UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD RIMFROST AS Petitioner v. AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS Patent Owner IPR2018-01178 IPR2018-01179 U.S. Patent No. 9,375,453

REPLY AND OPPOSITION DECLARATION OF DR STEPHEN J. TALLON



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	. i
I. DECLARATION OF DR STEPHEN J. TALLON	.1
II. BASIS FOR OPINION	.1
III. '453 PATENT INVALIDITY GROUNDS, CLAIMS AND AMENDED	
CLAIMS	.6
'453 Patent Petition Invalidity Grounds	.6
'453 Patent Independent Claims Summary	.7
'453 Patent Amended Claims Invalidity Grounds	.8
'453 Patent Amended Independent Claims Summary	.9
IV. PATENT OWNER'S VALIDITY ARGUMENTS FAIL	0
A. PO argues that Catchpole's Extract 2 does not contain triglycerides, and would not have ether phospholipid levels in the claimed range if the required triglycerides were added - PO is wrong.	0
B. PO argues that POSITA would not combine the ranges of different krill components disclosed by references using extraction methods that PO characterizes as selective and non-selective – PO is wrong	13
C. PO argues that a POSITA would have been concerned about the possibility that ingestion of the ether phospholipids found in krill would have a PAF-like effect, and would seek to use lower levels of ether phospholipids in a krill oil extract - PO is wrong.	
D. PO argues, in support of proposed claim amendments, that the prior art does not teach extraction of a krill oil from dried krill meal made by grinding, cooking and drying <i>E. Superba</i> – PO is wrong.	17
E. PO argues, in support of proposed claim amendments, that the prior art does not teach or suggest encapsulated krill oil comprising from 4% to 8% (2018IPR-01178) or 5% to 8% (2081IPR-01179) ether phospholipids – PO is	
wrong1	9



-

does not teach or suggest a krill oil with an upper bound to astaxanthin esters in amount of 700 mg/kg of said krill oil - PO is wrong2	
V. PETITIONER'S RESPONSES TO PATENT OWNER'S VALIDITY ARGUMENTS2	21
A. Catchpole's Extract 2 Has Triglycerides within the Claimed 20% to 50% Range	21
(i) Applying Fricke To Catchpole's Extract 2 Krill Oil, A POSITA Would Understand That Extract 2 Had Between 32% And 37% By Weight Triglycerides.	21
(ii) PO incorrectly uses Fricke to calculate the amount of neutral lipid present in Catchpole's Extract 2 Krill Oil	25
(iii) Applying Fricke Directly To Catchpole's Krill Powder Feed, A POSITA Would Understand That Extract 2's Krill Oil Had Between 20-50% By Weight Triglycerides	
(iv) Tanaka II (Exhibit 1015) Teaches That Like Catchpole After a First Extraction "to extract as much of the TGs [triglycerides] as possible" TGs remained to be extracted with the PLs in the second step	1 5
(v) Catchpole's Example 18 could be blended with additional PL including ether PL to increase the total express amount of ether PL's to 5% and greater without reducing TG content below 20%	
(vi) Catchpole discloses greater than 5% ether phospholipid krill oil5	51
B. A POSITA WOULD HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO COMBINE THE RESULTS OF VARIOUS KRILL LIPID EXTRACTION METHODS AS THEY ALL DEMONSTRATE THE NATURAL AND EXTRACTABLE COMPONENTS OF KRILL	57
(i) PO's expert, Dr Hoem, has previously expressed a conflicting view5	8
(ii) All extractions are selective6	50
C. PAF WAS NO CONCERN TO POSITA IN INCREASING ETHER PHOSPHOLIPID CONCENTRATION6	55
(i) Prior art cited by PO does not support PAF concern6	6
(ii) No Mention Of PAF In Three Krill Oil Gras Filings By Different) ()



(iii) Motivation to Combine was Strong110
(iv) Prior Art Supports Motivation To Increase Phospholipids And Ether Phospholipids And To Combine
(v) Murata (Exhibit 1122) Discloses That Large Amounts of Krill Oil Were Useful as Platelet Aggregation Inhibitors -The Exact Opposite of the effect if Krill Oil Extracts caused an increase PAF or PAF-like activity123
D. EXTRACTION OF OIL FROM A DRIED DENATURED KRILL MEAL PREPARED BY GRINDING, COOKING, AND DRYING WAS WELL KNOWN TO POSITA125
(i) Grinding, cooking and drying was a well established practice to a POSITA
(ii) Breivik and Yamaguchi Do Not Teach Against Grinding, Cooking and Drying to Produce a Krill Meal for Extraction of a Krill Oil132
E. THE PRIOR ART DISCLOSES AS WELL AS TEACHES HOW TO MAKE A KRILL OIL EXTRACT WITH FROM 5% TO 8% ETHER PHOSPHOLIPID CONTENT
(i) Catchpole discloses a krill oil extract with at least an ether PL content of 5.0% in its disclosure of Extract 2 with its 4.8% ether PL content142
(ii) Catchpole does not need to add ether PLs as ether LysoPLs would increase the 4.8% of Extract 2's ether PL content
(iii) Catchpole expressly discloses krill oil ether PL levels greater than 5% and a POSITA would have known how to increase Catchpole's Example 18 ether PL level of at least 4.8% to 5% and higher
(iv) Catchpole teaches how to make krill oil extracts with an ether PL of at least 7.4%
(v) Enzymotec GRAS discloses ether PL content of 5%153
F. THE PRIOR ART DISCLOSES AND TEACHES KRILL OIL EXTRACTS WITH ASTAXANTHIN CONTENT WITHIN THE CLAIMED RANGES OF FROM 100 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg and 400 mg/kg to 700 mg/kg154
(i) A NKO krill oil had 472 mg/kg astaxanthin esters)
(ii) Randolph discloses krill oil compositions with any amount of
astaxanthin esters including 158 mg/kg astaxanthin esters



(iii) Sampalis II (Exhibit 1013) discloses 200 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg
astaxanthin
(iv) Motivations to increase, decrease and maintain astaxanthin content. 161
G. "ABOUT" MEANS AT LEAST $\pm 0.5\%$ OR $\pm 2\%$
(i) "Greater Than About 5%" Means "Greater Than 4.5%"
(ii) PO's Claim Amendments Require that "about" means 1% to 2%167
H. FRICKE II (EXHIBIT 2006) VALUE FOR ETHER PHOSPHOLIPIDS IN KRILL IS TOO LOW, UNHEARD OF AND EVEN THE WAY IT IS CALCULATED IS MISLEADING
(i) Fricke II Destroys the Ether Phospholipids before Quantifying their Amount
(ii) Fricke II Discloses Different Values of Ether Phospholipid Content172
(iii) Fricke II Does Not Use a Direct Measurement of Ether Phospholipid Content
(iv) NMR- The direct method of measuring ether phospholipids177
(v) A POSITA Would Estimate That Fricke Had a Higher Ether Phospholipid Content
CONCLUSION



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

