

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

DAIMLER AG,  
Petitioner

v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS,  
Patent Owner

---

**U.S. Patent No. 7,489,786**

“Audio Device Integration System”

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2018-\_\_\_\_\_

**DECLARATION OF DR. CHRIS KYRIAKAKIS  
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,489,786**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS .....	2
A. Educational Background .....	2
B. Relevant Professional Experience .....	3
III. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....	5
A. Blitzsafe commercially sold an interface that connected third party CD changers to preexisting car stereos .....	6
B. Other Manufacturers Also Commercialized Similar Interfaces .....	6
C. The '786 Patent Specification .....	8
D. The '786 Prosecution History .....	9
E. The Claims of the '786 Patent .....	11
F. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	11
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	11
A. “device presence signal” .....	11
B. Means Plus Function Claim Elements .....	12
V. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	13
A. Materials Considered .....	13
1. Barnea .....	14
2. Plagge .....	15
3. Bhogal .....	16
4. CAN .....	17
(a) CAN Specification Authentication .....	18
5. Frese .....	19
B. Ground 1 – Barnea, Plagge and Bhogal render claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, 14, 23 and 24 obvious .....	19
1. A POSITA would have been motivated to combine Barnea, Plagge and Bhogal .....	21

2.	Independent Claim 1 .....	28
	(a) 1[a].....	28
	(b) 1[b].....	29
	(c) 1[c].....	31
	(d) 1[d].....	34
	(e) 1[e].....	37
	(f) 1[f] .....	42
	(g) 1[g].....	47
	(h) 1[h].....	49
	(i) 1[i].....	49
	(j) 1[j].....	49
	(k) 1[k].....	51
	(l) 1[l].....	53
3.	Claim 2 .....	54
4.	Claim 4 .....	54
5.	Claim 5 .....	54
6.	Claim 13.....	55
7.	Claim 14.....	56
8.	Claim 23 .....	56
9.	Claim 24 .....	56
C.	Ground 2 – Barnea, Plagge, Bhogal, and the CAN Specification render claims 6, 57, 58, 60, 63, 64, 65, 92, 94, 97, and 98 obvious.....	57
	1. claim 6.....	57
	(a) The CAN protocol discloses the claimed “device presence” signal.....	57
	(b) A POSITA would have included CAN’s “wake- up” command in the modified Barnea system.....	60
	2. Independent Claim 57 .....	61
	3. Dependent Claims 58, 63-65.....	63

4.	Independent Claim 92 .....	63
	(a) "first pre-programmed means for generating a device presence signal" .....	65
	(b) "first pre-programmed means for . . . transmitting the signal to the car stereo to maintain the car stereo in an operational state" .....	66
	(c) "second pre-programmed means for remotely controlling the portable audio device using the car stereo by receiving a control command from the car stereo in a format incompatible with the portable audio device" .....	67
	(d) "second pre-programmed means for remotely controlling the portable audio device using the car stereo by . . . processing the control command into a formatted control command compatible with the portable audio device" .....	68
	(e) "second pre-programmed means for remotely controlling the portable audio device using the car stereo by . . . transmitting the formatted control command to the portable audio device for execution thereby" .....	69
	(f) "means for transmitting audio from the portable audio device to the car stereo" .....	70
5.	Dependent Claims 94, 97 and 98 .....	71
D.	Ground 3 – Barnea, Plagge, Bhogal and Ohmura render claims 7 and 8 obvious.....	71
	1. Claim 7 .....	71
	2. Claim 8 .....	74
E.	Ground 4 – Barnea, Plagge, Bhogal, Ohmura and CAN render claims 61 and 62 obvious .....	75
	1. Dependent Claims 61 and 62 .....	76
F.	Ground 5 – Barnea, Plagge, Bhogal and Frese render claim 10 obvious .....	77
	1. Claim 10 .....	77

G.	Ground 6 – Barnea, Plagge, Bhogal, Frese and CAN render 86, 88, 89, 90 and 91 obvious .....	80
1.	Independent Claim 86 .....	81
2.	Dependent Claims 88-91 .....	82
H.	Ground 1 Continued .....	83
1.	Claims 44 & 47 .....	83

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.