

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ETHICON LLC, *et al.*,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 17-871-LPS-CJB
)
 INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC., *et al.*,)
)
 Defendants.)

DEFENDANTS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

OF COUNSEL:
Robert A. Van Nest
Brian L. Ferrall
R. Adam Lauridsen
William Hicks
Eduardo E. Santacana
KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 391-5400

John W. Shaw (No. 3362)
Karen E. Keller (No. 4489)
David M. Fry (No. 5486)
SHAW KELLER LLP
I.M. Pei Building
1105 North Market Street, 12th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 298-0700
jshaw@shawkeller.com
kkeller@shawkeller.com
dfry@shawkeller.com
Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: June 28, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Argument.....	1
A. Legal standard for claim construction	1
B. '969 Patent terms	2
1. “tool mounting portion operably coupled to a distal end of said proximal spine portion” ('969 claim 24)	2
2. “[proximal/distal] spine portion” ('969 claim 24)	4
C. '874 Patent terms	6
1. “remote[ly] user-controlled console” ('874 claims 9 and 20)	6
2. “reciprocable closure element configured to apply said opening and closing motions to said one of said first and second jaws” ('874 claim 9)	9
3. “driver element supported for axial travel through the [surgical] end effector in response to [a] firing motion[s applied thereto]” ('874 claims 9 and 20).....	11
D. '658 Patent terms	12
E. '431 Patent terms	14
1. “transmission arrangement communicating with the control unit of the robotic system” ('431 claim 1)	14
2. “transmission arrangement” ('431 claims 1, 6, 13)	17
3. [first and second] control assembly operably interfacing with said elongated shaft assembly to apply said [first/second] control motion thereto” ('431 claim 6).....	18
F. Power Patent terms.....	19
1. “housing”(‘058 claims 6, 11; ‘677 claims 6, 11; ‘601 claim 1)	20
2. “housing connector” ('058 claim 6; ‘677 claims 6, 17).....	21
3. “engagement member” (‘601 claim 1).....	23

4. “actuator arrangement”(’601 claim 1)24

III. Conclusion25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , No. CV 16-129-LPS-SRF, 2017 WL 618441 (D. Del. Feb. 15, 2017)	8
<i>Central Admixture Pharm. Svcs., Inc. v. Adv. Cardiac Solutions, P.C.</i> , 482 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	2, 3
<i>Cubist Pharm., Inc. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 75 F. Supp. 3d 641 (D. Del. 2014), <i>aff'd</i> , 805 F.3d 1112 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	2
<i>Eon Corp. IP Holdings v. Silver Spring Networks</i> , 815 F. 3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	21
<i>Halliburton Energy Svcs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	25
<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.</i> , 558 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	24
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	25
<i>Lexington Luminance LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.</i> , 601 F. App'x 963 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	24
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	1
<i>Media Rights Techs., Inc. v. Capital One Fin. Corp.</i> , 800 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	14, 19
<i>Nautilus Inc. v. BioSig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014).....	26
<i>Nichia Corp. v. TCL Multimedia Tech. Holdings, Ltd.</i> , No. CV 16-681-RGA, 2017 WL 5719267 (D. Del. Nov. 28, 2017).....	10
<i>Novo Indus., L.P. v. Micro Molds Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	5
<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	17, 21, 23

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	1
<i>S.O.I.Tec Silicon On Insulator Techs., S.A. v. MEMC Elec. Materials, Inc.</i> , 745 F. Supp. 2d 489 (D. Del. 2010).....	3
<i>Superior Fireplace Co. v. Majestic Prods. Co.</i> , 270 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	3
<i>Toshiba Tec Corp. v. Katun Corp.</i> , No. SACV1501979SJOJCX, 2016 WL 8861713 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 2016).....	13
<i>Verint Sys. Inc. v. Red Box Recorders Ltd.</i> , 166 F. Supp. 3d 364 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	13
<i>Vistan Corp. v. Fadei USA, Inc.</i> , No. C 10-4862 JCS, 2012 WL 1496099 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2012).....	19
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptoronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	1
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	10, 11
<i>X One, Inc. v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 3581184 (N.D. Cal. August 18, 2017).....	22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.