UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01281

U.S. Patent No. 8,768,865

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1
II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,768,865 4
A. The '865 Patent Discloses and Claims a Multi-Stage Approach to Pattern Recognition That Reduces Demands on Mobile Devices 4
1. Terminology 5
2. Multi-stage approach 8
3. "Fixing" parameters sets the scope of analysis to enable recognition of a "second pattern" when there is a pattern in the fixed parameters 9
B. The '865 Patent Claims 13
C. Prosecution History 15
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 16
A. Legal Standard 16
B. "Pattern" 16
C. "Fixing … by Associating …" 18
1. "Fixing" is setting the scope of analysis 18
2. Petitioner's construction fails to give meaning to all words of the claim, is contradicted by Petitioner's expert, is contrary to the specification, and is contrary to the surrounding claim language 20
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 24
V. WANG DISCLOSES A STATE RECOGNITION PROCESS THAT RELIES UPON RECOGNITION OF STATE TRANSITIONS AND IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS 24
A. Wang Discloses Detecting and Defining States Based on State Transitions, Not Collections of Parameter Values 25
1. Wang discloses EEMSS performing state recognition using an XML state descriptor file that defines states based on state transitions 25

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. Table 1 of Wang includes different information than the XML state descriptor actually used by EEMSS 25

3. Petitioner's assertions that EEMSS uses collections of parameter values from Table 1 are not supported by and are contrary to Wang 27

B. Wang's Approach Focused on Detection of State Transitions Uses Non-Overlapping States 30

C. Wang Discloses Setting Which Sensors to Use for Future State Detection in Response to Recognition of the Current State, Not Any "Fixing" or "Associating" 32

VI. WANG DOES NOT DESCRIBE, AND IS CONTRARY TO, FUNCTIONALITY PETITIONER RELIES UPON FOR MULTIPLE CLAIM ELEMENTS 33

A. Wang Does Not Disclose "Identifying A First Pattern …" Because Wang Does Not Disclose Using a Collection of State Features in Operation of the Disclosed EEMSS System 33

B. Wang Does Not Disclose "Fixing … by Associating …" Even Under Petitioner's Construction Because Wang Does Not Disclose Using a Collection of State Features in Operation of the Disclosed EEMSS System 35

VII. WANG DOES NOT DISCLOSE SETTING THE SCOPE OF ANALYSIS TO WHERE THE FIRST PATTERN IS PRESENT 37

A. Wang Does Not Disclose "Fixing … by Associating …" Under the Proper BRI 37

VIII. WANG DOES NOT DISCLOSE SELECTING LIMITED SENSORS TO MONITOR IN RESPONSE OR DUE, IN ANY PART, TO PURPORTED "FIXING" 39

A. Wang Discloses Selecting a Reduced Set of Parameters Based on the Current State, Not In Response or Due, in Any Part, to the Purported Fixing 39

IX. CONCLUSION 40

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Apple Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.,
IPR2017–00222, slip op. (PTAB May 23, 2018) 20-21

Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.,
441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 22

In re Translogic Tech., Inc.,
504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 16, 21

Wasica Finance GmbH v. Continental Automotive Sys., Inc.,
853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 22, 23

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Description
2001	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/434,400 (Incorporated by Reference by Ex. 1001)
2002	omitted
2003	Transcript of Deposition of James Allen (April 25, 2019)
2004	Declaration of John Villasenor
2005	omitted
2006	CV of John Villasenor

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.