

1 RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
Brian Ledahl (CA SB No. 186579)
2 Neil A. Rubin (CA SB No. 250761)
Jacob Buczko (CA SB No. 269408)
3 RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: 310-826-7474
5 Facsimile: 310-826-6991
E-mail: bledahl@raklaw.com
6 E-mail: nrubin@raklaw.com
E-mail: jbuczko@raklaw.com
7

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc.*

9 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS,
12 INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO.,
16 LTD., and EVERLIGHT AMERICAS,
17 INC.,

18 Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04273

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

19 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

20 This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the
21 United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* in which Document Security Systems,
22 Inc. (“DSS” or “Plaintiff”) makes the following allegations against Defendants
23 Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Everlight Electronics”) and Everlight Americas, Inc.
24 (“Everlight Americas”) (collectively “Everlight” or “Defendants”).

25 **PARTIES**

26 1. Document Security Systems, Inc. is a publicly-traded New York
27 corporation. Founded in 1984, DSS is a global leader in brand protection, digital
28 security solutions and anti-counterfeiting technologies.



1 with the forum state of California, including by establishing a regular and established
2 place of business within this District at 4237 East Airport Dr., Ontario, CA 91761.
3 Defendants directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including
4 distributors, retailers, and others), have committed and continue to commit acts of
5 infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing,
6 offering for sale, and/or selling products and/or services that infringe the patents-in-
7 suit. Thus, Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the benefits of doing
8 business in the State of California and the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants
9 would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Everlight
10 Americas is registered to do business in the State of California, and has appointed Tao
11 Wang, 4226 Via Angelo, Montclair CA 91763 as its agent for service of process.

12 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)-(c) and 1400(b)
13 because Defendants have a regular and established place of business in this District
14 and have committed acts of patent infringement in this District.

15 **BACKGROUND**

16 8. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,949,771
17 (“the ’771 Patent”) entitled “Light Source.” The ’771 Patent was duly and legally
18 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 27, 2005. A
19 true and correct copy of the ’771 Patent is included as Exhibit A.

20 9. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,524,087
21 (“the ’087 Patent”) entitled “Optical Device.” The ’087 Patent was duly and legally
22 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 28, 2009. A true
23 and correct copy of the ’087 Patent is included as Exhibit B.

24 10. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,919,787
25 (“the ’787 Patent”) entitled “Semiconductor Device with a Light Emitting
26 Semiconductor Die.” The ’787 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United
27 States Patent and Trademark Office on April 5, 2011. A true and correct copy of the
28 ’787 Patent is included as Exhibit C.

1 11. DSS is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,256,486
2 (“the ’486 Patent”) entitled “Packing Device for Semiconductor Die, Semiconductor
3 Device Incorporating Same and Method of Making Same.” The ’486 Patent was duly
4 and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 14,
5 2007. A true and correct copy of the ’486 Patent is included as Exhibit D.

6 12. DSS owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the ’771, ’087, ’787, and
7 ’486 Patents (collectively, “asserted patents” or “patents-in-suit”), including all rights
8 to sue and recover for past and future infringement.

9 **COUNT I**

10 **INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’771 PATENT**

11 13. DSS references and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 12 of
12 this Complaint.

13 14. On information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell,
14 and/or import in the United States products and/or services that infringe various
15 claims of the ’771 Patent, and continues to do so. By way of illustrative example,
16 Defendants’ infringing products include without limitation, all versions and variations,
17 including predecessor and successor models, of its PLCC Top View SMD LED, 2214
18 package series, 3020 package series, 3232 package series, 3527 package series, 3528
19 package series, 5050 package series, and 7450 package series. Defendants’ infringing
20 products also include products, e.g., light bulbs, displays and fixtures that contain at
21 least one infringing LED product. Defendants’ infringing products are collectively
22 referred to hereinafter as “’771 Accused Instrumentalities.”

23 15. On information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed and
24 continue to directly infringe the ’771 Patent by, among other things, making, using,
25 offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the ’771 Accused Instrumentalities. On
26 information and belief, such products and/or services are covered by one or more
27 claims of the ’771 Patent’s including at least claims 1 to 8 because they contain each
28 element of those claims.

1 16. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the '771
2 Accused Instrumentalities infringing the '771 Patent, Defendants have injured DSS
3 and are liable to DSS for infringement of the '771 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §
4 271(a) directly and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.

5 17. In addition, Defendants are actively inducing others, such as their
6 customers and end users of Accused Instrumentalities, services based thereupon, and
7 related products and/or processes, to directly infringe each and every claim limitation,
8 including without limitation claims 1 to 8 of the '771 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C.
9 § 271(b). Upon information and belief, Defendants' customers and/or end users have
10 directly infringed and are directly infringing each and every claim limitation,
11 including without limitation claims 1 to 8 of the '771 Patent. Defendants have had
12 actual knowledge of the '771 Patent at least as of service of this Complaint.
13 Defendants are knowingly inducing their customers and/or end users to directly
14 infringe the '771 Patent, with the specific intent to encourage such infringement, and
15 knowing that the induced acts constitute patent infringement. Defendants'
16 inducement includes, for example, providing technical guides, product data sheets,
17 demonstrations, software and hardware specifications, installation guides, and other
18 forms of support that induce their customers and/or end users to directly infringe the
19 '771 Patent. The '771 Accused Instrumentalities are designed in such a way that
20 when they are used for their intended purpose, the user infringes the '771 Patent.
21 Defendants know and intend that customers that purchase the '771 Accused
22 Instrumentalities will use those products for their intended purpose.

23 18. Defendants have been aware of the '771 Patent and of its infringement as
24 of a date no later than the date they were served with this Complaint in this action. To
25 the extent facts learned in discovery show that Defendants' infringement of the '771
26 Patent is or has been willful, or to the extent that Defendants' actions subsequent to
27 the filing of this Complaint—such as their behavior as litigants or their failure to take
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.