1	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT Brian Ledahl (CA SB No. 186579) Neil A. Rubin (CA SB No. 250761) Jacob Buczko (CA SB No. 269408) RUSS AUGUST & KABAT 12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: 310-826-7474 Facsimile: 310-826-6991		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	E-mail: bledahl@raklaw.com E-mail: nrubin@raklaw.com E-mail: jbuczko@raklaw.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc.		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS,		
12	INC.,		
13	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 2:17 ov 04272	
14	V.	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04273	
15	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
16	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC.,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
20	This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the		
21	United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. in which Document Security Systems,		
22	Inc. ("DSS" or "Plaintiff") makes the following allegations against Defendants		
23	Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Everlight Electronics") and Everlight Americas, Inc		
24	("Everlight Americas") (collectively "Everlight" or "Defendants").		
25	<u>PARTIES</u>		
26	1. Document Security Systems, Inc. is a publicly-traded New York		
27	corporation. Founded in 1984, DSS is a global leader in brand protection, digital		
28	security solutions and anti-counterfeiting technologies.		



COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case No. 2:17-cv-04273

- 2. In November 2016, DSS acquired a portfolio of patents covering technologies used in Light-Emitting Diode ("LED") lighting products, including the patents-in-suit. The patents in this portfolio were originally assigned to Agilent Technologies, Inc. and/or the successors of its LED business. Since its recent acquisition of these patents, DSS has worked to expand its business efforts regarding LED technology. DSS is pursuing both licensing and commercialization of this technology acquisition, and is establishing those activities within its Plano location.
- 3. On information and belief, Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at No. 6-8, Zhonghua Rd., Shulin Dist., New Taipei City, Taiwan 23860. Upon information and belief, Everlight manufactures light-emitting diode ("LED") products in Taiwan and, through its subsidiary, Defendant Everlight Americas, Inc., imports, sells and/or offers to sell LED products the State of California and elsewhere in the United States.
- 4. On information and belief, Everlight Americas, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3220 Commander Dr., Suite 100, Carrollton, Texas, 75006. Upon information and belief, Everlight Americas imports, sells and/or offers for sale nationwide LED products manufactured by Everlight Electronics, including in the State of California and in this judicial district. Defendant Everlight Americas can be served through its registered agent, Tao Wang, 4226 Via Angelo, Montclair CA 91763.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants in this action because, among other reasons, Defendants have committed acts within the Central District of California giving rise to this action and have established minimum contacts

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case No. 2:17-cv-04273



1	with the forum state of California, including by establishing a regular and established
2	place of business within this District at 4237 East Airport Dr., Ontario, CA 91761.
3	Defendants directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including
4	distributors, retailers, and others), have committed and continue to commit acts of
5	infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing,
6	offering for sale, and/or selling products and/or services that infringe the patents-in-
7	suit. Thus, Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the benefits of doing
8	business in the State of California and the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants
9	would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Everlight
10	Americas is registered to do business in the State of California, and has appointed Tao
11	Wang, 4226 Via Angelo, Montclair CA 91763 as its agent for service of process.
12	7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)-(c) and 1400(b)

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants have a regular and established place of business in this District and have committed acts of patent infringement in this District.

BACKGROUND

- 8. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,949,771 ("the '771 Patent") entitled "Light Source." The '771 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 27, 2005. A true and correct copy of the '771 Patent is included as Exhibit A.
- 9. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,524,087 ("the '087 Patent") entitled "Optical Device." The '087 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 28, 2009. A true and correct copy of the '087 Patent is included as Exhibit B.
- 10. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,919,787 ("the '787 Patent") entitled "Semiconductor Device with a Light Emitting Semiconductor Die." The '787 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 5, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '787 Patent is included as Exhibit C.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case No. 2:17-cv-04273



11. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,256,486 ("the '486 Patent") entitled "Packing Device for Semiconductor Die, Semiconductor Device Incorporating Same and Method of Making Same." The '486 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 14, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '486 Patent is included as Exhibit D.

12.DSS owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '771, '087,'787, and '486 Patents (collectively, "asserted patents" or "patents-in-suit"), including all rights to sue and recover for past and future infringement.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '771 PATENT

- 13. DSS references and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Complaint.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import in the United States products and/or services that infringe various claims of the '771 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example, Defendants' infringing products include without limitation, all versions and variations, including predecessor and successor models, of its PLCC Top View SMD LED, 2214 package series, 3020 package series, 3232 package series, 3527 package series, 3528 package series, 5050 package series, and 7450 package series. Defendants' infringing products also include products, e.g., light bulbs, displays and fixtures that contain at least one infringing LED product. Defendants' infringing products are collectively referred to hereinafter as "'771 Accused Instrumentalities."
- 15. On information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '771 Patent by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the '771 Accused Instrumentalities. On information and belief, such products and/or services are covered by one or more claims of the '771 Patent's including at least claims 1 to 8 because they contain each element of those claims.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case No. 2:17-cv-04273



	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

1	16.	By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the '771
Accuse	ed Inst	rumentalities infringing the '771 Patent, Defendants have injured DSS
and are	liable	e to DSS for infringement of the '771 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §
271(a)	direct	ly and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.

- 17. In addition, Defendants are actively inducing others, such as their customers and end users of Accused Instrumentalities, services based thereupon, and related products and/or processes, to directly infringe each and every claim limitation, including without limitation claims 1 to 8 of the '771 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Upon information and belief, Defendants' customers and/or end users have directly infringed and are directly infringing each and every claim limitation, including without limitation claims 1 to 8 of the '771 Patent. Defendants have had actual knowledge of the '771 Patent at least as of service of this Complaint. Defendants are knowingly inducing their customers and/or end users to directly infringe the '771 Patent, with the specific intent to encourage such infringement, and knowing that the induced acts constitute patent infringement. Defendants' inducement includes, for example, providing technical guides, product data sheets, demonstrations, software and hardware specifications, installation guides, and other forms of support that induce their customers and/or end users to directly infringe the '771 Patent. The '771 Accused Instrumentalities are designed in such a way that when they are used for their intended purpose, the user infringes the '771 Patent. Defendants know and intend that customers that purchase the '771 Accused Instrumentalities will use those products for their intended purpose.
- 18. Defendants have been aware of the '771 Patent and of its infringement as of a date no later than the date they were served with this Complaint in this action. To the extent facts learned in discovery show that Defendants' infringement of the '771 Patent is or has been willful, or to the extent that Defendants' actions subsequent to the filing of this Complaint—such as their behavior as litigants or their failure to take

28

26

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

