

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Chang Ki Kwon *et al.*
U.S. Patent No.: 8,063,674
Issue Date: November 22, 2011
Appl. Serial No.: 12/365,559
Filing Date: February 4, 2009
Title: Multiple supply-voltage power-up/down detectors

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ROBERT W. HORST, PH.D.

I, Robert W. Horst, Ph.D., of San Jose, CA, declare that:

I. Introduction

1. This declaration supplements the declaration entitled “Declaration of Robert W. Horst, Ph.D.” dated June 17, 2018 (hereinafter “Original Declaration”).

The statements made and opinions rendered therein can be assumed to be incorporated into this supplemental declaration, except as may be explicitly noted otherwise herein.

2. In addition to the materials I reviewed in preparation of my Original Declaration—which were noted in paragraph 7—I have reviewed the following list of materials in preparation of this declaration:

- Patent Owner Response to Petition (Paper 12)
- Dr. Massoud Pedram’s Declaration (Ex. 2002)
- Horst Declaration Transcript (Ex. 2003)
- Pedram Deposition Transcript (APPLE-1017)

- U. Daya Perera, *Reliability of Mobile Phones*, 1995 IEEE Proceedings Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium (Jan. 1995) (APPLE-1019)
- U.S. Patent No. 5,386,153 (“Voss”) (APPLE-1022)
- Wikipedia Entry for “LTspice” available at <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LTspice> (accessed on July 17, 2019) (APPLE-1023)
- John F. Wakerly, *DIGITAL DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES* 4th Ed. (2006) (APPLE-1024)
- Bruce Jacob, *ENEE 359a Digital VLSI Design - Transistor Sizing & Logical Effort*, available at <https://ece.umd.edu/courses/enee359a.S2007/> (APPLE-1025)

II. Dr. Pedram’s Analysis of the Prior Art is Incorrect and Incomplete

A. **SPICE simulation shows a Steinacker/Doyle/Park combination that does not result in increased leakage current, DC fighting conditions, or a breakdown in circuit functionality.**

3. Dr. Pedram has claimed that the Steinacker/Doyle/Park combination would not function in the same manner as the ’674 patent and could suffer from increased leakage current, DC fighting conditions, or a breakdown in circuit functionality.

13 Q. Dr. Pedram, it's your opinion that the
14 petitioner's proposed combination of Steinacker,
15 Doyle and Park would result in increased leakage
16 current, potentially a DC fighting condition and
17 potentially a complete breakdown of circuit
18 functionality; correct?

19 A. Yes, that's what I said.

20 Q. Your declaration does not include any
21 simulations of the applicant's proposed application
22 of Steinacker, Doyle and Park; correct?

23 A. That's correct. Yes.

Ex. 1017, 167:13-23.

4. However, Dr. Pedram did not run any simulations or include detailed analysis to support his conclusions. *See* Ex. 1017, 40:15-41:13, 167:20-23, 171, 20-172:1, 180:6-16. I do not agree with his conclusions and have run SPICE circuit simulations to show that he is incorrect or has analyzed the circuits under unspecified conditions that introduce such problems. Based on my analysis and simulations, the prior art combinations operate nearly identically to the circuit

shown in Figure 4 of the '674 Patent (hereinafter referred to as the "'674 Figure 4 circuit") and do not introduce the presumed problems described by Dr. Pedram.¹

5. SPICE is a widely-available, well-known circuit simulation program which is often used for the development and analysis of analog circuits. For the following simulations, I used LTSpice XVII from Analog Devices, a widely used and free circuit electronic circuit simulator². *See generally* Ex. 1023. SPICE circuit simulations were run to verify the operation of the '674 Figure 4 circuit, the prior art circuits, and the combinations described in my Original Declaration.

6. The simulations use a power supply with $V_{dd}=5V$ (V_{IO}) and test the ability of the circuit to detect power up and down of a 3.3V supply (V_{core}). Power up is detected at $\sim 3V$, and power down at $\sim 2.5V$. MOSFET thresholds have been

¹ The '674 Figure 4 circuit is one of three preferred embodiments described in the '674 Patent—Figures 5 and 6 being the other two. The '674 Figure 4 circuit includes various limitations that are not required by the claims of the '674 Patent. In fact, Dr. Pedram agrees the specific transistor configuration (including number of PMOS transistors and location of feedback) of the '674 Figure 4 circuit is not a requirement of any independent claim. *See* Ex. 1017, 80:19-86:21.

² The tool is available at <https://www.analog.com/en/design-center/design-tools-and-calculators/ltspice-simulator.html>

set based on these voltages, and all simulations of the '674 Figure 4 circuit and prior art combinations use the same threshold settings. Dr. Pedram agreed that the '674 Figure 4 circuit could operate at these voltages:

13	Q.	If I were designing a system where $V_{I/O}$
14		was 5 volts and V_{core} ranged from 0 to 3.3 volts,
15		would I be able to use the circuitry shown in
16		Figure 4 to detect when V_{core} reached 3.3 volts?
17	A.	Yes. When it reaches 3.3 volts, yes, you
18		can.

Ex. 1017, 108:13-18.

7. SPICE requires setting parameters to match the circuit being simulated. Some of the required parameters for this circuit include the thresholds for the inverters and the thresholds and strengths (width and length) of the transistors. The '674 patent has no guidance for these parameters and expects someone applying the circuits to have sufficient expertise and experience to set the parameters appropriately. Where possible, I used channel length and width parameters based on the Voss reference (Ex. 1022) that was available prior to the critical date of the '674 patent. For other parameters, such as transconductance, I relied on the LTSpice default settings.

8. The following SPICE simulations specify the turn-on thresholds and channel width and length, but use defaults for the other MOSFET parameters. The thresholds were set to make the circuits operable to detect power up and down for a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.