1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 3 4 INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. 5 Petitioner, 6 V. 7 ETHICON, LLC, 8 Patent Owner. 9 10 11 CASE IPR2018-01248 12 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 13 14 Hearing - Conference Call 15 January 17, 2020 16 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21 22 Reporter: Desirea S. Jura		Washington, DC	1 age 1
3 4 INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. 5 Petitioner, 6 v. 7 ETHICON, LLC, 8 Patent Owner. 9 10 11 CASE IPR2018-01248 12 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 13 14 Hearing - Conference Call 15 January 17, 2020 16 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
4 INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. 5 Petitioner, 6 v. 7 ETHICON, LLC, 8 Patent Owner. 9 10 11 CASE IPR2018-01248 12 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 13 14 Hearing - Conference Call 15 January 17, 2020 16 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
Petitioner, V. Patent Owner. Patent Owner. CASE IPR2018-01248 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 Hearing - Conference Call January 17, 2020 10:30 a.m.	3		
FINE Patent Owner. Patent Owner. CASE IPR2018-01248 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 Hearing - Conference Call January 17, 2020 10:30 a.m.	4	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.	
THICON, LLC, Bethicon, LLC, Patent Owner. CASE IPR2018-01248 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 Hearing - Conference Call January 17, 2020 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	5	Petitioner,	
Patent Owner. Patent	6	V.	
9 10 11	7	ETHICON, LLC,	
10 11	8	Patent Owner.	
11 CASE IPR2018-01248 12 U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 13 14 Hearing - Conference Call 15 January 17, 2020 16 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	9		
U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969 13 14	10		
13 14 Hearing - Conference Call 15 January 17, 2020 16 10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	11	CASE IPR2018-01248	
Hearing - Conference Call January 17, 2020 16	12	U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969	
January 17, 2020 16	13		
10:30 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21	14	Hearing - Conference Call	
17 18 19 20 21	15	January 17, 2020	
18 19 20 21	16	10:30 a.m.	
19 20 21	17		
20 21	18		
21	19		
	20		
22 Reporter: Desirea S. Jura	21		
	22	Reporter: Desirea S. Jura	

www.AldersonReporting.com

Alderson Court Reporting



```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
    For the Petitioner:
    Fish & Richardson, PC
      By Steven R. Katz, Esquire
5
      By Roger Denning, Esquire
      One Marina Park Drive
7
      Boston, Massachusetts 02210-1878
      (617) 521-7803
      Katz@fr.com
10
11
    For the Patent Owner:
12
    Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
13
      By Anish R. Desai, Esquire
14
      767 Fifth Avenue
15
      New York, New York 10153-0119
16
      (212) 310-8730
17
      Anish.desai@weil.com
18
19
         ALSO PRESENT: Dean Garner, Johnson & Johnson
20
21
22
```

www.AldersonReporting.com

Alderson Court Reporting



- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE COX: Good morning. This is Judge
- 3 Cox. I have with me on the call Judges Myers and
- 4 Wood. Do we have counsel for the petitioner on the
- 5 call?
- 6 MR. KATZ: Yes, Your Honor. This is
- ⁷ Steven Katz and Roger Denning are on for petitioner.
- JUDGE COX: Thank you, Mr. Katz.
- And do we have counsel for the patent
- 10 owner?
- MR. DESAI: Yes, Your Honor. This is
- 12 Anish Desai for patent owner. Also on the call with
- me is Dean Garner from Johnson & Johnson.
- JUDGE COX: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Desai.
- 15 And it sounds like we have a court reporter; is that
- 16 correct?
- THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir.
- MR. DESAI: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 19 We arranged for a court reporter.
- JUDGE COX: Thank you. If you could
- 21 please file a transcript as an exhibit when it
- 22 becomes available.

www.AldersonReporting.com

Alderson Court Reporting



- MR. DESAI: Absolutely.
- JUDGE COX: Okay. Mr. Desai, you had, I
- think, requested this call in respect to a motion to
- 4 terminate. So why don't you just give us a little
- 5 background.
- 6 MR. DESAI: Sure. I will try to quickly
- ⁷ frame the issue.
- 8 The 1240 IPR is one of three IPRs filed by
- 9 petitioner challenging claim 24 of the '969 patent.
- 10 It also challenges claims 25 and 26. The other two
- 11 IPRs, the 1247 and the 1254, which Your Honors well
- 12 know had filed a petition for issue on Monday,
- ¹³ January 13th. As to claim 24, in addition, the 1247
- 14 IPR final decision also addressed claims 25 and 26.
- Patent owner's view is as a result of the
- final decision is the plain language of 35 USC
- 17 315(e)(1), meaning that the 1248 IPR cannot proceed
- as far as the language is basically the petitioner
- and any third-party review of a claim in a patent may
- 20 not request or maintain a proceeding before the
- office with respect to that claim on any ground that
- the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised

www.AldersonReporting.com





- during that interparty review.
- There's basically two issues in our
- 3 brief -- the motion to terminate. The first is
- 4 whether the estoppel applies, whether the grounds
- 5 raised in the 1243 IPR could have been raised for the
- 6 1247 or the 1254 IPR. Numerous courts and the Board
- ⁷ held that the reason they could have raised
- 8 language -- in anticipation or obvious grounds based
- 9 on patents or printed publication that the petitioner
- 10 actually knew about or that a skilled researcher
- 11 could have diligently located.
- Here petitioner actually knew about Prisco
- and Cooper, which on the record that are in the 1248
- 14 IPR. They're petitioner's own patent publications
- 15 and they were asserting --
- 16 (Reporter interruption.)
- MR. DESAI: I was talking about the motion
- of petitioner's where I think at least several months
- 19 before the time that the IPRs were filed, the
- 20 references were asserted and detailed charges -- our
- view is the estoppel applied -- first is that they
- 22 could not have been raised because the statute

www.AldersonReporting.com



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

