

Filed on behalf of: **Junior Party Biogen MA Inc.**

By: Michele C. Bosch
Barbara C. McCurdy
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
michele.bosch@finnegan.com
barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com
202-408-4193 tel
202-408-4400 fax

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BIOGEN MA INC.,
Junior Party
Patent 8,399,514 B2,

v.

FORWARD PHARMA A/S,
Senior Party
Application 11/576,871.

Patent Interference 106,023 (McK)
Technology Center 1600

BIOGEN MOTION 1

(Lack of written description and enablement)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	1
II. EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE MOTION.....	1
III. INTRODUCTION.....	1
IV. THE BOARD SHOULD ENTER JUDGMENT AGAINST FORWARD PHARMA BECAUSE THE '871 APPLICATION FAILS TO DESCRIBE OR ENABLE THE CLAIMS IT COPIED FROM BIOGEN.....	3
A. Factual Background.....	3
B. The '871 Application Is Directed to “Controlled Release” Compositions That Allegedly Reduce GI Side Effects Associated with Fumaderm®.....	5
C. Forward Pharma’s Copied Claims Are Interpreted by Reference to Biogen’s '514 Patent.....	7
1. “Treating a subject in need of treatment for multiple sclerosis”.....	8
2. “Therapeutically effective amount”.....	8
3. The Copied Claims.....	9
D. The '871 Application Fails to Provide Written Description Support for the Copied Claims.....	9
1. The '871 Application Fails to Describe the Full Scope of the Copied Claims as an Integrated Whole.....	10
2. Forward Pharma’s Erroneous Allegations of Written Description Support During Prosecution Cannot Save Its Copied Claims.....	17
E. Forward Pharma’s Copied Claims Separately Violate the Written Description Requirement Because They Are Not Limited to “Controlled Release” Compositions.....	19
F. The '871 Application Fails to Enable the Copied Claims.....	22
G. Forward Pharma’s PCT Application Likewise Lacks Written Description and Enablement.....	24
H. Forward Pharma’s '871 and PCT Applications Lack Written Description and Enablement of An Embodiment Within the Count and Are Not A Constructive Reduction to Practice.....	24

V. CONCLUSION..... 25

APPENDIX 1 – LIST CITED OF EXHIBITS A1-1

APPENDIX 2 – COPY OF AUTHORITY..... A2-1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Agilent Techs., Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	7
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc).....	9
<i>Boston Scientific Corp. v. Johnson & Johnson</i> , 647 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	10, 13
<i>Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. v. Abbott Labs</i> 636 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	12
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc.</i> , 323 F.3d 956 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	10, 13
<i>Gentry Gallery, Inc. v. Berkline Corp.</i> , 134 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	19
<i>LizardTech Inc. v. Earth Resource Mapping, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	19, 20, 23
<i>Novozymes A/S v. DuPont Nutrition Biosciences APS</i> , 723 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>PIN/NIP, Inc. v. Platte Chem. Co.</i> , 304 F.3d 1235 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	19, 21, 22
<i>Quake v. Lo</i> , Intf. No. 105,920, Decision on Motion (P.T.A.B. Apr. 7, 2014).....	10, 16, 17
<i>Rasmusson v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.</i> , 413 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	23
<i>In re Ruschig</i> , 379 F.2d 990 (C.C.P.A. 1967)	10, 11, 13, 16
<i>In re Spina</i> , 975 F.2d 854 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	7
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	<i>passim</i>

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 41.2011
69 Fed. Reg. 49960 (Aug. 12, 2004).....1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.