

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., HTC CORPORATION, and HTC AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,712,723
Case IPR No.: *IPR2018-01458*

Declaration of Joseph A. Paradiso, PhD
under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE	2
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	9
	A. Anticipation.....	10
	B. Obviousness	10
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '723 PATENT	12
	A. Summary of the Patent.....	12
	B. Prosecution History of the '723 Patent.....	15
VI.	BROADEST REASONABLE INTERPRETATION	17
	A. “dominant axis”	17
	B. “cadence window”	18
	C. “a dominant axis logic to determine an orientation of a device with respect to gravity, to assign a dominant axis, and to update the dominant axis when the orientation of the device changes”	19
	D. “a counting logic to count periodic human motions by monitoring accelerations relative to the dominant axis by counting the periodic human motions when accelerations showing a motion cycle that meets motion criteria is detected within a cadence window”	20
	E. “a cadence logic to update the cadence window as actual cadence changes”	21
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	23
	A. State of the Art at the Time of the '723 Patent	23
	B. Summary of Fabio.....	25
	C. Summary of Pasolini.....	28

D. Reasons to Combine Fabio and Pasolini30

E. Detailed Analysis34

VIII. CONCLUSION.....89

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am making this declaration at the request of LG Electronics, Inc. (“LG”), as well as HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (together “HTC”) in the matter of this *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,712,723 (“the ’723 Patent”) to Kahn, *et al.*

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at the rate of \$600/hour. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this investigation. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of this matter or the specifics of my testimony. I hold no interest in LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., LG Electronics MobileComm USA, Inc., HTC Corporation, HTC America, Inc., or the Patent Owner Uniloc USA, Inc.

3. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-18 of the ’723 Patent are unpatentable, either because they are anticipated or would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) at the time of the alleged invention, in light of the prior art. It is my opinion that all of the limitations of claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-18 would have been obvious to a POSITA.

4. In the preparation of this declaration, I have studied:

- a) The '723 Patent, Ex.1001;
 - b) The prosecution history of the '723 Patent, Ex.1002;
 - c) U.S. Patent No. 7,463,997 to Fabio Pasolini et al. ("Pasolini"), Ex.1005.
 - d) U.S. Patent No. 7,698,097 to Fabio Pasolini et al. ("Fabio"), Ex.1006 .
5. In forming the opinions expressed below, I have considered:
- a) The documents listed above, and
 - b) My own knowledge and experience based upon my work in the field of MEMS (micro-electro-mechanical) devices and body motion sensing systems, as described below.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

6. My complete qualifications and professional experience are described in my *Curriculum Vitae*, a copy of which can be found in Ex.1004. The following is a brief summary of my relevant qualifications and professional experience.

7. As shown in my curriculum vitae, I have devoted my career to various fields of physical, electrical, and computer science with more than two decades focused on embedding sensing, including wearable and wireless sensors. I have twenty years of experience in wearable devices and computing, during which I

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.