UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC. and ZTE (USA) INC., Petitioners,

v.

INVT SPE LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01476 Patent 7,764,711 B2

Before THU A. DANG, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and J. JOHN LEE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION Institution of *Inter Partes* Review 35 U.S.C. § 314



INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc., HTC Corporation, HTC America, Inc., and ZTE (USA) Inc.¹ (collectively, "Petitioners") filed a Petition (Paper 4, "Pet.") requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–6 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,764,711 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '711 Patent"). INVT SPE LLC ("Patent Owner") timely filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 8, "Prelim. Resp.").

We have authority to institute an *inter partes* review only if the information presented in the Petition shows "there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). An *inter partes* review may not be instituted on fewer than all claims challenged in the Petition. *SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348, 1359–60 (2018).

Upon consideration of the Petition and Preliminary Response, we determine that the information presented shows there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of each of the challenged claims. Accordingly, we institute an *inter partes* review of the challenged claims of the '711 Patent.

A. Related Cases

The parties identify as related to the present case the following district court cases: *INVT SPE LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 2:17-cv-03738 (D.N.J.); *INVT SPE LLC v. HTC Corporation*, Case No. 2:17-cv-03740 (D.N.J.); *INVT SPE LLC v. ZTE Corporation*, Case No. 2:17-cv-06522 (D.N.J.); *Inventergy, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-00196 (D. Del.); and

¹ Petitioners identify ZTE Corporation as an additional real party-in-interest. Pet. 67.



Inventergy, Inc. v. HTC Corporation, Case No. 1:17-cv-00200 (D. Del.). Pet. 67–68; Paper 5, 1. Patent Owner further identifies ten cases before the Board involving petitions for *inter partes* review that it asserts may affect, or be affected by, the present case. Paper 5, 1–2.

B. The '711 Patent

The '711 Patent relates to "a transmission apparatus and transmission method which transmits signals from a plurality of transmission antennas like an MIMO (Multi-Input/Multi-Output) communication." Ex. 1001, 1:10–14. The Specification describes two techniques for MIMO communications that each present challenges.

First, the Specification describes separating a data signal into "substreams" that are sent from "a plurality of transmission antennas at the same timing and same frequency . . . thereby transmit[ting] an amount of data proportional to the number of transmission antennas and realize a high-speed, high-volume communication." *Id.* at 1:42–47. This technique is known as spatial multiplexing. *See* Pet. 6 (citing Ex. 1003 ¶ 35); Prelim. Resp. 4–5. This technique, however, suffers from the effects of interference, such as signal noise, which causes the error rate to deteriorate and results in poor channel quality. Ex. 1001, 1:48–59.

Second, to prevent such deterioration, the Specification describes a method whereby data is transmitted on one antenna and "the same data" (i.e., replica data) is sent on a plurality of antennas. *Id.* at 1:60–64. This technique is known as transmit diversity. *See* Pet. 5–6 (citing Ex. 1003 ¶ 33); Prelim. Resp. 5–6. This technique, however, "reduces the transmission rate of the communication system," which deteriorates transmission efficiency. Ex. 1001, 1:64–67.



The claimed invention is directed to solving both of these challenges simultaneously, i.e., "to improve reception performance of specific data on a receiving side while maintaining the transmission efficiency of a communication system." *Id.* at 2:3–6.

C. Challenged Claims

Petitioners challenge all of the claims of the '711 Patent. Claims 1 and 6 are the only independent claims. Claim 1 is illustrative and is reproduced below:

1. A transmitting apparatus employing a MIMO (multi-input/multi-output) scheme of transmitting a plurality of data items for a same receiving apparatus using a plurality of antennas in parallel, the transmitting apparatus comprising:

a mapping section that maps the plurality of data items to at least one of the plurality of antennas; and

a transmitting section that transmits the plurality of data items using the at least one of the plurality of antennas to the receiving apparatus,

wherein the mapping section generates a replica data item by replicating a specific data item of the plurality of data items, and maps the plurality of data items to the at least one of the plurality of antennas such that the specific data item and the replica data item are transmitted from different antennas at a same time.



D. Asserted Ground of Unpatentability and Asserted Prior Art

Petitioners assert that claims 1–6 are unpatentable as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of the combination of Paulraj,² Huang,³ and Walton.⁴ Pet. 8. Further, Petitioners contend claims 1–6 also are unpatentable as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of the combination of Wallace⁵ and Walton. *Id.* at 9. In addition, Petitioners rely on the Declaration of Dr. Andrew C. Singer. (Ex. 1003), in support of both asserted grounds of unpatentability.

ANALYSIS

A. Claim Construction

For petitions filed before November 13, 2018, claim terms in an unexpired patent are given their broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which they appear. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); see Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131, 2144–46 (2016). The parties propose constructions for multiple claim terms, but we only construe claims to the extent necessary to resolve the issues for this Decision. See Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. & Eng'g, Inc., 200 F.3d 795, 803 (Fed. Cir. 1999); Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co., 868 F.3d 1013, 1017 (Fed. Cir. 2017).

⁵ U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0193146 A1, published Dec. 19, 2002 (Ex. 1009, "Wallace").



² U.S. Patent No. 6,067,290, issued May 23, 2000 (Ex. 1005, "Paulraj").

³ H. Huang et al., *Achieving High Data Rates in CDMA Systems Using BLAST Techniques*, *in* Conference Record, IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference 2316 (1999) (Ex. 1006, "Huang").

⁴ U.S. Patent No. 7,095,709 B2, issued Aug. 22, 2006 (Ex. 1008, "Walton").

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

