

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**Before the Honorable Thomas B. Pender
Administrative Law Judge**

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN MOBILE ELECTRONIC
DEVICES AND RADIO FREQUENCY
AND PROCESSING COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1065

**COMPLAINANT QUALCOMM INCORPORATED'S INITIAL CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS.....	4
III. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....	7
IV. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,698,558.....	8
A. Patent Background	8
B. Claim Constructions	16
1. “driver”	16
2. “based on”	17
3. “current sense amplifier”.....	22
4. “envelope signal”	26
V. U.S. PATENT NO. 9,608,675.....	30
A. Patent Background	30
B. Claim Constructions	35
1. “intra-band”	35
2. “plurality of carrier aggregated transmit signals being sent simultaneously”	35
3. “power tracker”	42
4. “single power tracking signal”	48
VI. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,838,949.....	54
A. Patent Background	54
B. Claim Constructions	57
1. “image header”	57

2.	“means for receiving” limitations.....	58
VII.	U.S. PATENT NO. 8,633,936.....	62
A.	Patent Background	62
B.	Claim Constructions	64
1.	“full data precision”.....	65
2.	“half data precision”	65
3.	“programmable streaming processor”	65
4.	“(conversion / executable) instruction(s) [to]... convert[] graphics data ... [from a] (first / second / different) data precision [to a] ... (second / first / indicated) data precision”	74
VIII.	CONCLUSION	77

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Am. Calcar, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	66
<i>August Tech. Corp v. Camtek, Ltd.</i> , 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	20, 21
<i>B. Braun Med., Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 124 F.3d 1419 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	7, 60
<i>BASF Corp. v. Johnson Matthey Inc.</i> , No. 2016-1770, 2017 WL 5559629 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 20, 2017).....	20
<i>Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Comme 'ns Grp., Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	5
<i>Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	7, 20
<i>Budde v. Harley-Davidson, Inc.</i> , 250 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	46
<i>Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Med., Inc.</i> , 296 F.3d 1106 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	7
<i>CardioNet, Inc. v. Mednet Healthcare Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-2517, 2013 WL 6047565 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 15, 2013).....	20
<i>In the Matter of Certain Communications or Computing Devices And Components Thereof</i> , Inv. No. 377-TA-925, Order No. 31, 2015 WL 3452414 (U.S.I.T.C. May 19, 2015).....	46
<i>In the Matter of Certain Computers & Computer Peripheral Device & Components Thereof & Prod. Containing</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-841, Order No. 23, 2012 WL 4829461 (U.S.I.T.C. Oct. 4, 2012)	47
<i>In the Matter of Certain Computing or Graphics Sys., Components Thereof, & Vehicles Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-984</i> , Order No. 42, 2016 WL 9990813 (July 15, 2016)	47
<i>Certain Electronic Digital Media Devices and Components Thereof</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-796, Order No. 16, 2012 WL 754088 (U.S.I.T.C. Mar. 6, 2012).....	4, 5, 6
<i>Certain Equipment for Communications Networks, Including Switches, Routers, Gateways, Bridges, Wireless Access Points, Cable Modems, IP Phones, and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-778, Order No. 21, 2012 WL642717 (U.S.I.T.C. Feb. 14, 2012) ...	73, 74, 77

<i>In the Matter of Certain Ground Fault Circuit Interrupters & Prod. Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-615, Final Determination, 2010 WL 1918555 (U.S.I.T.C. Apr. 1, 2010)</i>	passim
<i>In the Matter of Certain Printing & Imaging Devices & Components Thereof, Inv. No. 377-TA-690, Final Determination, 2011 WL 7628059 (U.S.I.T.C. Nov. 1, 2011)</i>	46
<i>In the Matter of Certain Radio Frequency Identification (Rfid) Prod. & Components Thereof, Inv. No. 337-TA-979, Initial Determination, 2017 WL 3331737 (June 22, 2017)</i>	20
<i>Default Proof Credit Card Sys., Inc. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., 412 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2005)</i>	62
<i>Elkay Mfg. Co. v. Ebco Mfg. Co., 192 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 1999)</i>	6
<i>EON Corp. IP Holdings LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 785 F.3d 616 (Fed. Cir. 2015)</i>	43, 44
<i>Hologic, Inc. v. SenoRx, Inc., 639 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2011)</i>	66
<i>Innova/PureWater, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc., 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004)</i>	5
<i>JVW Enters., Inc. v. Interact Accessories, Inc., 424 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2004)</i>	6
<i>In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litig., 639 F. 3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)</i>	61
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Med. Grp., Inc., 554 F.3d 1010 (Fed. Cir. 2009)</i>	66
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), aff'd, 517 U.S. 370 (1996)</i>	4, 5
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)</i>	7, 20
<i>Nystrom v. TREX Co., 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005)</i>	66
<i>Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp., 514 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2008)</i>	36
<i>Omega Eng'g Inc. v. Raytek Corp., 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)</i>	6, 76
<i>Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 161 F.3d 696 (Fed. Cir. 1998)</i>	43

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.