTEMS, INC.**

Cisco 1047
IPR2018-01511

I, Michael W. De Vries, do hereby declare:

1. I am a partner in the law firm Kirkland & Ellis LLP. Lead counsel in this *inter partes* review proceeding is James Marina, who is a partner in the law firm Kirkland & Ellis LLP, and is registered to practice before the PTO and holds Registration No. 41,969. With respect to this proceeding, I will work closely with Mr. Marina.
2. I hold a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science and English from the University of California at Berkley. I hold a Juris Doctor degree from the University of California, Berkeley School of Law, and hold a Certificate in Law and Technology from the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology.
3. I have more than 17 years of experience as a litigation attorney who specializes in patent litigation and represents clients in patent litigation matters in various United States District Courts, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and before the International Trade Commission. My experience includes several matters related to computer systems and networked communications technologies, and I have particular experience relevant to the technological and legal matters at issue in this proceeding. I am, therefore, an experienced patent litigation attorney with particular

expertise that is pertinent to this proceeding. Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) desires, and have a need, to be represented in certain aspects of these proceedings by an experienced patent litigation attorney who has particular expertise that is relevant to the issues in this proceeding.

4. I have also led efforts to educate attorneys at Kirkland & Ellis LLP about the *Inter Partes* Review procedures and have participated in preparing multiple *Inter Partes* Review petitions.
5. I am very familiar with U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760 and with the legal subject matter, technical subject matter, and prior art discussed in Cisco’s Request for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760, which forms the basis for this proceeding. I am currently counsel to Cisco in the co-pending litigation relating to the same patent in *Cisco Systems, Inc. v. ChriMar Systems, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2-17-cv-13770 (E.D. Mich.), filed November 20, 2017 and am involved with factual and technical developments in those matters.
6. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of California. I am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

California, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, the United States District Court of Colorado, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

7. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.
8. I have never had a court or administrative body deny my application for admission to practice.
9. I have never been sanctioned or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body.
10. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.
11. I agree to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

12. In the past 3 years, I was admitted *pro hac vice* as counsel for before the PTAB in the following actions:

- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2014-01457, IPR2014-01458, IPR2014-01459, IPR2015-01052, IPR2015-01053, and IPR2015-01054 as counsel for Biscotti concerning a Real Time Video Communications System.
- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2015-00999 and IPR2015-01001 as counsel for Cisco Systems, Inc. concerning Admissions Control In A Connectionless Communications Network, and Providing Media Communication Across Firewalls, respectively.
- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2016-01398, IPR2016-01401, and IPR2016-01402 as counsel for Intel Corp. concerning Security Processor With Bus Configuration, Performance Based Packet Ordering In A PCI Express Bus, and Method For Effecting The Controlled Shutdown Of Data Processing Units, respectively.
- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2016-01434 as counsel for Oracle Corporation concerning an Apparatus For Distributing Content Objects To A Personalized Access Point Of A User Over A Network-Based Environment And Method.
- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2017-00609, IPR2017-00610, and IPR2017-00616 as counsel for LivePerson, Inc. concerning Integrated Chat Client With Calling Party Choice; Interaction Management; And Method and Apparatus for Intelligent Routing of Incoming Calls to Representatives in a Call Center, respectively.
- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2018-00320 as counsel for Sierra Wireless, Inc.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.