

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc.
Petitioner

v.
Qualcomm Inc.,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2018-01279
Patent 7,844,037

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION1

 A. “prompting a user of the first computing device”1

 1. Petitioner Does Not and Can Not Rebut That The Patentee Disclaimed “Embodiments Where No Reply Option Is Provided”2

 2. Patent Owner’s Construction Is Not Only Entirely Consistent With The Specification And The Prosecution History, But It Is Mandated By The Intrinsic Record.8

 3. Patent Owner’s Construction Is Supported by the extrinsic record.....11

 B. “composing”12

III. PETITIONER FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT ANY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE15

 A. Neither *Makela* nor *Moran*, alone or in combination, disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed “prompting a user of the first computing device,” as recited in claim 1.15

 B. Neither *Makela* nor *Moran*, alone or in combination, disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed “in response to receiving the incoming call, prompting a user of the first computing device to enter user input that instructs the first computing device to handle the incoming call by composing . . . a message,” as recited in claim 1.....16

 C. Neither *Makela* nor *Moran*, alone or in combination, disclose, teach, suggest, or render obvious the “automatically addressing the message to the second computing device,” as recited in claim 1.16

 D. Petitioner’s arguments regarding the dependent claims 2-14 and 16-18 likewise lack merit17

 E. Petitioner has failed to show the absence of impermissible hindsight underlying its arguments19

IV. CONCLUSION.....21

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Google LLC</i> , 882 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	6, 7, 8, 9
<i>Eon Corp. IP Holdings v. Silver Spring Networks</i> , 815 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	7
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	20
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	20
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	15
<i>NHK Spring Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....	1
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	6
<i>Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	7
<i>WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	19
 STATUTES	
36 U.S.C. § 316(e)	20

...

EXHIBIT LIST

Patent Owner's Exhibits	
2001	Disclaimer in Patent Under 37 CFR 1.321(a)
2002	U.S. Patent No. 6,219,413 to <i>Burg</i>
2003	Declaration of Puneet Kohli
2004	Declaration of Dr. Kevin Jeffay
2005	CV of Dr. Kevin Jeffay
2006	Transcript of Dr. Narayan Mandayam's Deposition
2007	U.S. Patent No. 8,737,578
2008	Prosecution History for '578 Patent
2009	Apple's Invalidity Contentions
2010	Exhibit E to Apple's Invalidity Contentions
2011	U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0127263 to Vegh
2012	U.S. Patent No. 6,484,027 to Mauney
2013	U.S. Patent No. 6,253,075 to Beghtol
2014	U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0132608 to Shinohara
2015	U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0185885 to Kock
2016	U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0084549 to Yang
2017	EP 1263201 A2 to Hoersten
2018	Sascha Segan, "Coming Attractions-Nokia's Latest Camera Phone," PCMag at 22
2019	Nokia 6682 User Guide (May 4, 2005) ("Nokia 6682 User Guide")

2020	Sascha Segan, "Nokia 6682," PCMag (June 27, 2005)
2022	"IEEE 100: The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms" (7th ed. 2000)
2023	Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (10th ed. 1997)
2024	Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 16 th Updated, Expanded and Much Improved Edition
2025	Oral Deposition of Jeremy R. Cooperstock, Ph.D. dated October 22, 2019, Apple Inc. vs. Qualcomm Incorporated

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.