UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ————— BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ————— INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. Petitioner v. ETHICON LLC Patent Owner ———— Case IPR2018-01247

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	Introduction1		
II.	Grou	and 2: The Combination of Anderson and Timm Discloses Claim 241		
	A.	Claim 24 Now Requires "A Tool Mounting Portion Operably Coupled to a Proximal End of Said Proximal Spine Portion"		
	B.	As a Backup Argument, Petitioner Established That the Tool		
		Mounting Portion in the Prior Art was Also Coupled to the Distal End of the Proximal Spine Portion		
	C.	As Dr. Knodel Testified, a POSITA Would Have Been Motivated To		
		Combine Anderson With Timm (Including Timm's Lockable Articulation Joint)		
	D.	Even if Tactile Feedback Were a Requirement, Anderson Discloses It		
	E.	Tactile Feedback Is Not a Requirement for Use of Passive		
		Articulation with a Robotic System		
	F.	As Established in the Petition, a POSITA Would Have Had a		
		Reasonable Expectation of Success		
		1. Anderson Has Sufficient Transmission Members to Drive Timm 14		
		2. Anderson Can Generate Sufficient Forces to Drive Timm15		
	G.	Anderson Provides a Generic Robotic Foundation For a Variety of		
		Tools		
	H.	The Unrelated Publications Cited by Patent Owner Fail to Address the		
		Anderson / Timm Combination and Are Thus Irrelevant20		



Attorney Docket No. 11030-0049IP5 Proceeding No. IPR2018-01247

III.	Ground 3: The Articulation Bars of Wallace Are Compatible with the			
	Anderson/Timm Combination			
	A.	In the Proposed Combination for Ground 3, the Articulation		
		Mechanism of Timm Is Replaced with the Articulation Mechanism of		
		Wallace		
	B.	Anderson Can Accommodate Additional Controls as Necessary to		
		Operate the Wallace Articulation Mechanism22		
IV.	Ground 4: Claims 19 and 20 Would Have Been Obvious over Anderson and			
	Knoo	del24		
	A.	Petitioner Has Already Addressed Patent Owner's "Tactile Feedback"		
		Argument24		
	B.	A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success .25		
V.	Grou	and 5: Claims 21 and 22 Are Obvious over Anderson in view of Viola 25		
VI.	Conc	Conclusion2		



Attorney Docket No. 11030-0049IP5 Proceeding No. IPR2018-01247

EXHIBITS

IS1001	U.S. Pat. No. 8,479,969 to Shelton, IV ("the '969 Patent")
IS1002	Prosecution History of the '969 Patent (Serial No. 13/369,609)
IS1003	Reserved
IS1004	Declaration of Dr. Bryan Knodel (Anderson as Primary
	Reference)
IS1005	Reserved
IS1006	Reserved
IS1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,817,974 to Cooper et al. ("Cooper")
IS1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,699,235 to Wallace et al. ("Wallace")
IS1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,331,181 to Tierney et al. ("Tierney")
IS1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,783,524 to Anderson et al. ("Anderson")
IS1011	U.S. Patent No. 7,510,107 to Timm et al. ("Timm")
IS1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,465,895 to Knodel et al. ("Knodel")
IS1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,954,259 to Viola et al. ("Viola")
IS1014	U.S. Patent App. No. 2008/0167672 to Giordano et al.
	("Giordano")
IS1015	Reserved
IS1016	Reserved



IS1017	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Bryan Knodel
	("Knodel Supp. Decl.")
IS1018	Reserved
IS1019	June 17, 2019 Deposition of Shorya Awtar for IPR2018-01247
	("Awtar Dep. I")
IS1020	U.S. Pat. No. 9,820,768 to Gee et al. ("Gee")
IS1021	Reserved
IS1022	Reserved
IS1023	June 6, 2019 Deposition of Frank Fronczak for IPR2018-00936
	("Fronczak Dep.")
IS1024	Reserved
IS1025	Reserved
IS1026	District Court Complaint in Ethicon LLC et al. v. Intuitive Surgical,
	Inc. et al., 1:17-cv-00871-LPS filed June 30, 2017
IS1027	U.S. Pat. No. 7,524,320 to Tierney et al. ("Tierney 320")



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

