

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

VISA INC. and VISA U.S.A. INC.,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC,  
*Patent Owner*

---

Case IPR2018-01351  
U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '539 PATENT .....	5
A. The '539 Patent Specification .....	5
B. The '539 Patent Claims .....	10
C. Prosecution History of the '539 Patent .....	14
III. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED ART .....	15
A. Junda.....	15
B. Brody .....	19
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	21
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	22
A. "Entity" .....	22
B. "Based at least in part on the indication of the provider and the time-varying multicharacter code of the transaction request" .....	23
C. "Provider" .....	26
D. "Access restrictions for the provider" .....	28
VI. THE PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ANY CLAIM IS INVALID BASED ON JUNDA AND BRODY (GROUND 1).....	30
A. Brody Fails to Disclose a Time-varying Multicharacter Code. ....	31
1. "Dynamic Mappings" Refer to Mappings Between Anonymous Card Attributes and Real Card Attributes Performed Close in Time (i.e., Real Time) to Real Card Attribute Processing/Authorization. ....	32
2. Brody's Anonymous Card Number and Attributes are Static.....	37
B. Junda Fails to Disclose Restriction Mechanisms are Executed to Determine Compliance with Any Access Restrictions for the Provider to Secure Data Stored at the Secure Registry for Completing the Transaction .....	40

- 1. Junda’s Discussion That Real User Data is Provided to a Card Issuer While Proxy User Data is Provided to a Merchant Fails to Disclose Limitations 1.4, 22.3, and 37.5.....43
- 2. Petitioner’s Additional Arguments Also Fail to Disclose Limitations 1.4 and 22.3. ....45
  - (a) “Based at least in part on the indication of the provider and the time-varying multicharacter code of the transaction request” does not modify only “completing the transaction.” .....46
  - (b) User preselection of the specific real data to obtain proxy data for, is not merchant-specific and is used and processed by Junda’s system the same way regardless of the merchant requesting the transaction.....49
  - (c) Restricted-use attributes setting an expiration parameter on proxy data is not merchant-specific and is used and processed by Junda’s system the same way regardless of the merchant requesting the transaction.....50
  - (d) A merchant logging onto an authorization network to send authorization requests to a proxy agent does not establish that the proxy agent determines whether a provider is compliant with access restrictions specific to the provider based on an indication of the provider. ....51
- C. Junda Fails to Disclose Allowing or Not Allowing Access to Secure Data Associated with an Entity Including Information Required to Enable the Transaction Based on Determined Compliance with Access Restrictions for the Provider .....54
- D. Petitioner Fails to Show that Junda Discloses “To Store an Appropriate Code With Each Such Portion of Secure Data” .....55
- VII. CONCLUSION.....57

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page**

**CASES**

*C&D Zodiac, Inc. v. B/E Aerospace, Inc.*,  
Case No. IPR2014-00727 (P.T.A.B. October 29, 2014) ..... 57-58

*Commvault Systems, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC*,  
Case No. IPR2017-02006 (P.T.A.B. March 29, 2018) .....57

*Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*,  
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....22

*Graham v. John Deere Co.*,  
383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966) .....30, 31

*Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.*,  
815 F.3d 1356, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....55

*KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
550 U.S. 398, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007) .....30

**STATUTES**

Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....1, 30

**RULES**

37 C.F.R. § 42.24 .....59

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....60

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....22

**EXHIBIT TABLE**

<b>Exhibit #</b>	<b>Description</b>
2001	Declaration of Markus Jakobsson in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
2002	Curriculum Vitae of Markus Jakobsson
2003	Terminal Disclaimer Dated August 17, 2018

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.