# 

NETFLIX, INC. and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, Petitioners,

v.

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC, Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2018-01187<sup>1</sup> Patent No. 9,769,477

#### PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, which filed a petition in IPR2019-00786, has been joined as a party to this proceeding.



### **Table of Contents**

I.	The Reply fatally concedes that the Petition does not explain how a POSITA would modify Imai to use video encoders or combine Imai and Pauls, and thus cannot prevail	1
II.	The claims' recitation of "configured to" requires purposeful design	4
III.	The Reply fails to show that Imai, Pauls or their combination renders obvious limitation 1[B]	7
IV.	The Reply fails to demonstrate that the Petition established a motivation to combine Imai and Pauls	15
V.	The Petition does not address the tradeoffs inherent in its motivation to modify Pauls's encoders	17
VI.	The Reply's belated attempt to allege obviousness of Claim 20's limitations must be rejected	21
VII.	The Reply confirms that the Petition does not explain <i>how</i> a POSITA would combine Chao with Imai and Pauls	23
VIII.	Conclusion	.25



## **EXHIBIT LIST**

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of Kayvan B. Noroozi in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> .
2002	Declaration of Kenneth A. Zeger, Ph.D.
2003	Transcript of Oral Deposition of James Storer, Ph.D, taken in IPR2018-01187 on May 8, 2019.
2004	Digital Compression and Coding of Continuous-Tone Still Images – Requirements and Guidelines (JPEG Standard)



I. The Reply fatally concedes that the Petition does not explain how a POSITA would modify Imai to use video encoders or combine Imai and Pauls, and thus cannot prevail

The Federal Circuit has held that obviousness based on a prior art combination cannot be reached absent a clear explanation or evidence "showing [] how the combination of the two references was supposed to work." Personal Web Tech. v. Apple, 848 F.3d 987, 994 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (emphasis original). As the Federal Circuit explained in Personal Web, "such a clear, evidence-supported account of the contemplated workings of the combination is a prerequisite to adequately explaining and supporting a conclusion that a relevant skilled artisan would [1] have been motivated to make the combination and [2] reasonably expect success in doing so." Id. (number and emphasis added).

In particular, the Federal Circuit has held that a *prima facie* obviousness showing requires the challenger (1) "to explain how specific references could be combined," (2) "which combination(s) of elements in specific references would yield a predictable result," and (3) "how any specific combination would operate or read on" the claims. *ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.*, 694 F.3d 1312, 1327-28 (Fed. Cir. 2012).

The Board has repeatedly recognized those requirements, and has repeatedly rejected obviousness allegations that fail to explain *how* the prior art elements could have been combined to achieve predictable results and arrive at the claimed



invention. Dell, Inc. v. Realtime Data, LLC, IPR2016-01002, Paper 71 at 15; Dell, Inc. v. Realtime Data, LLC, IPR2016-00972, Paper 71 at 10-11, 15-16; Commvault Systems, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, IPR 2017-2007, Paper 11 at 13.

In the POR, Patent Owner demonstrated that the Petition offers no explanation as to *how* a POSITA would modify Imai, Pauls, or the combination of Imai and Pauls because it does not explain what would be the "first" or "second" encoders recited by the claims, as is required to show obviousness. POR 7-17, 36, 51-54. Indeed, Petitioner's expert failed to provide any explanation on cross-examination. *Id.* at 11-17.

In its Reply, Petitioner fails to address those crucial and dispositive arguments. The Reply simply offers no further explanation which encoders included in the combinations would be the claimed "first" or "second" encoders. Petitioner thus confirms that no such explanation exists.

Petitioner's failure to address that issue is a dispositive concession.

Accordingly, the Board cannot find any claims unpatentable based on Imai or Pauls on this record. *See* POR 7-17, 36, 51-54.

Rather than address that crucial issue head on (which it cannot do), the Reply instead attempts to dodge the issue by stating: "Realtime cannot seriously contend that a POSITA would not know how to select or implement video or image compressor encoders that vary in their data compression rates or



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

