

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ETHICON LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2018-01247
U.S. Patent No. 8,479,969

DECLARATION OF DR. ELLIOTT FEGELMAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND	1
III.	OVERVIEW OF OPEN, TRADITIONAL LAPAROSCOPIC, AND ROBOTIC LAPAROSCOPIC SURGICAL PROCEDURES	3
IV.	PASSIVE ARTICULATION WITH ROBOTIC SURGICAL TOOLS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN CLINICALLY ACCEPTABLE TO SURGEONS IN THE 2011 TIMEFRAME	9
V.	JURAT	11

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Dr. Elliott Fegelman. I have been asked by counsel for Patent Owner Ethicon LLC (“Patent Owner”) to offer my opinions from the perspective of a practicing surgeon in connection with the above-captioned proceeding. I understand from counsel that the 2011 timeframe is the relevant timeframe for this proceeding, and I was a practicing general surgeon at that time.

2. Unless otherwise stated, the statements in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, my experience as a surgeon and hospital administrator for nearly 30 years, and the documents cited in this declaration. I am currently employed by and being compensated by Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. (an affiliate of Patent Owner), but I am not being separately compensated for my time in preparing this declaration. If called as a witness, I could and would attest to the statements in this declaration under oath.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND

3. I received a Doctor of Medicine degree from Wright State University in 1988. I then completed my residency in general surgery at the University of Cincinnati College of Medicine in 1994. After completing my residency, I joined the faculty at the University of Cincinnati as an assistant professor. I continued to be a professor at the University of Cincinnati until 2009.

4. Starting in 1994, I also was employed at the Christ Hospital in Cincinnati as a general surgeon. I ultimately achieved the position of Chief of Surgery at the Christ Hospital in 2000. I retained that role until July 2002, when I accepted the role of Chief of Surgery at the Jewish Hospital in Cincinnati, Ohio. I retained that position until August 2011.

5. Between 1998 and 2012, while in private practice, I consulted for Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. for approximately four hours per week on a variety of surgical matters. In September 2012, I retired from active surgical practice and accepted a full-time role at Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. From 2012 to 2015, I was a medical director in the area of metabolic disease. Starting in 2015, I moved out of that area and now focus on evaluating third-party technologies across the general surgery platform.

6. During my career as a general surgeon, I have performed numerous open and laparoscopic procedures. During these procedures, I worked extensively with open surgical instruments and hand-held laparoscopic surgical instruments. Examples of laparoscopic surgical instruments that I have used during my career include but are not limited to graspers (forceps), scissors, needle drivers, energy devices, clip applicators, and endocutters.


7. During my career, I also became experienced with robotic surgery systems offered by Intuitive Surgical, Inc. and performed numerous surgeries using

the da Vinci Si surgical system. Examples of robotic laparoscopic surgical instruments that I have used during my career include but are not limited to graspers (forceps), scissors, needle drivers, energy devices, and clip applicators. At the time of my retirement, a robotic endocutter was not available.

III. OVERVIEW OF OPEN, TRADITIONAL LAPAROSCOPIC, AND ROBOTIC LAPAROSCOPIC SURGICAL PROCEDURES

8. I will start with a general overview of relevant aspects of open, traditional laparoscopic,¹ and robotic laparoscopic surgical procedures.

9. In an open procedure, a large incision gives the surgeon direct access to the surgical site. This is illustrated below:



Ex. 2001.006 (Excerpts from Ethicon’s Technology Tutorial)

¹ By “traditional,” I am referring to laparoscopic procedures that do not involve robotic systems.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.