Case 3	:17-cv-02402-CAB-MDD Document 125 I	Filed 06/14/18 PageID.702 Page 1 of 15
1 2 3 4 5 6	David A. Nelson (pro hac vice) (Ill. Bar No. 6209623) davenelson@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SI 500 West Madison St., Suite 2450 Chicago, Illinois 60661 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	ULLIVAN, LLP
7	Karen P. Hewitt (SBN 145309) kphewitt@jonesday.com	
8	Randall E. Kay (SBN 149369) rekay@jonesday.com	
10	Kelly V. O'Donnell (SBN 257266)	
11	kodonnell@jonesday.com JONES DAY	
12	4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500 San Diego, California 92121	
13	Telephone: (858) 314-1200	
14	Facsimile: (844) 345-3178	
15	Richard S. Zembek (pro hac vice)	
16	richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLF	
17	1301 McKinney, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77010	
18	Telephone: (713) 651-5283	
19 20	Attorneys for Plaintiff Qualcomm Incorp	porated
21		
22		S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
23	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-2402-CAB-MDD
24	Plaintiff,	JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
25	VS.	HEARING STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2
26	vs.	TURSUANT TOTALENT E.R. 4.2
27	APPLE INC.,	Judge: Cathy Ann Bencivengo
28	Defendant.	
		CASE No. Case No. 3:17-CV-02402-CAB-MDD
	I IOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING	G STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2



Pursuant to this Court's Patent L.R. 4.2 and to the Amended Case Management
Order (Dkt. 116), Plaintiff Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") and Defendan
Apple Incorporated ("Apple") hereby provide their Joint Claim Construction Hearing
Statement, Joint Claim Construction Chart, and Joint Claim Construction Workshee
regarding constructions of claim terms, phrases, and/or clauses for U.S. Patent Nos
7,834,591; 8,447,132; 8,768,865; 8,971,861; and 9,024,418 (along with U.S. Paten
No. 8,229,043, 1 the "Asserted Patents").

I. Twelve Terms/phrases whose construction will be most significant to the resolution of the case

The Parties submit the following twelve terms² as the most significant to the resolution of the case:

Jointly Proposed:

- 1. "host computer system" / "one or more processors" ('861 Patent)
- 2. "means for analyzing the physiological state data collected from the user of the mobile device" ('861 Patent)
- 3. "plurality of predefined content" ('861 Patent)
- 4. "means for making a determination that a first group of adjacent pixels in a digital image represents a physical object of a predetermined type" ('132 Patent)

Qualcomm:

- 5. "to couple the first gate layer for the second transistor to a power supply node ('418 Patent)
- 6. "mobile device" ('861 Patent)
- 7. "maximum current capacity" ('591 Patent)

-1- CASE NO. 3:17-CV-02402-CAB-MDD

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2



¹ Qualcomm is also asserting U.S. Patent No. 8,229,043. The parties have not identified any disputed terms for the asserted claims of this patent.

² While Local P.R. 4.2(a) references ten terms, the Court instructed the parties to identify "the top 12 terms." Feb. 7, 2018 CMC Transcript at 6:22-7:8.

1	8. "pattern" ('865 Patent)
2	Apple:
3	9. "USB" ('591 Patent)
4	10. "object detection unit" ('132 Patent)
5	11."condition" ('865 Patent)
6	12. "gate-directed local interconnect configured to couple to" ('418 Patent)
7	
8	Depending on the construction adopted by the Court, the following terms
9	among the above-listed twelve terms are considered by the Parties to be case or
10	claim dispositive:
11	Qualcomm:
12	• "host computer system" / "one or more processors" ('861 Patent):
13	Qualcomm believes Apple intends to assert noninfringement under
14	Apple's construction
15	"means for analyzing the physiological state data collected from the
16	user of the mobile device" ('861 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim
17	element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in which it
18	appears invalid
19	"means for making a determination that a first group of adjacent pixels
20	in a digital image represents a physical object of a predetermined type"
21	('132 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, which
22	would render the claim element in which it appears invalid
23	"to couple the first gate layer for the second transistor to a power
24	supply node ('418 Patent): Qualcomm's construction corrects an
25	obvious error to assist in performing infringement and validity analysis
26	• "mobile device" ('861 Patent): Qualcomm believes Apple intends to
27	assert noninfringement under Apple's construction
28	



-2- CASE NO. 3:17-CV-02402-CAB-MDD JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2

•	"maximum current capacity" ('591 Patent) : Apple asserts that this
	claim element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in
	which it appears invalid

- "pattern" ('865 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in which it appears invalid
- "USB" ('591 Patent): Qualcomm believes Apple intends to assert noninfringement under Apple's construction
- "object detection unit" ('132 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in which it appears invalid
- "condition" ('865 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in which it appears invalid
- "gate-directed local interconnect configured to couple to" ('418
 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, which would render the claim element in which it appears invalid

Apple:

- "host computer system" / "one or more processors" ('861 Patent):
 Apple's proposed construction of these terms, which appear in all but one asserted claim, are dispositive of Qualcomm's infringement allegations for those asserted claims.
- "means for analyzing the physiological state data collected from the user of the mobile device" ('861 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claim in which it appears invalid.
- "plurality of predefined content" ('861 Patent): Apple's proposed construction is dispositive of at least certain of Qualcomm's infringement allegations.

.3- CASE No. 3:17-CV-02402-CAB-MDD

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2



- "means for making a determination that a first group of adjacent pixels in a digital image represents a physical object of a predetermined type" ('132 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claim in which it appears invalid.
- "USB" ('591 Patent): Apple's proposed construction is dispositive of at least certain of Qualcomm's infringement allegations.
- "object detection unit" ('132 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claim in which it appears invalid.
- "condition" ('865 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claims in which it appears indefinite, because the term's scope is not reasonably certain in view of the patent's overlapping and inconsistent descriptions of "condition" and the separate claim term, "pattern."
- "pattern" ('865 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claims in which it appears indefinite, because the term's scope is not reasonably certain in view of the patent's overlapping and inconsistent descriptions of "pattern" and the separate claim term, "condition."
- "gate-directed local interconnect configured to couple to" ('418 patent):
 Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claim in which it appears invalid.
- maximum current capacity ('591 Patent): Apple asserts that this claim element is indefinite, rendering the claim in which it appears invalid.

II. Anticipated length of time necessary for the claim construction hearing

The parties anticipate the Claim Construction Hearing taking about six (6) hours, with the time proportionally divided between Qualcomm and Apple. In addition, pursuant to the Court's request at the February 7, 2018 Case Management Conference, the parties intend to provide technology tutorials regarding the

4_ CASE No. 3:17-CV-02402-CAB-MDD

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING STATEMENT PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4.2



28

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

