Clinical Trial Review Board Meeting Agenda Item Meeting Minutes

Date: 19 February 2004

Agenda Item: Double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose determination,

efficacy, safety, and tolerability study of BG00012 in

patients with relapsing remitting MS

Support: * Support with Minor Revisions: *

Not Support: * Rework Required: X

Attendees: The following people were present during the

discussion of the above-referenced agenda item.

TITLE	NAME or NAME OF DESIGNEE	PRESENT	
Clinical Project Manager	Rebecca Conaghan	Yes	
CTRB Chairperson	Carmen Bozic	Yes	
Medical Director	Gilmore O'Neill	Yes	
Medical Writer	Ed Berkhoff/Anne Read	Yes	
Vice President, Drug Safety and Medical Information	John Ferguson	Yes	
Senior Vice President, Medical Research	Whaijen Soo	Yes	
Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs	Nadine Cohen	No	
Vice President, Biometrics and Data Management	Laura Meyerson	No	
Vice President, Preclinical and Clinical Development Sciences	Jim Green	No	
Other(s)	Hans Peter Hasler, Bill Sibold, Bob Hamm, John Oram, Carey Smith, Dale Spriggs, Ying Zhu, Boyd Hanson, Minhua Yang, Sven Lee, Deborah Kinch, Susan Horne, Mary Spellman, Chris Tenhoor, Sharon MacBain, Paul Flyer, Al Sandrock, James Stella, Susan Goelz, Cara Lansden, Theresa Pondrebrac, Barry Ticho		



Clinical Trial Review Board Meeting Agenda Item Meeting Minutes

Summarized Discussion

• Gilmore O'Neill presented the concept to the CTRB. Four options were included in the presentation as shown in the table below:

Optio	Dosing Regimes							
n								
#1		240 mg/day	360 mg/day	480 mg/day	720 mg/day			
		2 div dose	3 div dose	2 div dose	3 div dose			
#2	120 mg/day		360 mg/day	480 mg/day	720 mg/day			
	Single dose		3 div dose	2 div dose	3 div dose			
#3	120 mg/day		360 mg/day		720 mg/day			
	Single dose		3 div dose		3 div dose			
#4					720 mg/day	1080		
					3 div dose	mg/day		
						3 div dose		

The discussion focused on Options 1, 2, and 3. Option 4 was discarded.

- Dosing emerged as the most critical issue. Option 2 appeared confusing to some CTRB members. Commercial representatives were not in favor of a 240 mg dose because this dose might affect the marketing strategy of the 720 mg dose under development for psoriasis. Regulatory representatives were concerned that bypassing a 240 mg dose might raise questions with regulatory agency reviewers. Research representatives felt that a true dose ranging study was only reflected in Option 3, or possibly adding a 120 mg arm to Option 1.
- Reformulation of study drug was discussed (i.e., developing a 60 mg capsule in addition to the 120 mg capsule now available). However, this was thought to be not possible due to time constraints.
- BID dosing was discussed and it was thought that this dosing regimen was beneficial on many different levels.

Summarized Action Plan

The concept was not approved. The team was instructed to seek alignment amongst
the different interests (i.e., research and commercial) and reconvene an ad hoc CTRB
a soon as possible, preferably the week of February 23rd, with an updated and agreed
upon study design.

