1	Juanita R. Brooks, SBN 75934, brooks@fr.com Jason W. Wolff, SBN 215819, wolff@fr.com Seth M. Sproul, SBN 217711, sproul@fr.com Michael A. Amon, SBN 226221, amon@fr.com								
2 3	Seth M. Sproul, SBN 217711, sproul@fr.com Michael A. Amon, SBN 226221, amon@fr.com Fish & Richardson P.C.								
4	12390 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130 Phone: 858-678-5070 / Fax: 858-678-5	5099							
5									
6	Betty Chen, SBN 290588, bchen@fr.com Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500								
7	500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94064 Phone: 650-839-5070 / Fax: 650-839-5071								
8	Ruffin B. Cordell, DC Bar No. 445801, pro hac vice, cordell@fr.com								
9	Ruffin B. Cordell, DC Bar No. 445801, pro hac vice, cordell@fr.com Lauren A. Degnan, DC Bar No. 452421, pro hac vice, degnan@fr.com Fish & Richardson P.C.								
10	1000 Maine Ave. S.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20024 Phone: 202-783-5070 / Fax: 202-783-2331								
11	Phone: 202-783-5070 / Fax: 202-783-5	2331							
12	Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.								
13	[Additional counsel identified on signature page]								
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
15	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
16 17	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-2403-CAB-MDD							
18	Plaintiff,								
19	vs.	APPLE INC.'S INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS							
20	APPLE INC.,								
	Defendant.								
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
20 l									



TABLE OF CONTENTS

2						<u>Page</u>	
3	I.	RESE	SERVATION OF RIGHTS				
4	II.	CON	NTENTIONS PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 3.34				
5		A.	Identification of the Prior Art [Patent L.R. 3.3(a).]4				
6		B.	Basis of Invalidity and Invalidity Charts [Patent L.R. 3.3(b) and 3.3(c).]				
7		C.	Asserted Patents – Invalidity References6				
8			1.	·			
9				a)	Priority Date	6	
10				b)	Anticipation	6	
11				c)	Obviousness	7	
12			2. '928 Patent15		15		
13				a)	Priority Date	15	
14				b)	Anticipation	15	
15				c)	Obviousness	16	
16			3.	'239	Patent	24	
17				a)	Priority Date	24	
18				b)	Anticipation	24	
19				c)	Obviousness	27	
20			4.	'940	Patent	32	
21				a)	Priority Date	32	
22				b)	Anticipation	. 33	
23				c)	Obviousness	34	
24			5. '037 Patent58		58		
25				a)	Priority Date	58	
26				b)	Anticipation	. 58	
27				c)	Obviousness	. 59	
28	l						



	l .							
1		A.	Ineligibility Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101					
2			1.	The '362 Patent				
3			2.	The	²³⁹ Patent66			
4			3.	The	'928 Patent67			
5		B.	Inval	alidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112				
6			1.	35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 168				
7				a)	'928 Patent68			
8				b)	'940 Patent69			
9			2.	35 U	S.C. § 112, ¶ 270			
10				a)	'928 Patent70			
11				b)	'940 Patent			
12				c)	'037 Patent72			
13			3.	35 U	S.C. § 112, ¶ 6			
14				a)	'362 Patent72			
15				b)	'928 Patent73			
16	IV.	DOC	UME	NT PR	ODUCTION PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 3.473			
17	V.	APPI	PENDIX A					
18	VI.	APPI	ENDIX	ΚВ	95			
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								



2
 3
 4

Pursuant to this Court's Case Management Order (Dkt. No. 102) and Patent L.R. 3.3, 3.4, and 3.6, Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") hereby submits to Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") the following Invalidity Contentions with respect to the patent claims identified by Qualcomm in its Patent L.R. 3.1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions served on March 2, 2018. Acocording to Qualcomm, the asserted claims are claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, 12, and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,683,362 ("the '362 patent"), claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,497,928 ("the '928 patent"), claims 1, 2, 3, 4 of U.S. Patent No. 8,665,239 ("the '239 patent"), claims 1, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 21, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 9,203,940 ("the '940 patent"), and claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 22, 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 7,844,037 ("the '037 patent") (collectively, "Asserted Claims").

I. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The Patent Local Rules and the Court's Case Management Order contemplate that these Invalidity Contentions would be prepared and served in response to Qualcomm's Infringement Contentions. However, Qualcomm's Infringement Contentions are insufficient because they lack proper and complete disclosure as to how Qualcomm contends that Apple allegedly infringes the Asserted Claims, including but not limited to the deficiencies identified in Apple's correspondence to Qualcomm on March 23, 2018. Due to Qualcomm's failure to provide proper and complete disclosure of its Infringement Contentions under Patent L.R. 3.1, Apple reserves the right to seek leave from the Court to modify, amend, and/or supplement these Invalidity Contentions should Qualcomm be allowed by the Court to correct, clarify, amend, and/or supplement its Infringement Contentions, or their inherent claim constructions, or following the Court's claim construction.

Prior art not included in these Invalidity Contentions, whether known or not known to Apple, may become relevant. In particular, Apple is currently unaware of

tant if any to which Ovalaamm will contand that limitations of the alaims of

2
 3
 4

the Asserted Patents are not disclosed in the prior art identified in these Invalidity Contentions. Accordingly, Apple reserves the right to identify other references that would disclose the allegedly missing limitation(s) of the claimed method, device, or system.

Such prior art may be discovered during fact and/or expert discovery. In addition to the references listed below and in the accompanying exhibits, Apple may rely upon the patents themselves, references cited in the prosecution histories of the Asserted Patents, any additional references identified by Qualcomm, and the testimony of any named inventors or others involved in the prosecution of the patents-in-suit. Identification of elements or limitations in the contentions and the accompanying exhibits is exemplary, not exhaustive or limiting. Accordingly, Apple contentions set forth below and in the attached exhibits are subject to modification, amendment, withdrawal, and/or supplementation, including by adding prior art, as new information, through discovery or other investigation, becomes available.

These contentions are based on Apple's investigations to date that are continuing and ongoing. Apple reserves the right to modify, amend, withdraw, and/or supplement these contentions within a reasonable time after Qualcomm meets its discovery obligations. Apple further reserves the right to modify, amend, withdraw, and/or supplement these contentions in light of any invalidity contentions served by either Apple in this case or the parties in any other lawsuits involving one or more of the Asserted Patents.

For purposes of these Invalidity Contentions, Apple identifies prior art references and provides element-by-element claim charts based in part on the apparent constructions of the Asserted Claims advanced by Qualcomm in its Infringement Contentions. For purposes of these Invalidity Contentions, Apple may adopt alternative, and even inconsistent, claim-construction positions. Nothing



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

