

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

QUALCOMM INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2018-01282  
Patent No. 8,768,865

---

**PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL**  
**37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 141(c) and 319, and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), notice is hereby given that Petitioner Apple Inc. hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision in Case No. IPR2018-01282 entered February 24, 2020 (Paper 34) (“Final Written Decision”) by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“the Board”), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions related thereto and included therein. This appeal is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 142, 37 C.F.R. § 90.3, and Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), the expected issues on appeal include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- (1) The Board’s decision that claims 4 and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,768,865 (Ex. 1001) were not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 8,676,224, filed Feb. 19, 2008, issued Mar. 18, 2014 (Ex. 1011, “Louch”);
- (2) The Board’s decision that claims 5, 24, and 48 of U.S. Patent No. 8,768,865 (Ex. 1001) were not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by Louch in view of U.S. Patent Application 2010/0217533, issued Aug. 26, 2010 (Ex. 1008, “Nadkarni”);
- (3) The Board’s interpretation of the limitations “wherein said second pattern is recognized in a reduced set of varying parameters derived from

said monitored input signals in response, at least in part, to said fixing of said subset of varying parameters” (claim 4) and “wherein said second pattern is associated with a reduced set of varying parameters derived from said monitored input signals due, at least in part, to fixing said subset of varying parameters” (claim 23), including the Board’s implicit construction of those limitations and their application to the prior art;

- (4) The Board’s interpretation of the limitation “capturing a snapshot of said monitored input signals in response to said detection of said at least one condition, said monitored input signals defining at least one context-related information stream” (claim 5), and “capture a snapshot of said monitored input signals in response to said detection of said at least one condition, said monitored input signals defining at least one context-related input stream” (claims 24 and 48), including the Board’s implicit construction of those limitations and their application to the prior art;
- (5) The Board’s interpretation of the prior art;
- (6) The Board’s legal errors in undertaking the aforementioned obviousness analysis;
- (7) The Board’s findings that conflict with the evidence of record or are otherwise not supported by substantial evidence;

(8) The Board's failure to consider evidence of record fully and properly;

and

(9) all other issues decided adversely to Petitioner in any orders, decisions,

ruling and opinion underlying or supporting the Final Written Decision.

Per 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(1), this notice is being filed with the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and a copy is also being filed with the Board. Per Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1) and 37 C.F.R. 90.2(a)(2), Petitioner is also sending a paper copy of this Notice of Appeal to the Clerk of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and paying the fee set forth in Federal Circuit Rule 42.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 27, 2020

/W. Karl Renner/

W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265  
Timothy W. Riffe, Reg. No. 43,881  
Thomas A. Rozylowicz, Reg. No. 50,620  
Thad C. Kodish, Reg. No. 53,149  
Noah C. Graubart, *Pro Hac Vice*  
Baile Xie, Reg. No. 78,380  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth St.  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
T: 202-626-5070  
F: 877-769-7945  
*Attorneys for Petitioner*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4) and 42.205(b), the undersigned certifies that on April 27, 2020, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Notice of Appeal was provided via email to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence address of record as follows:

Eagle Robinson  
R. Ross Viguet  
Daniel Leventhal  
Eric C. Green  
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP  
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1100  
Austin, TX 78701

Email: [eagle.robinson@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:eagle.robinson@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
[ross.viguet@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:ross.viguet@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
[daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
[eric.green@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:eric.green@nortonrosefulbright.com)

I hereby certify that, in addition to being filed electronically through the Board's E2E System, the original version of the foregoing Notice of Appeal was filed by hand on April 27, 2020, with the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office  
c/o Office of the General Counsel  
Madison Building East, 1 OB20  
600 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314-5793

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.