

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

FRACTUS, SA,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil No. 3:18-CV-2838-K
	§	
ZTE (USA), INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

**ORDER REQUIRING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE AND
REPORT FOR CONTENTS OF SCHEDULING ORDER**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), Rule 26 and the Court’s Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan (the “Plan”), the Court enters this Order to promote possible early settlement of this action and to facilitate subsequent entry of a Scheduling Order. This Order is being sent to all counsel and unrepresented parties who have appeared. If there are other parties who have not appeared, but who have been in contact with the plaintiff or plaintiff’s counsel, then the plaintiff’s counsel (or the plaintiff if the plaintiff is unrepresented) is responsible for contacting such parties and making every effort to ensure their meaningful participation in the conference described below.

I.

Lead counsel for each party (or designee attorney with appropriate authority) and any unrepresented party (except for a prisoner litigant proceeding pro se) shall confer as soon as practicable, but in no event later than **20 days from the date of this order** (the “Scheduling Conference”) to confer and (1) consider the nature and basis for the claims and defenses; (2) consider the possibilities for a prompt resolution of the case; (3) to make or arrange for the disclosure required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and prepare the report described below. It is the Court’s belief that a personal face-to-face meeting is usually the most productive type of conference, but the Court will leave the determination of the form for the Scheduling Conference to the professionalism of the parties. As a result of the Scheduling Conference, counsel shall prepare and submit a Report Regarding Contents of Scheduling Order (“Joint Report”). The Joint Report shall also include a status report on settlement negotiations, but shall not disclose settlement figures.

If plaintiff(s) and defendant(s) **have not** already filed a Certificate of Interested Persons with the Clerk of Court, they are directed to do so no later than **7 days from the date of this order** so that the Court may ensure that recusal is not necessary.

II.

The Joint Report, which shall be filed no later than **10 days from the date of the scheduling conference**, shall include the following in separate numbered paragraphs, but not in the format of a proposed order.

1. A brief statement of the claims and defenses;
2. A proposed time limit to file motions for leave to join other parties;
3. A proposed time limit to amend pleadings;
4. A proposed time limit to file various types of motions, including dispositive motions. The Court prefers the deadline for dispositive motions to be 120 days before trial.
5. A proposed time limit for initial designation of experts;
6. A proposed time limit for responsive designations of experts;
7. A proposed time limit for objections to experts (i.e. *Daubert* and similar motions);
8. A proposed plan and schedule for discovery, a statement of the subjects on which discovery may be needed, a time limit to complete factual discovery and expert discovery, and a statement of whether discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to particular issues;
9. What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed;
10. A proposed trial date, estimated number of days required for trial, and whether a jury has been properly demanded; (The parties should note that the Court operates a three-week docket beginning the first Monday of each month. Therefore, the parties should propose a trial date which corresponds with the first Monday of the agreed upon month.)
11. A proposed date for further settlement negotiations;
12. Objections to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) asserted at the Scheduling Conference, and other proposed modifications to the timing, form, or requirements for disclosure under Rule 26(a), including a statement as to when disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) were made or will be made;
13. Whether the parties will consent to trial (jury or non-jury) before U.S. Magistrate Judge (consent attached).

14. Whether the parties are considering mediation or arbitration to resolve this litigation and a statement of when alternative dispute resolution would be most effective (e.g. before discovery, after limited discovery, after motions are filed, etc.), and, if mediation is proposed, the name of any mediator the parties jointly recommend to mediate the case;
15. Any other proposals regarding scheduling and discovery that the parties believe will facilitate expeditious and orderly preparation for trial;
16. Whether a conference with the Court is desired and the reasons for requesting a conference; and
17. Any other matters relevant to the status and disposition of this case, including any other orders that should be entered by the Court under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), 16(c), and 26(c).

Filing the Joint Report is mandatory, and the **proposed deadlines provided shall be submitted as a date certain.** (e.g. **January 30, 2016.**) All parties shall endeavor to prepare joint suggestions, but if they cannot agree, the Joint Report shall reflect their respective views. In such a case, the Joint Report shall set forth with each party's respective recommendation a statement of why agreement could not be reached. The names of any persons in the case who did not participate in the conference shall be identified in the Joint Report.

III.

Unless plaintiff is unrepresented, lead counsel for plaintiff is responsible for initiating contact with opposing counsel and all unrepresented parties for the purpose of arranging the Scheduling Conference and preparing the Joint Report. Lead counsel for all parties and unrepresented parties are equally responsible for seeing that this Order is complied with in a timely manner. At least one counsel for each party and all unrepresented parties shall sign the Joint Report prior to filing.

IV.

Because Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) requires the Court to enter a Scheduling Order "as soon as practicable but in any event within 90 days after the appearance of a defendant and within 60 days after the complaint has been served on a defendant," any request for an extension of time to file the Joint Report shall be denied absent a showing of good cause.

V.

Once the Scheduling Order is issued, an extension of the trial date will not be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. Without filing a motion, the parties may **not** agree to extend any date in the Scheduling Order.

VI.

Unless this is an action exempted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E), or an objection to disclosure is asserted at the Scheduling Conference in good faith, as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), the parties must make disclosures as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) no later than **14 days from the date of the scheduling conference.**

SO ORDERED.

Signed March 15th, 2019.



ED KINKEADE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

_____ DIVISION

Plaintiff

v.

Docket No. _____

Defendant

CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties to the above captioned civil matter hereby waive their right to proceed before a Judge of the United States District Court and consent to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct any and all further proceedings in the above styled case (including the trial) and order entry of a final judgment.

Party or Counsel of Record

Date

NOTE: Return this form to the District Clerk only if it has been executed by all parties to the case.

ORDER OF REASSIGNMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above captioned matter be reassigned to the United States Magistrate Judge _____ for the conduct of all further proceedings and the entry of final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and the foregoing consent of the parties.

DATED: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.