

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the *Inter Partes* Review of:

Trial Number: To Be Assigned

U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012

Filed: September 26, 2008

Issued: April 10, 2012

Inventor(s): John F. Austermann, III
and Marshall B. Cummings

Assignee: ChriMar Systems, Inc.

Title: System and Method for Adapting a Piece of Terminal Equipment
Panel: To Be Assigned

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Review
Commissions for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES — 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) AND (B)..... 1

III. FEES (42.103) 7

IV. STANDING (42.104(A))..... 7

V. FACTORS DO NOT SUPPORT DENIAL OF INSTITUTION UNDER §§314 AND 325 9

VI. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE — 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)..... 11

 A. 42.104(b)(1) and 42.104(b)(2) 11

 B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction 12

 C. 42.104(b)(4): Unpatentability..... 15

 D. 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence..... 15

VII. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE 15

 A. The '012 Patent and the Well-Known Art of Phantom Powering 15

 B. Level of Ordinary Skill 17

 C. Ground 1: Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based On Hunter In View Of Bulan..... 17

 D. Ground 2: Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based On Bloch In View Of IEEE 802.3 53

VIII. CONCLUSION..... 75

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ariosa Diagnostic v. Isis Innovation Lmt.</i> , IPR2012-00022, Paper 20 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 12, 2013).....	8
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. ChriMar Sys. Inc.</i> , 2:15-cv-10290, Dkt. 24 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 17, 2018)	8
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. ChriMar Sys. Inc.</i> , 2:17-cv-13770, Dkt. 35 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 17, 2018)	8
<i>Compass Bank v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC</i> , IPR2014-00724, Paper No. 41 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 5, 2015).....	55
<i>Emerson Electric No. v. Sipco, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01579, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 14, 2016)	8
<i>Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , IPR2014-00527, Paper No. 41 (P.T.A.B. May 18, 2015)	54
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	24
<i>QSC Audio Prods., LLC v. Crest Audio, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00129, Paper No. 41 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 29, 2015)	55
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 101	15
35 U.S.C. § 102	18, 54
35 U.S.C. § 103	11
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	15
35 U.S.C. § 315.....	8
35 U.S.C § 325(d)	9, 11

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. §1.68 15
37 C.F.R. § 42.8 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 11, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(a)..... 1, 10

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	Declaration of George Zimmerman Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012
1002	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of George Zimmerman
1003	U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012
1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760
1005	U.S. Patent No. 9,049,019
1006	U.S. Patent No. 9,812,825
1007	Final Written Decision regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012, <i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. ChriMar Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01389, Paper No. 69 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 23, 2018)
1008	Final Written Decision regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107, <i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. ChriMar Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01391, Paper No. 66 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 20, 2017)
1009	Final Written Decision regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838, <i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. ChriMar Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01397 Paper No. 66 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 29, 2017)
1010	Final Written Decision regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760, <i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. ChriMar Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01399 Paper No. 73 (P.T.A.B. April 26, 2018)
1011	Oral hearing transcript, August 31, 2017, <i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. ChriMar Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01389, 1391, 1397, 1399.
1012	Opinion, <i>ChriMar Holding Company, LLC, ChriMar Systems, Inc. dba CMA Technologies, Inc. v. ALE USA Inc., fka Alcatel-Lucent Enterprise USA, Inc.</i> , 17-1848, Dkt. No. 55 (May 8, 2018)
1013	Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>ChriMar Sys., Inc., et al. v. Alcatel-Lucent S.A. et al.</i> , Civil Action No. 6:15-cv-163-JDL, Eastern District of Texas, Dkt. No. 122, March 28, 2016
1014	Defendant's Answer, Affirmative Defenses, Jury Demand and Counterclaim to First Amended Complaint, <i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. ChriMar Sys. Inc.</i> , 2:17-cv-13770, Dkt. 22 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 16, 2018)
1015	List of Pending Cases Involving U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.