

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted today via the Office electronic filing system (EFS-Web) in accordance with 37 CFR §1.6 (a)(4).

Date: September 14, 2012.

Signature: /Andrea S. Beck/  
Printed Name: Andrea S. Beck

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Inventors: Baliarda et al.

Patent No.: 7,015,868

Filed: October 12, 2004

For: Multilevel Antennae

REQUEST FOR REEXAMINATION UNDER  
35 U.S.C. §§ 311 *ET SEQ.*, AND  
37 C.F.R. §§ 1.913 AND 1.915

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Reexamination  
ATTN: Central Reexamination Unit  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION OF U.S. PATENT 7,015,868**

Fractus S.A.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION OF U.S. PATENT 7,015,868 ..... I**

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS ..... IV**

- A. PRIOR ART (PA) ..... IV
- B. RELEVANT PATENT MATERIALS (PAT) ..... IV
- C. CLAIM CHARTS (CC) ..... IV
- D. OTHER DOCUMENTS (OTH) ..... IV

**REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION OF U.S. PATENT 7,015,868 ..... 1**

**I. STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.915(B)(3) OF EACH PROPOSED REJECTION ..... 2**

**II. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.915 ..... 3**

**III. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘868 PATENT AND PROSECUTION HISTORY ..... 4**

- A. INTRODUCTION ..... 4
  - 1. THE ‘868 PATENT APPLICATION PROSECUTION HISTORY ..... 4
  - 2. OVERVIEW OF THE CLAIMS ..... 6
- B. RELATED INTER PARTES REEXAMINATION OF THE ‘868 PATENT ..... 7
- C. RELATED CO-PENDING LITIGATION REQUIRES TREATMENT WITH SPECIAL DISPATCH AND PRIORITY OVER ALL OTHER CASES ..... 8
- D. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 8
- E. PATENT OWNER’S INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS ..... 9

**IV. STATEMENT ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE REQUESTER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.915 (B) ..... 10**

- A. GRANGEAT PRESENTS AN RLP WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 OF THE ‘868 PATENT ..... 11
- B. YANIGASAWA ‘064 PRESENTS AN RLP WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 OF THE ‘868 PATENT ..... 14
- C. PANKINAHO PRESENTS AN RLP WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 OF THE ‘868 PATENT ..... 17
- D. YANG PRESENTS AN RLP WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMS 1, 32, 33, AND 35 OF THE ‘868 PATENT ..... 19

Fractus S.A.

E.	YANG IN VIEW OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART PRESENTS AN RLP WITH RESPECT TO CLAIM 26 OF THE '868 PATENT .....	22
V.	<b>MANNER OF APPLYING THE CLAIMS AS REQUIRED BY 37 CFR § 1.915 (B)</b> .....	<b>24</b>
A.	CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 ARE ANTICIPATED BY GRANGEAT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102.....	24
B.	CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 ARE ANTICIPATED BY YANAGISAWA '064 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102.....	29
C.	CLAIMS 1, 26, 32, 33, AND 35 ARE ANTICIPATED BY PANKINAHO UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102.....	36
D.	CLAIMS 1, 32, 33, AND 35 ARE ANTICIPATED BY YANG UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102.....	42
E.	CLAIM 26 IS RENDERED OBVIOUS BY YANG IN VIEW OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	49
VI.	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>50</b>

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

The exhibits to the present Request are arranged in four groups: prior art (“PA”), relevant patent prosecution file history, patents, and claim dependency relationships (“PAT”), claim charts (“CC”), and other (“OTH”).

#### A. PRIOR ART (PA)

- PA-SB08A/B USPTO Form SB/08A/B
- PA-A U.S. Patent No. 6,133,879 to Grangeat *et al.* issued on October 17, 2000 (“Grangeat”)
- PA-B U.S. Patent No. 5,995,064 to Yanagisawa *et al.* issued on November 30, 1999 (“Yanagisawa ‘064”)
- PA-C U.S. Patent No. 6,140,966 to Pankinaho issued on October 31, 2000 (“Pankinaho”)
- PA-D U.S. Patent No. 6,300,914 to Yang issued on October 9, 2001 (“Yang”)

#### B. RELEVANT PATENT MATERIALS (PAT)

- PAT-A U.S. Patent No. 7,015,868 (“the ‘868 patent”)

#### C. CLAIM CHARTS (CC)

- CC-A Claim Chart comparing Claims 1, 26, 32, 33, and 35 of the ‘868 patent to the disclosure of Grangeat
- CC-B Claim Chart comparing Claims 1, 26, 32, 33, and 35 of the ‘868 patent to the disclosure of Yanagisawa ‘064
- CC-C Claim Chart comparing Claims 1, 26, 32, 33, and 35 of the ‘868 patent to the disclosure of Pankinaho
- CC-D Claim Chart comparing Claims 1, 32, 33, and 35 of the ‘868 patent to the disclosure of Yang
- CC-E Claim Chart comparing Claim 26 of the ‘868 patent to the disclosure of Yang in view of the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art

#### D. OTHER DOCUMENTS (OTH)

- OTH-A Second Amended Complaint filed December 2, 2009 in the case of *Fractus S.A. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 6:09cv203 (E.D. Tex.)
- OTH-B Preliminary Infringement Contentions for the ‘868 patent in the case of *Fractus S.A. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. Et al.*, Case No. 6:09cv203 (E.D. Tex.)<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Only a subset of the Preliminary Infringement Contentions is provided to avoid overloading the Patent Office with material in this Request for Reexamination.

Fractus S.A.

- OTH-C Infringement Trial Demonstrative presented by Patent Owner's expert, Dr. Long, in the case of *Fractus S.A. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. Et al.*, Case No. 6:09cv203 (E.D. Tex.)
- OTH-D Action Closing Prosecution of co-pending reexamination of the '868 patent.
- OTH-E Court Claim Construction in the case of *Fractus S.A. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. Et al.*, Case No. 6:09cv203 (E.D. Tex.).
- OTH-F Deposition of Dr. Jaggard taken August 27, 2010 (Public Version with pages 151 to 159 redacted)

Fractus S.A.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.