UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner

_____

Case IPR2018-01508
Patent 8,155,012 B2

_____

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL W. De VRIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE* ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

I, Michael W. De Vries, do hereby declare:

1.   I am a partner in the law firm Kirkland & Ellis LLP. Lead counsel in this

       inter partes review proceeding is James Marina, who is a partner in the law

       firm Kirkland & Ellis LLP, and is registered to practice before the PTO and

       holds Registration No. 41,969. With respect to this proceeding, I will work

       closely with Mr. Marina.

2.   I hold a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science and English from the

       University of California at Berkley. I hold a Juris Doctor degree from the

       University of California, Berkeley School of Law, and hold a Certificate in

       Law and Technology from the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology.

3.   I have more than 17 years of experience as a litigation attorney who

       specializes in patent litigation and represents clients in patent litigation

       matters in various United States District Courts, the Court of Appeals for the

       Federal Circuit, and before the International Trade Commission. My

       experience includes several matters related to computer systems and

       networked communications technologies, and I have particular experience

       relevant to the technological and legal matters at issue in this proceeding. I

       am, therefore, an experienced patent litigation attorney with particular

expertise that is pertinent to this proceeding. Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") desires, and have a need, to be represented in certain aspects of these proceedings by an experienced patent litigation attorney who has particular expertise that is relevant to the issues in this proceeding.

4.   I have also led efforts to educate attorneys at Kirkland & Ellis LLP about the *Inter Partes* Review procedures and have participated in preparing multiple *Inter Partes* Review petitions.

5.   I am very familiar with U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012, and with the legal subject matter, technical subject matter, and prior art discussed in Cisco's Request for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012, which forms the basis for this proceeding. I am currently counsel to Cisco in the co-pending litigation relating to the same patent in *Cisco Systems, Inc. v. ChriMar Systems, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2-17-cv-13770 (E.D. Mich.), filed November 20, 2017 and am involved with factual and technical developments in those matters.

6.   I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of California. I am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

California, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
California, the United States District Court for the Northern District of
California, the United States District Court for the Central District of
California, the United States District Court for the Southern District of
California, the United States District Court of Colorado, the United States
District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, the United States Court of
Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the United States Court of Appeals for
the Eleventh Circuit.

7. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

8. I have never had a court or administrative body deny my application for admission to practice.

9. I have never been sanctioned or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body.

10. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

11. I agree to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

4

and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

12.   In the past 3 years, I was admitted *pro hac vice* as counsel for before the

PTAB in the following actions:

- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2014-01457, IPR2014-01458, IPR2014-01459, IPR2015-01052, IPR2015-01053, and IPR2015-01054 as counsel for Biscotti concerning a Real Time Video Communications System.

- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2015-00999 and IPR2015-01001 as counsel for Cisco Systems, Inc. concerning Admissions Control In A Connectionless Communications Network, and Providing Media Communication Across Firewalls, respectively.

- *Inter Partes* Reviews IPR2016-01398, IPR2016-01401, and IPR2016-01402 as counsel for Intel Corp. concerning Security Processor With Bus Configuration, Performance Based Packet Ordering In A PCI Express Bus, and Method For Effecting The Controlled Shutdown Of Data Processing Units, respectively.

- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2016-01434 as counsel for Oracle Corporation concerning an Apparatus For Distributing Content Objects To A Personalized Access Point Of A User Over A Network-Based Environment And Method.

- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2017-00609, IPR2017-00610, and IPR2017-00616 as counsel for LivePerson, Inc. concerning Integrated Chat Client With Calling Party Choice; Interaction Management; And Method and Apparatus for Intelligent Routing of Incoming Calls to Representatives in a Call Center, respectively.

- *Inter Partes* Review IPR2018-00320 as counsel for Sierra Wireless, Inc.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.